Franklin D. Roosevelt once said, "Nothing ever happens in politics by accident. If it happens, it was planned that way." Nothing could be more true when we analyze what is happening in the Eastern European Soviet Bloc countries now. The news media is giving us endless accounts of people from all of these countries having mass protest meetings to demand freedoms. They are being allowed to dismantle the Berlin wall, chip by chip. No one is being shot or imprisoned. The Baltic states and Hungary, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria and East Germany are all being allowed to demand their economic independence. Notice that the word "economic" is added. They openly state that they intend to remain in the Warsaw Pact. Why is this happening?

It is because the Soviet Union is in economic shambles. The reasons for that are simple. First, Communism doesn't work and it wasn't intended for it to work. The Soviet Union became a super power because the Plutocratic Bolshevik Elite Capitalism of the West developed it to do just what it has done. That is, it was to provide the dialectics to create the final steps for the One-World Government that they intend to own and run. They have been working on this for over 300 years. Second, the Plutocrats have changed their methods for the transfer of funds to keep the Soviet Union's civilian economy going. The West has kept the people of the Soviet Bloc fed and clothed ever since it was created in 1917. The death of communism would have come as early as 1923 if the Hoover Commission had not been formed to feed them. To fully understand these statements in this cursory overview, one must read Antony Sutton's works: *Wall Street And The Bolshevik Revolution*, *Wall Street And FDR*, *Wall Street And The Rise Of Hitler*, *National Suicide*, *The Best Enemy Money Can Buy*, *The Iron Curtain Over America* by Col. John Beaty and *The Naked Capitalist* by W. Cleon Skousen. There are many other excellent in-depth studies too numerous to mention.

Does this mean that the "war" is over and now there will be peace? In one simple word, NO. The BIG ONE is yet to come.
Think about the money that is being spent to create all of these mass demonstrations? It takes money, big money, to get that many people together in one place at one time. Think about the transportation costs, the placards, the bullhorns and hand-held communications equipment. How did the demonstrators even know that they should meet in the town square on a certain night? Who has determined the speaker rostrum and what subjects were to be discussed? No, it takes money and organization.

**What are the intentions?** They want to bring a little capitalism (not free enterprise) to these countries. The intent now is to put some Plutocratic banks into the countries in order to have multi-national corporations commence operations. These banks will have their investments guaranteed by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), of course, which means the debts will be "nationalized" by you and me.

The indications are that the Plutocrats are now going to allow the Soviet Union to put its money on a gold standard. At the same time, we in the United States will remain on the current fiat money system which is backed by nothing. This action will give the Soviets a tremendous edge because foreign countries will want to do business with them. They will obtain favored-nation status. The Plutocrats are doing this to try to forestall the Soviet's intentions of combining with the Islamic Jihad.

This action will, at best, delay the Soviet's schedule. They desperately need to improve their economy but their intentions will still be that of world domination. They have not changed.

The United States has suddenly stopped the immigration of Jews from Russia. They must now go to Israel. This action will increase the population of Israel. That in turn will be all the more reason for the United States to come to the aid of the Jews when the Islamic Jihad attacks them (with Russia’s help).

The extreme right wing Knights of Malta are flexing their muscles again. This will bear watching. There could be some significance in the meeting of the two superpower leaders on the island of Malta.

These and other very important things going on in the world right now that will effect our lives in the most dramatic way. What is going on in Eastern Europe and Russia is perhaps the centerpiece of these worldwide actions. The writings of some of the best analysts in the world are being studied now. As soon as it can be digested the analysis will be included in a forthcoming article in this newsletter.

**A LESSON IN HISTORY PART 5**

**The American Revolution.** Some students of history state that the American Revolution should be described as The War For Independence. Others believe that it was truly a revolution. As we read this brief outline of cogent incidents that lead up to the actual armed conflict and the political manipulations that occurred during the years following the war, we should be able to realize that it was both a war for independence and a revolution. We will also realize that the revolution aspect was in compliance with the World Revolutionary Movement (WRM) and that the American Revolution was conducted by the same organization as the English Revolution under Cromwell. The American Revolution was to be a continuing process and it is not finished yet! The War For Independence was conducted by the American people. The Revolution was conducted by the International Money Lenders and their lackeys and battle-axe, the *Freemasonry Movement*. 
To make this point clear, the following is a paper that Senator Joseph McCarthy presented just six months before his mysterious death.

"'And many of the people of the land became Jews'. Esther 9:17. The confession of General Cornwallis to General Washington at Yorktown has been well hidden by historians. History books and textbooks have taught for years that when Cornwallis surrendered his army to General Washington that American independence came, and we lived happily ever after until the tribulations of the twentieth century."

"Jonathan Williams recorded in his Legislions of Satan, 1781, that Cornwallis revealed to Washington that 'a holy war will now begin on America, but her millions will unknowingly be loyal subjects to the Crown.' Cornwallis went on to explain what would seem to be a self contradiction; 'Your churches will be used to teach the Jew's religion and in less than two hundred years the whole nation will be working for divine world government. That government that they believe to be divine will be the British Empire. All religions will be permeated with Judaism without even being noticed by the masses, and they will all be under the invisible all-seeing eye of the Grand Architect of Freemasonry.' And indeed George Washington himself was a Mason and he gave back through a false religion what he had won with his army."

"Cornwallis well knew that his military defeat was only the beginning of world catastrophe that would be universal and that unrest would continue until mind control could be accomplished through false religion. What he predicted has come to pass. A brief sketch of American religious history and we have seen Masonry infused into every church in America with their veiled Phallic religion. Darby and the Plymouth Brethren brought a Jewish Christianity to America (According to reputable reports, Darby was a Mason-ed). Masons Rutherford and Russell started Jehovah Witnesses' Judaism which is now worldwide with their message of the divine kingdom. Mason Joseph Smith started Mormon Judaism with its Jewish teaching of millennialism. At the turn of the twentieth century there appeared the Scofield Bible with a Jewish interpretation of the prophecies. With wide use of this 'helpful' aid all the American churches have silently become synagogues. We now have Baptist Jews, Methodist Jews, Church of God Jews, apostate Catholic Jews, and many Protestant Jews throughout America. We are aliens in our own country because of false religion. All are praying for divine deliverance into that 'Divine Government' which Cornwallis knew to be the British Empire. A false religion has been used to deceive us into allegiance to our enemies of Yorktown and Bunker Hill. No! Not a gun has been fired but the invisible and malignant process of conquering America with the Jew's religion has gone on unabated. The Union Jack has been planted in our hearts with religious deception. All has happened 'legally,' 'constitutionally,' 'freely' and completely within our most sacred trust - our churches. Religious deception is painless inoculation against truth. It cannot be removed from the conscience with surgery, yet it is the motivator of our actions and directly controls our lives. Once man gives over to false religion, he is no longer rational because he originates no thought. His life is controlled by whomever controls his religion."

"The veil of false religion is the sword of Damacles and its power to control humanity defies even the imagination of tyrants who use it." Senator McCarthy continues:

"This is not to say that George Washington was a traitor willingly, or knowingly. He was beguiled into a Satanic religious order that insidiously controls men's minds. So have American statesmen and military leaders down through the years given aid and allegiance to the enemies of the United States because they did not have knowledge of the invisible subterfuge that stalks this land. My eyes were opened the day my colleague from Ohio
handed me Wagner's *Freemasonry An Interpretation*. If every American would read it, they would no longer ask why and how it has happened." End of quotes from Senator McCarthy's paper.

The religious faith and theology of a nation is everything. Their mores, customs, morals, politics, statesmanship, and laws depend entirely upon the religion of the people. Our forefathers came to this wilderness and suffered nearly overwhelming tribulations but they prevailed by the grace of our Saviour God, into forming a Christian nation. It was not yet a formal country but it was a nation of Christians who came to these shores to escape religious persecution.

Wasn't Europe ostensibly Christian? Why then did they come here to escape persecution? It was because the same thing was happening in Europe that was described in Senator McCarthy's paper. It can be stated most succinctly by quoting Rabbi Lewis Browne in his book *History of the Jews*. In his chapter "The Disunion of the Church" he states: "It is more than only a thorn. Since the Synagogue was represented in all Christian lands, it had the effect everywhere like a network of small swords, which injured the self-satisfaction of the Church. This explains the fact, that the Church allowed the Jews no pause to rest. It was her most dangerous foe, since everywhere it wandered, it promoted heresies." 1

It was the people of our nation who said that this was a Christian nation. As long as our people demanded that, we remained as such. The Supreme Court ruled on four different occasions that this was, indeed, a Christian nation. The last time they made the decree was in 1963. When our people became mesmerized with the Masonic and Jewish "brotherhood of man" concept, we lost our Christian status as a nation.

The charter of every colony stated that this was a Christian nation of people. Up through our forefathers War for Independence, this concept remained and our forefathers wanted a final break with what was going on in Europe. They wanted to worship Jesus Christ, the God of the Holy Bible, without "that network of small swords" that rabbi Browne described. As you read and reread *The Light And The Glory* by Peter Marshall and David Manuel you will see that they describe, in beautiful detail, how this became a Christian nation. The events they describe took place before 1787 and they close the book with a brief description of the Constitution. To reiterate a most important point, the United States of America was to remain a Christian nation as long as the American citizens said that it was. The Supreme Court was obliged to so decree.

From the law books we can read this: "Every system of law known to civilized society generated from or had as its component one of three well known system of ethics, pagan, stoic, or Christian. The common law draws its subsistence from the latter, its roots go deep into that system, the Christian concept of right and wrong or right and justice motivates every rule of equity. It is the guide by which we dissolve domestic frictions and the rule by which all legal controversies are settled." Strauss v. Strauss, 3 So 2d 727, @ 728 1941

The word "stoic", as used here, is very interesting. It means, "A disciple of the philosopher Zeno, who founded a sect about 308 B.C. He taught that men should be free from passion, unmoved by joy or grief, and submit without complaint to the divine will and unavoidable necessity by which all things are governed." Think about this as our present government reminds us constantly that "We are a nation of law" or "It's the law, you know" or "Ignorance of the law excuses no one."

"The Christian religion is the established religion by our form of government and all **denominations** (emphasis mine-ed.) are placed on equal footing and equally entitled to protection in their religious liberty." Runkel v. Winemiller, et. al., 4 Hc. Mch.
On April 13, 1989, the House of Representatives was presented with a Joint Resolution, H.J. Res. 238. The purpose of the resolution was to designate the week of September 24, 1989 as "Religious Freedom Week." The part of the resolution of current interest states this:

"Whereas at Touro Synagogue in 1790, President George Washington issued his famous letter declaring 'to bigotry no sanction, to persecution no assistance;''

"Whereas the Touro Synagogue letter advocating the doctrine of mutual respect and understanding was issued more than one year before the adoption of the Bill of Rights;"

Whereas the letter of President Washington to the Touro Synagogue have become national symbols of the commitment of the United States to religious freedom..." End of quotes.

To properly understand what that part of H.J. Res. 238 was saying, we need to read "the rest of the story," as Paul Harvey would say.

From the Annals Of America, Vol. 3, we read: "In the summer of 1790, George Washington toured the new republic. When he arrived at the seacoast town of Newport, Rhode Island, he was greeted with enthusiasm".

"Moses Seixas, the warden of the town's Hebrew (sic) congregation and a friend of Washington, warmly welcomed him to Newport in a letter of August 17, which is reprinted below."

"There had originally been a plan for all of the Jewish congregations in the United States to send a joint memorial of congratulations to the President. But the Newport synagogue had been reluctant to join in an earlier message, owing to Rhode Island's peculiar position with regard to the Constitution. The state had taken no part in the Philadelphia convention and had not ratified until May 1790. Washingon's tour of 1790 to consolidate the new government's position seemed the appropriate time for the congregation at Newport to express its appreciation for the tolerance of the new government. The second part of this selection is Washington's reply to the Hebrew congregation."

Moses Seixas to George Washington. "Permit the children of the stock of Abraham to approach you with the most cordial affection and esteem for your person and merits - and to join with our fellow citizens in welcoming you to Newport."

"With pleasure we reflect on those days, those days of difficulty and danger when the God of Israel, who delivered David from the peril of the sword, shielded your head in the day of battle; and we rejoice to think that the same Spirit who rested in the bosom of the greatly beloved Daniel enabling him to preside over the provinces of the Babylonish Empire rests and ever will rest upon you, enabling you to discharge the arduous duties of chief magistrate in these states."

"Deprived as we heretofore have been of the invaluable rights of free citizens, we now (with a deep sense of gratitude to the Almighty Disposer of all events) behold a government, erected by the majesty of the people - a government which to bigotry gives no sanction, to persecution no assistance, but generously affording to all liberty of conscience, and immunities of citizenship, deeming every one, of whatever nation, tongue, or language, equal parts of the great government machine. This so ample and extensive federal Union whose basis is philanthropy, mutual confidence, and public virtue, we cannot but acknowledge to be the work of the Great God, who rules in the armies of heaven and among the inhabitants of the earth, doing whatsoever seems to Him good."
"For all these blessings of civil and religious liberty which we enjoy under an equal and benign administration, we desire to send up our thanks to the Ancient of Days, the great preserver of men, beseeching him that the angel who conducted our forefathers through the wilderness into the promised land may graciously conduct you through all the difficulties and dangers of this mortal life. And, when like Joshua, full of days and full of honor, you are gathered to your fathers, may you be admitted into the heavenly paradise to partake of the water of life and the tree of immortality." End of the letter from Moses Seixas to George Washington. Of course that there is no mention of Jesus Christ in the letter. Also note that Seixas refers to a pluralistic society in the United States.

**Washington's speech to the Hebrew Congregation at Newport, R.I.** "While I receive with much satisfaction your address replete with expressions of affection and esteem, I rejoice in the opportunity of assuring you that I shall always retain a grateful remembrance of the cordial welcome I experienced in my visit to Newport from all classes of citizens."

"The reflection on the days of difficulty and danger which are past is rendered the more sweet from a consciousness that they are succeeded by days of uncommon prosperity and security. If we have wisdom to make the best use of the advantages with which we are now favored, we cannot fail, under the just administration of a good government, to become a great and a happy people."

"The citizens of the United States of America have a right to applaud themselves for having given to mankind examples of an enlarged and liberal policy, a policy worthy of imitation. All possess alike liberty of conscience and immunities of citizenship. It is now no more that toleration is spoken of as if it was by the indulgence of one class of people that another enjoyed the exercise of their inherent natural rights. For happily the government of the United States, which gives to bigotry no sanction, to persecution no assistance, requires only that they who live under its protection should demean themselves as good citizens, in giving it on all occasions their effectual support."

"It would be inconsistent with the frankness of my character not to avow that I am pleased with your favorable opinion of my administration, and fervent wishes for my felicity. May the children of the stock of Abraham, who dwell in this land, continue to merit and enjoy the good will of the other inhabitants, while everyone shall sit in safety under his own vine and fig tree, and there shall be none to make him afraid. May the Father of all mercies scatter light and not darkness in our paths, and make us all in our several vocations useful here, and in His own due time and way everlastinguly happy." End of the presentation by George Washington to the Jews of Newport. (Note again that there is not one mention made of Jesus Christ.)

One can't help but reflect on the last paragraph of Washington's presentation about "everyone shall sit in safety under his own vine and fig tree, and there shall be none to make him afraid." Those of us who travel extensively by commercial aircraft see the thousands upon thousands of homes, commercial businesses and government facilities as the airplane approaches the airport or leaves it. It staggers the imagination when you mentally multiply what you see from the airplane window by all of the cities and towns throughout the country. When one realizes that nearly every one of those buildings is financed with a mortgage that makes the facility cost three times its selling price and then realize that the mortgage money was created out of nothing but an entry in a computer, then one must question how we got into this condition.

How did it happen? What were the incidents that led up to the War For Independence for the Christians or the American Revolution for the international money lenders and Masons?
Prior the 1775, the American people were economically comfortable with a system of genuine free enterprise. Each family had its own profession or trade. The colonies were full of "cottage industries", as they would be called today, where a comprehensive line of items were manufactured for use within the colonies or shipped abroad using "Yankee Clipper" ships.

Christian ministers were in each of the colonies and they taught the lessons of the Bible, Old Testament and New, and they applied those lessons to everyday life. The ministers were used within the civil government for advise and consultation to assure that Christian principles prevailed.

There was one commercial bank on the entire east coast and hardly anyone knew of its existance. Each colony printed its own money and it was called script. Each family purchased what it could pay for. The houses were built in such a way that many are still are standing and in are good shape today. There was no slipshod workmanship and they were proud of their products.

But most important, they were CHRISTIANS. There was no such thing as Judeo-Christians. As Moses Seixas pointed out, other peoples (and in particular Jews), could not vote, own property or be citizens. The mind set for this came from the Christian's experiences in Europe and from the teachings of their ministers.

Benjamin Franklin was in England on official business for the colonies. While at a meeting Franklin was asked by some associates of the Rothschilds how he accounted for the prosperous conditions prevailing in the colonies. He replied, "That is simple. In the colonies we issue our own money. It is called Colonial Script. We issue it in proper proportion to the demands of trade and industry."

The Rothchilds went to work very quickly. Since they owned the Bank of England, it was simple to demand that a law be passed in the English Parliment to prohibit the Colonial officials from issuing their own money and to make it compulsory for them to obtain the money they required through the medium of the Bank of England. In 1764 the law was passed prohibiting the use of Colonial Script.

The colonies had to discard their script money. They were forced to mortgage the assets of the colonies to the Bank of England in order to borrow the money needed to carry on their free enterprise system of business. The moment they borrowed Bank of England money it was no longer free enterprise but Capitalism.

Benjamin Franklin then stated: "In one year the conditions were so reversed that the era of prosperity ended, and a depression set in, to such an extent that the streets of the colonies were filled with the unemployed." He said, "The Bank of England refused to give more than 50 percent of the face value of the Colonial Script when turned over as required by law." In reflecting on the primary cause of the war, Franklin said, "The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters had it not been that England took away from the Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dissatisfaction."
There was the World Revolutionary Movement (WRM) in action. It happened in England in the time of Oliver Cromwell and in the years that followed, which led to the Bank of England in 1694. It was less than 100 years later that the same thing happened in the colonies, here in our beloved country.

The paradox is that most of the leaders of the American people were Masons. They were men of intelligence, education and means. They were natural leaders. The community of them belonged to what they considered the "benevolent social society of Freemasonry." But they were duped. No matter how intelligent or educated they were or even if they were Christians, they became Judaized. As the World Revolutionary Movement put its tenacles around the colonies, our leaders fought back. But they fought the symptoms and not the causes.

The international money lenders knew that their supposed lackeys and "battleaxe", the Masons, were the leaders in the American Colonies just as the forerunners to the Masons, the Rosicrucians, were the leaders in England before 1694. But something apparently went wrong with their plans. Either Washington, Jefferson, Franklin, etc., were truly naive as to what Freemasonry was all about or they had other plans of their own. The money baron's initial efforts for their American Revolution failed.

In true Hegellian Dialectics, the money barons worked and funded both sides. They funded and provided paid mercenary Hessian soldiers for the British. Of course, the funding was in the form of loans, so they made plenty of money from that transaction! 3

On the American side, we can read from Rabbi Josef Kastein's book *History And Destiny Of The Jews*, page 376, "The Jews took part in the struggle, as a rule supporting those who were fighting for liberty. And, as might almost have been expected, it was a Jew, Chaim Solamon, who was obliged to finance the revolution." 4

From Eustace Mullins' book, *History Of The Jews*, page 109 we read. "As usual, the Rothschilds bet on both sides. Through an American agent, a Polish Jew named Haym Solomon, the Rothschilds lent money to the American Army. The American representative was Robert Morris, and the sum is said to have been $600,000. Although the entire transaction is shrouded in mystery, for more than a century, the Jews have peddled the fantastic lie that Haym Solomon financed the entire American struggle for independence. As Kastein says page 376 of his *History Of The Jews*, 'As might almost have been expected, it was a Jew, Chaim Solomon, who was obliged to finance the revolution.'"

"Kastein offers no evidence to support this claim, because there is none. The Jews have stated that a poor Jewish tailor, Chaim Solomon, knowing that the Americans had no money to continue their fight, donated his entire fortune of $600,000 to them, and that he was never repaid. First of all, a poor Jewish tailor can hardly be said to be poor if he has a fortune of $600,000, (equivalent to twenty million dollars in today's purchasing power), nor is there any explanation of how he came by this fortune. Second, no one has ever been able to establish that anyone named Haym Solomon, or Chaim Solomon, was in America during the Revolution. The likelihood is that this was one of a number of aliases used by a Rothschild agent in carrying out various missions for the Jewish bankers. We do know that there was a Robert Morris, and that he obtained a charter for the Bank of North America from Congress in 1781, that he was an agent of the Rothschilds..." 5

We know that the newly formed constitutional government with President Washington was obliged to Mr. Robert Morris. From Washington Irving's *Life of Washington, part IV*, we read this: "Congress reassembled, according to adjournment, on the first Monday in December, at Philadelphia, which was now, for a time, the seat of government. A house belonging to Mr. Robert Morris, the financier, had been hired by Washington for his
residence, and, at his request, had undergone additions and alterations, in a plain and neat, and not by any means in an extravagant style. His secretary, Mr. Lear (As in Norman, of The- America-Way fame-ed.), had made every preparation for his arrival and accommodation, and, among other things, had spoken of the rich and elegant style in which the state carriage was fitted up...." 6

The proposed Bank of America was the subject of an extremely intense debate within the newly founded Congress. From Irving's Life of Washington this debate is explained:

"Mr. Hamilton, in his former Treasury report, had recommended the establishment of a National Bank; he now, in a special report, urged the policy of the measure. A bill introduced in conformity with his views was passed in the Senate, but vehemently opposed in the House; partly on considerations of policy; but chiefly on the ground of constitutionality. On one side it was denied that the constitution had given to Congress the power of incorporation; on the other side it was insisted that such power was incident to the power vested in Congress for raising money.

"The question was argued at length, and with great ardor, and after passing the House of Representatives by a majority of nineteen votes, came before the executive for his approval. Washington was fully alive to the magnitude of the question and the interest felt in it by the opposing parties. The cabinet was divided on it. Jefferson and Randolph denied its constitutionality; Hamilton and Knox maintained it. Washington required of each minister the reasons of his opinion in writing; and, after maturely weighing them, gave his sanction to the act, and the bill was carried into effect." 7

So we must know that banking and the ownership thereof was the first question put before Congress. The arguments were vicious and both sides knew that the fate of the nation rested in the balance. Were we to have a free enterprise system in the Christian sense or were we to have the Babylonish capitalist system? Was the money to be put into circulation without usury as the Christian Colonies had operated or was it to be a central bank which put the money into circulation only through debt and usury?

There would have been no argument had the Constitution been more specific. But, this most monumental point was left in language that was ambiguous. Most revisionist historians believe that action was intentional.

The battle lines were drawn in the preparation of the Constitution in much the same way as the debates regarding the national bank and the money. Thomas Jefferson and Patrick Henry fought valiantly for simply modifying the existing Articles of Confederation in such a way as to allow the individual states to work in concert with each other. But they wanted to retain the republican system of states rights and they certainly wanted to retain control of the system of money.

Washington sided with Hamilton in wanting to totally scrap the Articles of Confederation and develop a totally new government with strong central powers. The issue of money was paramount in a strong central government.

From the very well known History of the United States by Charles Beard we read this: "In these circumstances agitations were started in several quarters for changes in the American system of government that had come into being as an emergency government during the Revolution and had been continued under the Articles of Confederation. Former army officers, now organized in the Order of the Cincinnati, and former soldiers of the rank and file found the paper scrip with which they had been paid off at the close of the war so reduced in value as to be almost worthless. Holders of state and Continental securities were disgruntled, for the interest on their bonds was not paid promptly, if at all, and their paper
sank in the markets, sometimes to a price as low as ten cents on the dollar... Creditors fretted over paper-money schemes and other threats to their mortgages on property."

What Mr. Beard is referring to here is what Benjamin Franklin stated as the cause of the War for Independence in the first place. The issuance of money was in a state of chaos and had been since the start of the war because of the Bank of England forcing upon the colonies the chaotic condition of the money supply. There was no possible way to correct that problem and fight a war at the same time. The agents of the Rothschilds and the Bank of England were very much still in control.

The people of the newly founded nation spoke of their fears of making a drastic change too quickly. Continuing from Beard's *History of the United States*: "But all along the line advocates of material changes met vigorous opposition. Although none of the leaders in this resistance had the prestige of Washington, many of them had been eminent in the Revolutionary cause. In the struggle against the powerful grip of the British Crown and Parliament, thousands of Americans had acquired a horror of 'strong government.' Some among them feared that any strong government might end in a monarchy or that it would mean, in any case, big armies, big navies, heavy taxes, mountainous debts, and interference with personal liberty, in the style of the British government. Especially among farmers, who formed a majority of the people, the idea was rigidly held that the state legislature was the best bulwark for the protection of their interests and rights. Widespread was the conviction that full autonomy in towns, counties, and states was the truest and best basis for the life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence. To Americans who had such views nothing seemed more reasonable that the belief that 'centralization' was to be dreaded and that the rights of states were to be cherished and preserved." (End of the quotes from Beard's *History of the United States*.)

This fear on the part of the common man, the one who has to pay the taxes and fight the wars so that the "uncommon few" can have their banks to make more money and to control the common man, showed his distrust in another way, also. From The *Secret History of the American Revolution* by Carl Van Doren, we can read these passages: "A noisy parade of the militia in Philadelphia on the 24th had been followed the next day by a meeting at which a noisy party had protested against the rising prices caused by the depreciation of the Continental currency. Rich merchants, like Robert Morris with his warehouses of flour, were particularly blamed for forcing prices up without concern for the public distress.... Early in October a riotous mob, made up partly of militiamen, attacked James Wilson's house where a group of notables including Robert Morris, all accused of profiteering, had taken refuge."

This was Robert Morris, the financier, agent of the Rothschilds Bank of England. Morris was also a delegate at the constitutional convention along with James Wilson. Alexander Hamilton, another agent for the Rothschilds Bank of England was a delegate. Isn't it interesting that Thomas Jefferson was sent to France during the time of the convention and therefore couldn't be a delegate? Patrick Henry refused to be a delegate because he "smelt a rat."

The two men who could have stood up and debated Alexander Hamilton on the money issue were absent. Patrick Henry was undoubtedly the most eloquent and powerful orator in America and Thomas Jefferson perhaps understood the common man, the bedrock of America, better than anyone in our history. As much as we love Patrick Henry, we must state that he should have been there at the convention because he "smelt a rat."

The manipulations of the agents for the Bank of England and the World Revolutionary Movement (WRM) failed in their first attempt to make the United States of America a part of
their world economic system. Their American Revolution failed in their first attempt and the American people's War of Independence won. Only in succeeding attempts did they finally start winning. We must continue with the story of their American Revolution in the next issue. That revolution was a continuing process.

It wasn't the document called the Constitution that made the difference. It was the people of our nation who caused our country to be great. As long as our people retained a true Christian ethic, the government and the Constitution, were forced to retain its greatness. When our people began to accept other concepts of the nature of God and then even to allow others to be a part of our government, to tell Christians what was right and wrong, we started the spiral down into oblivion (Deu 28).

As much as we hold in esteem the founders of this country, what did they have in common that was the downfall of our nation? The majority of them were Freemasons. They were taught in Masonry of the "brotherhood of man." Those who went to a Christian church were taught of the deity of Christ. They then went to the Masonic lodge and were taught that He was just another prophet. Jesus said in Mat. 12:30 "He that is not with me is against me." He said in Mat. 12:25 "Every kingdom divided against itself is brought to desolation; and every city or house divided against itself shall not stand." Jesus said in Mat. 6:24 "No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon."

The United States of America is a country of Masons. It has been that way from the very beginning. That fact is even reflected in the Constitution itself, as the first part of this lesson in history will attest. Many of our presidents were high Masons. An inordinate number of members of Congress have been, and are, Masons. Many of the preachers within the Judeo-Christian community are Masons. And that is the major reason they are Judeo-Christian. Also, there is no question but what it is far easier to succeed in business if you are a Mason.

Lafayette, the personal friend of the Masons among the founding fathers, was a Mason. It was Lafayette who designed the layout of Washington D.C. Study the map of Washington and you will see the significance of allowing Lafayette to design the layout.

Facing the Capitol as the head or top of the compass, the left leg is represented by Pennsylvania Ave and the right leg, Maryland Ave. The square is found in the usual Masonic position with the intersection of Canal St. and Louisiana Ave. The left leg of the compass stands on the White House and the right leg stands on the Jefferson Memorial. The circle drive and short streets behind the Capitol form the head and ears of what Satanists call the Goat of Mendes or Goat’s head.

On top of the White House is an inverted 5 pointed star, or Pentagram. The point is facing South in true occult fashion. It sits within the intersections of Connecticut and Vermont Avenues North to Dupont and Logan Circles, with Rhode Island and Massachusetts going to Washington Circle to the West and Mt. Vernon Square on the East.

The center of the pentagram is 16th St. where, thirteen blocks due North of the very center of the White House, the Masonic House of The Temple sits at the top of this occult symbol.

The Washington Monument stands in perfect line to the intersecting point of the form of the Masonic square, stretching from the House of the Temple to the Capitol building. Within the hypotenuse of that right triangle sit many of the headquarter buildings for the most powerful departments of government, such as the Justice Dept, U.S. Senate and the Internal Revenue Service.
It would be great to know that your national Capital is bathed in continual prayer and to believe that its buildings and monuments have been dedicated in prayer. But every key Federal building from the White House to the Capitol building has had a cornerstone laid in a Masonic ritual and had specific Masonic paraphernalia placed in each one. The cornerstones of all these buildings have been laid in Masonic ritual, dedicated to the demonic god of Masonry, Jao-Bu-On. That is the secret name of the Masonic god, the "Lost Word" in the rite of the Royal Arch degree. "Jao" is the Greek name for the god of the Gnostics, Laldabaoth, "Bul" is the rendering of the name Baal, and "On" is the Babylonian name of Osiris. The Washington Monument is a phallic symbol upon which Speculative Masonry is based. The blocks of stone, with which the monument was built, were donated by Masonic Lodges from throughout the country. 10
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