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Defendants.
PRESS RELEASE

NEW YORK, NY-- (10/25/12) -- Spire Law Group, LLP's national home owners' lawsuit, pending in the venue where the “Banksters” control their $43 trillion racketeering scheme (New York) – known as the largest money laundering and racketeering lawsuit in United States History and identifying $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) of laundered money by the “Banksters” and their U.S. racketeering partners and joint venturers – now pinpoints the identities of the key racketeering partners of the “Banksters” located in the highest offices of government and acting for their own self-interests.

In connection with the federal lawsuit now impending in the United States District Court in Brooklyn, New York – involving, among other things, a request that the District Court enjoin all mortgage foreclosures by the Banksters nationwide, unless and until the entire $43 trillion is repaid to a court-appointed receiver – Plaintiffs now establish the location of the $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) of laundered money in a racketeering enterprise participated in by the following individuals (without limitation): Attorney General Holder acting in his individual capacity, Assistant Attorney General Tony West, the brother in law of Defendant California Attorney General Kamala Harris (both acting in their individual capacities), Jon Corzine (former New Jersey Governor), Robert Rubin (former Treasury Secretary and Bankster), Timothy Geitner, Treasury Secretary (acting in his individual capacity), Vikram Pandit (recently resigned and disgraced Chairman of the Board of Citigroup), Valerie Jarrett (a Senior White House Advisor), Anita Dunn (a former “communications director” for the Obama Administration), Robert Bauer (husband of Anita Dunn and Chief Legal Counsel for the Obama Re-election Campaign), as well as the “Banksters” themselves, and their affiliates and conduits. The lawsuit alleges serial violations of the United States Patriot Act, the Policy of Embargo Against Iran and Countries Hostile to the Foreign Policy of the United States, and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (commonly known as the RICO statute) and other State and Federal laws.

In the District Court lawsuit, Spire Law Group, LLP -- on behalf of home owner across the Country and New York taxpayers, as well as under other taxpayer recompense laws -- has expanded its mass tort action into federal court in Brooklyn, New York, seeking to halt all foreclosures nationwide pending the return of the $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) by the “Banksters” and their co-conspirators, seeking an audit of the Fed and audits of all the “bailout programs” by an independent receiver such as Neil Barofsky, former Inspector General of the TARP program who has stated that
none of the TARP money and other “bailout money” advanced from the Treasury has ever been repaid despite protestations to the contrary by the Defendants as well as similar protestations by President Obama and the Obama Administration both publicly on national television and more privately to the United States Congress. Because the Obama Administration has failed to pursue any of the “Banksters” criminally, and indeed is actively borrowing monies for Mr. Obama’s campaign from these same “Banksters” to finance its political aspirations, the national group of plaintiff home owners has been forced to now expand its lawsuit to include racketeering, money laundering and intentional violations of the Iranian Nations Sanctions and Embargo Act by the national banks included among the “Bankster” Defendants.

The complaint – which has now been fully served on thousands of the “Banksters and their Co-Conspirators” – makes it irrefutable that the epicenter of this laundering and racketeering enterprise has been and continues to be Wall Street and continues to involve the very “Banksters” located there who have repeatedly asked in the past to be “bailed out” and to be “bailed out” in the future.

The Havens for the money laundering schemes – and certain of the names and places of these entities – are located in such venues as Switzerland, the Isle of Man, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Cypress and entities controlled by governments adverse to the interests of the United States Sanctions and Embargo Act against Iran, and are also identified in both the United Nations and the U.S. Senate’s recent reports on international money laundering. Many of these entities have already been personally served with summons and process of the complaint during the last six months. It is now beyond dispute that, while the Obama Administration was publicly encouraging loan modifications for home owners by “Banksters”, it was privately ratifying the formation of these shell companies in violation of the United States Patriot Act, and State and Federal law. The case further alleges that through these obscure foreign companies, Bank of America, J.P. Morgan, Wells Fargo Bank, Citibank, Citigroup, One West Bank, and numerous other federally chartered banks stole trillions of dollars of home owners’ and taxpayers’ money during the last decade and then laundered it through offshore companies.

This District Court Complaint – maintained by Spire Law Group, LLP -- is the only lawsuit in the world listing as Defendants the Banksters, let alone serving all of such Banksters with legal process and therefore forcing them to finally answer the charges in court. Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, nor the Office of the
Attorney General, nor any State Attorney General has sued the Banksters and thereby legally chased them worldwide to recover-back the $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) and other lawful damages, injunctive relief and other legal remedies.

James N. Fiedler, Managing Partner of Spire Law Group, LLP, stated: “Why did it take a private law firm to reveal the biggest secret regarding the Obama Administration, especially after the prior Bush Administration appointed a competent Democrat – Neil Barofsky – to head up the TARP program? What has the Administration done to recover back these trillions of dollars, since April, 2012 when this complaint was first filed? Why hasn’t the Administration acted to investigate or fire Holder, engaged in conflicts of interest with outside lawyers while he purports at the same time to act for the public good as U.S. Attorney General? What exactly does Timothy Geitner do for a living, other than preside over the laundering and racketeering of trillions of dollars? Why has no Bankster been sued or indicted by any of the foregoing public officials, while they concurrently steal trillions of dollars and transfer them to Luxembourg? Former FDIC Chairman Sheila Bair said that the “bailout” benefitted ‘solely the Banksters and all regular American citizens were left to fend for themselves.’”

Spire Law Group, LLP’s charter is to not allow such corruption to go unanswered.

Comments were requested from the Attorney Generals' offices in NY, CA, NV, NH, OH, MA and the White House, but no comment was provided.

About Spire Law Group

**Spire Law Group, LLP** is a national law firm whose motto is "the public should be protected -- at all costs -- from corruption in whatever form it presents itself." The Firm is comprised of lawyers nationally with more than 250-years of experience in a span of matters ranging from representing large corporations and wealthy individuals, to also representing the masses. The Firm is at the front lines litigating against government officials, banks, defunct loan pools, and now the very offshore entities where the corruption was enabled and perpetrated.
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The above-named Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, demand a jury trial and complain and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This lawsuit arises from, among other things: (1) the deception in inducing Plaintiffs to enter into loans and mortgages\(^1\) from approximately 2003 through 2007 and which were acquired or are serviced by Defendants; (ii) the fraudulent and illegal use of MERS and other “camouflage entities” in connection with those loans and mortgages; (iii) Defendants’ breach of Plaintiffs’ statutorily protected rights; (iv) Defendants’ breach and willful violation of numerous consumer and homeowner protection statutes, and willful violations of unfair business practices statutes, by, among other things, processing money from unknown sources, in contravention of the Patriot Act and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act; (v) accepting money, transferring alleged assets and foreclosing upon alleged assets in instances where the alleged assets do not exist, and in which these Defendants have no right, title, or interest upon which they can act; and (vi) Defendants’ continuing conversion and other tortious conduct intended to deprive Plaintiffs of their money, property and legal rights and remedies for the foregoing acts, as described more fully below. (Hereinafter “Defendants’ Scheme.”)

II. INTRODUCTION

2. The Defendants scheme was and continues to be a gigantic international money laundering, “ponzi” and racketeering enterprise in which Defendants – and each of them as set forth herein – deceived, defrauded, stole, laundered, transferred, hypothecated (collectively “ponzi” enterprise) and then increased the level of theft by stealing from the United States of America and injecting into the ponzi enterprise a grand total (including monies stolen from all

\(^1\) The words “mortgage” and “deed of trust” are used interchangeably throughout this Complaint.
plaintiffs) of $43-Trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) through the foregoing acts, through the acts set forth herein, and through supplemental acts continuing and ongoing as recently as the day prior to filing this complaint as follows (a) the deception in inducing Plaintiffs to enter into loans and mortgages and loan modification agreements and compromise agreements and other undertakings of any and all kinds and nature, from approximately 2003 through and including the date of this First Amended Complaint, and (b) the fabrication of documentation, charges, lawsuits, investigations and other modalities against the founders of Plaintiffs’ counsel in this matter, lawyers throughout the United States, and any persons who were opposed to the theft of the entirety of at least 10X the United States of America’s entire money supply ($43 trillion), all pertaining to the ponzi and racketeering schemes and money laundering intentions by the defendants as follows:

3. To direct and then divert the attention of involuntary plaintiff the United States of America, and all plaintiffs in this case, against the stoppage of real estate foreclosures in America and other impending foreclosures nationwide, include through utilization of false records and documents, and to concomitantly divert their attention away from the facts that (a) Defendants – and each of them – had come into possession of, laundered, stolen, transferred, fraudulently conveyed, subsequently transferred, hypothecated, and secreted (collectively “stolen” or “converted”) (i) for their own personal use as set forth below and (ii) by transferring or subsequently converting the proceeds of the foregoing and other personalty into entities and persons located in jurisdictions that lack sufficient treaties with the United States of America in the total sum of more than $43 Trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00), and (b) Defendants – and each of them – had as well stolen, converted and wrongfully utilized all personal financial information of each person in the United States who either has a social security number or a
driver’s license (so-called persons on the “grid”), all representing (a) some 10 (ten) times the entire money supply of the United States of America, (b) substantially all the paper money the United States of America was able to print in a non-counterfeit-setting as of the dates the money was unlawfully printed and in the total sum of at least $20 Trillion out of the entire $43 Trillion stolen. While the defendants – and each of them – were able to hide the amounts of money and manner of the conspiratorial taking of money for individual profit and gain over the past decade due to various corrupt practices of officials acting far outside the course and scope of their employment, the public discourse is now replete with proof of the foregoing ponzi and racketeering schemes and concomitant money laundering enterprise by all Defendants (and in each case for personal gain) using entities that are Defendants herein and that also were or are fronts for drug cartels, terrorist groups or other unsourced money transferors and transferees violative of the United States Patriot Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act and other State and Federal laws. As of the date of this First Amended Complaint, Defendants continue to fraudulently transfer portions of the $43 trillion to other entities that they control, including the entities set forth on Exhibit “A” hereto. An asset freeze order pending appointment of an independent receiver, is required in order to safeguard and return the assets to the United States of America, Plaintiffs and other rightful owners thereto.

4. In other words, after the deafening sadness of the 9/11 assaults against the Country, the Defendants – each entering the conspiracy because of the confusion associated with the United States’ focus on Homeland Security to protect the safety of its citizens – and for personal gain at various times throughout the past decade as set forth in great detail below and as the receiver for the United States of America and State of New York shall forensically audit for the benefit of Plaintiffs and all persons “on the grid” meant to receive the protection of the State
(US and New York) – the Defendants have actually and in fact engaged in the raping, pillaging and plundering of $43 Trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) as well as other personalty including clothing, automobiles, valuable jewelry, gold, silver, precious metals and all forms of valuable personal property known to man, so that the wealth of Plaintiffs and all citizens of the United States of America, and the wealth of the United States of America itself, were stolen as set forth above and herein to the few groups of Americans who calculated and hatched the foregoing plan in directly copying the modus operandi associated with the historical manner in which the Vikings raided, traded, explored and ultimately settled in wide areas of Europe, Asia and the North Atlantic islands from the late 8th to the mid-11th Century. Included among the lawless activities of the Defendants has been the breaking and entering into homes of American citizens and stripping such homes of jewelry, fixtures, appliances and anything of value, without court order or approval, and with full knowledge that no court or legislative system could stop pillaging and plundering done with such speed, such detailed intention and such Viking-like efficiency. This lawsuit is necessary to avoid the looming financial crisis that shall occur as a result of the foregoing theft of $43 trillion-plus. Indeed, former TARP Inspector General Neil Banofsky has warned that another massive bailout will again be required if the money center banks and/or their principals are deemed “too big to jail.”

5. To be specific and to assure no ambiguity, such pillage and plundering –which has transferred wealth of the United States by the sum of more than $43 Trillion to the hands of the Defendants, and each of them -- neither George H. W. Bush nor George W. Bush (nor their subordinates) were a part of this plan, because it was hatched and implemented without their knowledge and it is undisputed that the proceeds of the plan were used to pay for the election of Barack Obama and a Democratic Congress in 2008, as well as various other state and local
officials who have then taken money personally as a part of the “Viking-like campaign” to steal all assets available to be stolen in the United States. The United States’ judicial and legislative systems have been unable to stop the world’s onslaught on the United States of America, and the executive branch of the government has made no such attempt either through nonfeasance, misfeasance or malfeasance. The United States of America and its citizens – plaintiffs herein and other victims similarly situated – require the issuance of broad injunctive relief to prevent any further theft and looting absent judicial review by one experienced federal judge acting with full jurisdictional authority as well as with knowledge gained from (and audited by) an independent and experienced court-appointed receiver. Such relief – which is a critical component of this lawsuit as well as the recovery of the stolen $43 Trillion – is vital to the future survival of the United States of America, and the financial security of Plaintiffs, their Privies and those similarly situated, and to prevent a further bailout of the Banksters.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims against each of the Defendants by way of 18 U.S.C. § 1964.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 18 U.S.C. § 1965, 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409(a). The injury to the business and property of the United States of America, the State of New York and Plaintiffs occurred in New York. The “loan pool” defendants and the specific Groups identified below (i) organized and directed the Illegal Scheme from, among other places, New York and from the specific New York addresses set forth below; (ii) fed the Ponzi and RICO schemes via the money laundering devices and the theft from the Fed of Trillions of Dollars (more than $43 trillion) through bank accounts ultimately located in New York; (iii) carefully guarded all evidence of the conspiracy
set forth herein at addresses set forth herein – and that will be further adduced during discovery – in New York, New York and nowhere else most of them being situated at J.P. Morgan Chase in New York and wired there through HSBC (the wire transfer enabler) as reported in the anti-terrorism report issued by the United States Senate in July, 2012 and attached hereto as Exhibit B; (iv) drew secret kickbacks (called “skimming”) from one of thousands of bank accounts held by the numerous money-laundering, RICO defendants set forth herein for the personal benefit of those persons and their families in power who were able to manipulate the system and conduct such thefts of money. Venue is also proper in this judicial district under 18 U.S.C. § 1965(b) because, to the extent that any Defendant may reside outside of this district, the entire RICO and money laundering conspiracy depended upon Wall Street in order for it to be implemented and maintained, all master bank accounts tracking the proceeds of the $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) stolen by the Defendants are located in New York city, all remaining paper evidence of the conspiracy and theft and looting of money remains in New York city, and the ends of justice require such Defendants to be brought before this Court.

8. Furthermore, this Court has jurisdiction over each of the non-domiciliary Defendants because each of them transacts business within the State of New York within the meaning of the foregoing statutes and State and Federal law, each of them committed tortious acts inside the State of New York or outside the State of New York causing injury within the State of New York within the meaning of State and Federal law; and/or the Defendants deposited the fruits of the fraud, the evidence of the Defendants’ Scheme and their ponzi and RICO enterprises, and/or the ill-gotten gains therefrom, into banks, other like-institutions and/or into hiding places including bank vaults and other secret undercrofts within locations situated in the State of New York.
9. All transactions sued upon herein were transactions occurring exclusively in interstate and then international commerce. All subsequent transfers disclosed herein (whether or not fraudulent) emanated only from the State of New York or banks and/or financial institutions (e.g., “loan pools”) which have New York as their principal place of business.

10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to State and Federal law, as all Defendants are either domiciled in New York city, or they regularly conduct business there and avail themselves of the benefits and protections of New York law there. Furthermore, venue is proper because all defendants agreed and co-conspired to the fraudulent transfers and subsequent transfers arising out of the tortious activity committed in the State of New York described herein.

11. Furthermore, venue is proper because involuntary plaintiff the United States of America – acting through Plaintiffs’ standing as taxpayers to move for the appointment of a receiver to forensically audit and recover-back a sum that will eliminate the Country’s deficit of $16 Trillion and any Medicare shortfalls merely by utilizing national and international law to recover back the $43 Trillion stolen – will be unable to reach the heart of the evidence and money without conducting daily and intensive judicial and extra-judicial discovery against Defendants, all of which assured that the fruits and evidence of their Scheme and ponzi/RICO enterprise are located physically near the supervisors thereof all of which are residents of the State of New York as set forth in detail hereof.

12. There should be no mistake: Plaintiffs allege and have direct evidence that this is a Wall Street-controlled Scheme and ponzi/RICO-enterprise, plain, simple, and . . . period. This episode of unparalleled greed and concomitant theft, could not have survived and flourished, without (a) the thousands of so-called “loan pools” which are defendants herein and operated to launder and hide the monetary, shady dealings of Defendants, and (b) the “wink and nod” from
regulators including the Obama Administration not to recover the monies from the Banksters or to prosecute them civilly or criminally, and (c) to continue to tell “the big lie” that all such stolen monies were repaid to the Treasury, as hundreds of millions of Americans saw on national television when the President himself said “the bailout monies have been repaid with interest.”

13. Because the scheme of the Defendants has been unraveled and Defendants have been caught red handed, this Court is empowered to now take action that will eliminate all woes for both Plaintiffs (on a micro-economic basis) and for involuntary plaintiff the United States of America (on a macro-economic basis). Such relief will require that the injunctive relief also include a prohibition against any further “printing” of money by federal officials absent the Congressional approval and oversight normally attendant to such multi-trillion-dollar expenditures. Plaintiffs and the United States of America merely seek compliance with State and Federal law, and through an appropriate order of this Honorable Court the Defendants – who now hold $43 Trillion of Plaintiffs’ and the United States’ money – (a) can pay into the Court an amount necessary to assure propriety and efficacy in the appointment of a receiver and management of the recovery for the benefit of the United States of America and all citizens and (b) are ordered, barred and enjoined under the provisions of federal law set forth herein from reaping any further benefits of the “national bank settlement” which was signed through Defendants’ fraud in not disclosing any of the foregoing facts to the Plaintiffs or to any American taxpayer.

IV. THE DEFENDANTS’ THEFT OF $43 TRILLION FROM PLAINTIFFS, THEIR PRIVIES, AND OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED THERE TO

A. Defendant Members of the Bankster Enterprise

14. The members of the Bankster Enterprise are individuals and entities – foreign and domestic – who carefully planned this “reverse-run-on-the-bank” (i.e., this theft and looting of
the assets of Plaintiffs and the assets of millions of Americans beginning after 9/11. At that
time, the economy was in a shambles and the “solution” of allowing an influx of foreign money
into the Wall Street economic system was discussed by the Defendants and ultimately joined in
by all Defendants set forth above. The members of the Bankster Enterprise are separated in this
First Amended Complaint into three groups. They are (a) The recipients of monies generated by
the fraudulent ponzi/RICO scheme (“first group”), (b) enablers of monies generated by the
fraudulent ponzi/RICO scheme (“second group”) and (c) payors of monies generated by the
scheme (“third group”). All members of each of the three groups profited by their roles in the
scheme, skimming, misappropriation and embezzlement of monies for personal use as they
officially carried on the ponzi/RICO scheme. Apart from all Defendants set forth herein, Exhibit
A hereto lists subsequent transferee Defendants affiliated with all Defendants herein and which
may be added as Doe defendants in the future should sufficient information exist to do so.
Furthermore, the actual acts and omissions of each Defendant individually – and in conspiracy
with the other Defendants – are expressly set forth in this Section IV. All Defendants set forth
herein acted with full knowledge that they were and are engaged in the continuing theft,
laundering, misappropriation, racketeering of trillions of dollars emanating from New York City
and constituting, among other things, mail fraud, wire fraud, securities fraud and TARP fraud,
tax fraud as well as violations of other State and Federal laws set forth herein. To the extent the
Defendant is a governmental official, they have been named in their individual capacity and each
government official named herein has directed monies of American citizens into the pockets of
themselves and the other Defendants set forth herein.

15. Indeed, the Defendants were so brazen that they applied to the United States
Patent and Trademark Office for thousands of patents approving detailed and complex schemes
involving the movement of money, information and assets to secret entities (whether located offshore or otherwise). These applications for “patents approving of money laundering” began shortly after the millennium, and continues to this day. The patent applications make undisputed the bad-intentions of the Defendants, because they lay out the money laundering and ponzi schemes with specificity prior to the first dollar being unlawfully taken from any of the Plaintiffs or American citizens. They do this schematically in a chart prepared for the benefit of Defendant Bank of America – and filed by Bank of America’s lawyers – with the United States Patent and Trademark Office during the last decade. Although it talks of “pawnbroker transactions,” the patent defines “pawnbroker transactions” as including mortgage securitizations. It is an outrageous and flagrant statement of Bank of America’s intention to move the money and personal financial information of hundreds of thousands of Americans overseas irrespective of whether or not it involved mortgages, securitizations, or simply thin air.

16. Each of the Defendants either filed patent applications similar to the one exhibited hereto, or they laundered money by purchasing an interest in the “general intangibles” represented by the patent application although these intangibles were and are worthless. There is no worth or value to a patent application requesting approval of a system for transferring money, yet these patent applications supported written contracts in the hundreds of dollars approving the payment of billions of dollars amongst the various Defendants and each of them. The purchase of intangibles for hundreds of millions of dollars at a time represented just one of dozens of ways that the Defendants “supported and justified” the laundering of money and supporting ponzi schemes attendant thereto. On information and belief, the Defendants and each of them are either (a) the beneficiaries of annuities provided by the Banksters pursuant to this money laundering and ponzi scheme or (b) the enablers of the offshore movement of money as expressly outlined
in the patent applications and attendant detailed money laundering schematics or (c) the payors of these annuities, skimming, misappropriating and embezzling off the top huge profits selling derivatives and other instruments supporting the very scheme that they brazenly made public during the last decade whereby they would launder, transfer, hypothecate, re-transfer, convey and fraudulently transfer the proceeds of their fraud, to wit: money and personal financial information of American citizens duped by the ponzi scheme and the fact that their entire lives (literally) were being “pawned” (in the words of one patent) internationally and without any pre-existing disclosure to Plaintiffs or other American citizens victimized by these schemes.

B. Illegal Schemes of the Bankster Enterprise

17. The schemes of the Defendants are (a) described in paragraphs 1 through 5 above and (b) expanded upon in the description below. Indeed, the Defendants were so brazen in their theft, looting and governmental corruption that they have regularly, and in conspiracy with all other Defendants, fabricated evidence and then arresting lawyers who have sued them for such theft and corruption, only to then admit on witness stands in open courtrooms across the country that there is no evidence supporting their wild theories.

18. The audacious conspiratorial schemes of the Defendants reach to the highest levels of Wall Street, Main Street and State and Federal governmental agencies. Indeed, prior to the theft of their first dollar and their first dossier of private information of the Plaintiffs and other Americans, Defendants – and each of them in conspiracy with all other Defendants -- applied to the United States Patent and Trademark Office seeking the approval of thousands of patents detailing complex schemes involving the movement of money, information and assets to secret entities(whether located offshore or otherwise). These applications for “patents approving
of money laundering” began shortly after the millennium, and continues to this day. The patent applications render it beyond dispute that the crimes, theft and corruption set forth herein were committed with malice aforethought, as the patents represented the Defendants’ blueprint for the money laundering and theft from Plaintiffs and Americans as alleged herein. A true and correct copy of one (out of thousands) of attachments to these “smoking gun” patent applications is referenced above as a schematic submitted to the United States Patent & Trademark office by Defendant Bank of America and filed by Bank of America’s lawyers with the United States Patent and Trademark Office during the last decade. Although it talks of “pawnbroker transactions,” the official patent defines “pawnbroker transactions” as including mortgage securitizations. It is an outrageous and flagrant statement of Bank of America’s intention to move the money and personal financial information of millions of Americans overseas irrespective of whether or not it involves mortgages, securitizations, or simply thin air.

19. Each of the Defendants either filed patent applications similar to the one referenced above, or they laundered money by purchasing an interest in the “general intangibles” represented by the patent applications although these intangibles were and are worthless. There is no worth or value to a patent application requesting approval of a system for transferring and laundering money and private information, yet these patent applications supported written contracts in the hundreds of millions of dollars approving the payment of billions of dollars amongst the various Defendants and each of them. The purchase of intangibles for hundreds of millions of dollars at a time represented just one of dozens of ways that the Defendants “supported and justified” the laundering of money and supporting ponzi schemes attendant thereto. On information and belief, the Defendants and each of them are either (a) the beneficiaries of annuities provided by the Banksters pursuant to this money laundering and ponzi
scheme or (b) the enablers of the offshore movement of money as expressly outlined in the patent applications and attendant detailed money laundering schematics or (c) the payors of these annuities, skimming, misappropriating and embezzling off the top huge profits selling derivatives and other instruments supporting the very scheme that they brazenly made public during the last decade whereby they would launder, transfer, hypothecate, re-transfer, convey and fraudulently transfer the proceeds of their fraud, to wit: money and personal financial information of American citizens duped by the ponzi scheme and the fact that their entire lives (literally) were being “pawned” (in the words of one patent) internationally and without any pre-existing disclosure to Plaintiffs or other American citizens victimized by these schemes.

20. There was never an instance when any of the Defendants intended to or did in fact credit a payment of money received by any Plaintiff herein against any amount due and owing under any promissory note signed by any Plaintiff. Rather, the scheme was the opposite: To take Plaintiffs’ money and personal financial information and transfer, re-transfer, fraudulently transfer, and then reconvey all of their money and personal financial information having no relationship whatsoever with any contract existing between any of the Bankster Defendants, on the one hand, and any Plaintiff, on the other hand. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, the Bankster Defendants will continue to rob, loot, and steal Plaintiffs’ assets whether through corruption and fraud (e.g., robo-signing or fabricating evidence to continue the scam) or through some other unlawful mechanism. The Executive and Legislative branches of government have paid $43 Trillion to Defendants, without being able to slow them down in any way from the robbing and looting of America. Only through judicial intervention and injunctive relief – as outlined below – can Defendants be stopped from violating the United States Patriot Act as well as the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act in their organized, pre-planned
assault upon the properties, privacy and liberty interests of Plaintiffs and other American citizens.

C. Twenty Trillion Dollars of TARP and Other U.S. Monies Laundered

21. In the course of their ponzi scheme – and as a result of world market conditions – Defendant Bankster Enterprise comprised all of the National Banking Enterprises set forth below and certain of its individuals. At that time, members of the Enterprise had – as a general rule – only one kind of asset securing the money they had advanced, to wit: Land. Like any ponzi scheme, they needed more money to operate and they did not have it ready. At this time, the Defendant National Banks – Bank of America, J.P. Morgan Chase, Citigroup, Citibank and various affiliate defendants in existence at the time – “melted down” before the world’s eyes. Public markets crashed and certain persons trading the derivatives of the scheme went out of business or were on the verge. An example of a Bankster Defendant who failed is Bear Stearns. Bankster defendants Citigroup and Merrill Lynch were insolvent at the time Bear Stearns failed. The true head of the TARP program at this time, was private Bankster Defendant Robert Rubin who acted as the Chairman of Citigroup throughout this period and was the secret mastermind behind the TARP program at its inception as well as one of many “puppeteers” of Defendant Timothy Geitner.

22. Using the foregoing as a backdrop, the Bankster Defendants approached the United States of America at various times from 2008 through the date of this filing and garnered advances of $23 Trillion from the United States of America while concealing from it the foreign unsourced money and its owners that the Defendants were protecting. At that time, representatives of the United States of America caused the U.S.A. to advance several hundred billion dollars to these entities.
23. However, the foregoing advances were not sufficient for the Bankster Defendants because the ponzi/RICO scheme needed far more money to operate. Furthermore, the Defendants, and each of them, attempted to unlawfully profit from the turmoil while they sustained it. As a consequence several Defendants including Eric Holder, Anthony West, Robert Rubin, Timothy Geitner, Anita Dunn, Robert Bauer, and Valerie Jarrett entered the conspiracy under the following agreement with the Defendants: These individuals would cause various governmental agencies and the Fed to advance an additional $20 Trillion to the Defendants with “a wink and a nod,” in exchange for obtaining for them and their closest friends a piece of the illegal profits of the ponzi/RICO scheme. Some of these monies were advanced under the so-called TARP programs and some of these monies were advanced sub silentio.

24. By April 14, 2011, staff members of the Office of the Controller and FDIC – United States of America – knew that the foregoing activities by the Defendants were unsound and unsafe. They confronted the Defendants and were met with additional newly elected government officials and reconstituted corporate heads of Bankster Defendants. Instead of repaying the $23 Trillion owed at the time, the Defendants concealed the ponzi/RICO scheme and the money laundering enterprise and plan of the Defendants and requested additional monies in the sub silentio manner insisted upon by the Defendants when using the Fed as their own pocket book as was done here. At the time that he became titular Treasury Secretary, puppeteer Timothy Geitner was formerly the titular Head of the New York Fed. From April 14, 2011 until the date of this filing, on information and belief, the Defendants and each of them have (a) caused to be printed from the Fed, $20 Trillion of U.S. dollars under cover of darkness and advanced it to Defendants and (b) skimmed, misappropriated and stole monies for themselves personally as well as their families and associates in the Obama Administration as well as the
Banksters, which were then fraudulently conveyed and money laundered through the patented schemes of the Banksters in foreign countries.²

25. As the public nationwide began to look with disbelief at this ponzi/RICO scheme and program – and its impact upon Plaintiffs and the American citizenry – Defendants made a concerted effort to trump-up charges against Plaintiffs and/or their clients. The object of the exercise was concealed and then implemented by governmental or quasi-governmental Defendants like Eric Holder, Tony West, Kamala Harris, Peter Krause, Thomas Layton, Joseph Dunn, Howard Miller, Anita Dunn, Robert Bauer, Scott Drexel (collectively the “Holder-Dunn Group”). Discovery is continuing. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint accordingly as additional corrupt members of the Holder-Dunn Group are located who have stolen money from the Fed whilst they fabricated evidence against those trying to stop them. The effect in many instances was to stifle any questioning of their actions pursuant to the racketeering plan by Defendants, and each of them (operating through the conspiracy set forth herein), including, but not limited to, conducting lawless activities, illegal searches and seizures, fabricating documents, paying off witnesses, suborning perjury, and conducting other activities pursuant to the unlawful RICO enterprise in violation of State and Federal law including, but not limited to, (a) preparing bogus governmental reports regarding the matter, (b) fabricating evidence against various adversaries nationwide, (c) working hand in hand with the Bankster Defendants – Defendants, and each of them – to continue the ponzi/RICO scheme and money laundering enterprises and (d) for their own benefit, taking money personally or directing it to private entities to control so that they too

² For purposes of this First Amended Complaint, the term “skimmed” includes misappropriating, embezzling, stealing or otherwise taking money under false pretenses and actual fraud occurring in connection with the RICO and money laundering schemes set forth herein.
could profit from the largest ponzi/RICO scheme and the largest money laundering scheme in world history. By this time, all Defendants were involved in a detailed way with the schemes described above and the involvement was active and with full knowledge of its illegality as set forth herein.

D. The Nixonian Enemies’ List of the Obama Administration

26. Pursuant to the racketeering and laundering schemes set forth above, the Bankster Defendants – operating through their co-conspirators in governmental positions of law enforcement – directed the Obama Administration and parallel State Administrations who were willing to “play along” to maintain and keep current on a daily basis an “enemies list and all of their activities” so that the racketeering and laundering schemes set forth in detail above could be used with the imprimatur of “governmental oversight” through the Holder-Dunn Group and their affiliates. This has resulted in numerous cases where the Holder-Dunn Group and their affiliates – or those acting on their behalf – have admitted to extrinsic fraud, suborning perjury, attempting to fix cases, fabricating evidence and directing the use of prosecutorial governmental resources in an unequal, vindictive and selective way in which innocent people and home owners nationwide have been targeted. This is how the “robo-signing” kinds of scandals began, and is why no prosecutions of such document fabricators and forgery recidivists ever occurred. Far from being isolated as one example of unlawful conduct toward those on the enemies list, the Holder-Dunn Group has presided over the highest level of warrantless searches, seizures, wiretaps and creations of sham files and sham court filings and sham recordations and sham (so-called phantom) litigations, in the history of all United States administrations before them.
27. As of the date of filing of this First Amended Complaint, the enemies list held by the Holder-Dunn Group, their affiliates and agents and representatives – and thus subject to the foregoing illegal behavior and obstruction of justice -- have included (but are not limited to):

Among others, on information and belief, including in the federal enemies list supervised by the Holder-Dunn Group as set forth above are (a) Ruppert Mudock, (b) Roger Ales, (c) Fox News Network and their affiliates, agents and those acting on their behalf, (d) active members of various faith based organizations located within the jurisdictional purview of this Court in at least Brooklyn New York; and (e) active members of various faith based organizations located elsewhere in the United States (e.g., Iowa) but damaged by the fraud, theft and racketeering enterprise of Defendants in New York set forth herein; and (d) active members of various faith based organizations that openly support the United States of America’s pre-2009 stance on the unwavering support of the State of Israel and against all enemies thereof including, e.g., Iran, located in Brooklyn, New York; and (f) outspoken journalists and former agents of the Holder-Dunn Group such as (g) Neil Barofsky, (h) Shiela Bair, (i) Senator Grassley, (j) Congressman Darryl Issa, (k) Sheldon Adelson, (l) Donald Trump, (m) Steve Wynn, (n) Congressman Allen West and the Tea Party, (o) Karl Rove and his affiliated entities, (p) the Koch Bros., (q) Sean Hannity, (r) Michelle Malkin, (s) James N. Fiedler, (t) Rush Limbaugh, (q) Tucker Carlson, (r) various organizations with agendas contrary to those of the Bankster Defendants as set forth in detail above, including the Daily Caller and Judicial Council Watcher and their affiliated entities.

This has devastated the Plaintiffs because the Holder-Dunn Group, et al. have diverted monies and resources due Plaintiffs and their privies away from crediting such payments against mortgage balances and loan modifications; and alternatively in favor of perpetuating the money laundering and RICO schemes set forth in this complaint.
E. The New York Genesis of the Bankster Enterprise

29. In addition to the detailed jurisdictional and venue oriented facts set forth above, the racketeering and money laundering scheme outlined in detail throughout this complaint had as its only epicenter:

i. The Initial Money

30. On information and belief, the first money utilized in connection with the criminal RICO enterprise set forth above, was laundered and additional money added thereto, from and with the full use of all resources located at the physical location of the Federal Reserve situated at 33 Liberty Street, New York, NY.

ii. Continual Influx of RICO Enterprise Money

31. All the monies involved or appertaining to the RICO enterprise set forth above – from and after its inception and up to and including the date of filing of this complaint – including, but not limited, terrorist money, monies to evade the Iranian Embargo Act, drug cartel money, unsourced money, and money utilized to evade taxes, was placed into or through the clearinghouse systems in New York (including the Depositary Trust Corporation) located at 150 E. 52nd Street, New York, NY and multiple other locations surrounding New York including the covert location in midtown Manhattan as revealed by the New York Times on December 11, 2010.

iii. Other Locations

32. There are no other locations besides the New York venues set forth above, where the RICO and laundering schemes set forth herein were in fact conducted and implemented.
Without the New York locations set forth above – and all Defendants voluntary exposure to the benefits of the New York money center bank system, the RICO and money laundering schemes whereby $43 trillion shall be recovered by a receiver in this action – the RICO and money laundering schemes could not have occurred. Furthermore, as set forth above, all records proving the monetary realities of the $43 trillion money laundering enterprise are physically located in safe deposit boxes and under-carriages as set above according to proof.

F. The Misappropriating of Laundering Money for Personal Use of Banksters

i. In excess of $590 Million Skimmed by the Tony West/Kamala Harris Syndicate

33. As the ponzi/RICO/laundering scheme continued, it – as with all such schemes – involved a sum of money growing continually larger to support an ever-larger array and cast of criminals and characters. This allowed persons in positions of power to fall victim of the kinds of corruption existing throughout human history. Unfortunately, here, the level of corruption and concomitant theft was and continues to be unprecedented for the reasons set forth in detail above.

34. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Tony West/Kamala Harris syndicate – because of their familial relationship – took for their own personal use and the use of other Defendants who were members of the syndicate on a nationwide basis according to proof the sum of at least $590 million ($590,000,000.00). All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of this Tony West/Kamala Harris Syndicate.

ii. In excess of $500 Million Skimmed by the Holder and George Syndicate

35. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Holder and George Syndicate – because of their business and personal relationship – took for their own personal use
and the use of other Defendants who were members of the syndicate on a nationwide basis according to proof the sum of at least $500 million ($500,000,000.00). All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of this Holder and George Syndicate.

iii. In excess of $750 Million Skimmed to Sandor Samuels & Affiliates

36. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Sandor Samuel & Affiliates syndicate – because of their business relationship – took for their own personal use and the use of other Defendants who were members of the syndicate on a nationwide basis according to proof the sum of at least $750 million ($750,000,000.00). All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of this Sandor Samuel Affiliates syndicate.

iv. In excess of $780 Million Skimmed by Jeremy Ben-Ami, J Street & Affiliates, and Howard Dickstein (“The Dickstein Group”)

37. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Jeremy Ben-Ami, J Street & Affiliates, and Howard Dickstein (“The Dickstein Group”) – because of their business relationship and numerous controversial policy agendas – took for their own personal use and the use of other Defendants who were members of the syndicate on a nationwide basis according to proof the sum of at least $780 million ($780,000,000.00). All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of The Dickstein Group’s money grab.

v. In excess of $120 Million Skimmed by Robert Rubin

38. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as being run by Robert Rubin for himself and other Defendants according to proof. Because Mr. Rubin knew of impending
disaster resulting from the RICO and money laundering enterprises set forth herein, he engaged in a personal money grab of at least $120 million at the same time he was directing the activities of Timothy Geitner to raid the Fed and thus support the enterprise. On information and belief, puppeteer Geitner received some of this money. All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of The Dickstein Group’s money grab.

vi. In excess of $510 Million Skimmed by Jon Corzine Syndicate

39. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Jon Corzine Syndicate (“Corzine Syndicate”) was formed in conspiracy with all Defendants for purposes of assuring that silence was purchased from all Banksters wishing to resign their positions during the largest money laundering scheme in United States history. On information and belief, one such Defendant receiving money under this Corzine-controlled syndicate is disgraced former Citigroup Chairman Defendant Vickram Pandit. On information and belief, another such Defendant receiving money under this Corzine controlled syndicate is disgraced former Bank of America Chairman Kenneth Lewis. All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of The Corzine money grab.

vii. In excess of $100 Million Skimmed by Girardi-George-Rothenberg Syndicates

40. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Girardi-George-Rothenberg Syndicates (“GGR Syndicates”) was formed in conspiracy with all Defendants, and with the assistance of the family of George for purposes of assuring continuing of corruption in connection with judicial proceedings nationwide. The GGR Syndicates – in connection with several different operations conducted by them – laundered and skimmed for their own use the
sum of at least $100 million. On information and belief, Defendants Huvelle, Joseph Dunn, Thomas Layton, Howard Miller, Douglas Winthrop and/or Bill Wardlaw have participated in the skimming operations conducted by GGR Syndicates. All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of The GGR operations set forth herein.

viii. In excess of $420 Billion Skimmed by the Geitner Syndicate

41. As a result thereof, a syndicate that became known as the Geitner Syndicate (“Geitner Syndicate”) was formed in conspiracy with all Defendants for purposes of assuring that use of governmental resources – as directed by others in governmental positions of ultimate power – could be used for personal gain in the total sum of $420 billion. On information and belief, Defendants receiving money under this Geitner operation were and are Robert Rubin, Valerie Jarrett, Anita Dunn, Robert Bauer, persons resident in, or hailing from, Chicago and closely aligned with the Obama campaign. All Defendants in this complaint acted in conspiracy, and as agent and assign and on behalf of, each other Defendant in this complaint to protect the privacy and secrecy of The Geitner Syndicate.

V. THE PARTIES:

A. Plaintiffs

42. Plaintiff DONNA ABEEL is a resident of the State of California and had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 1 herein).

43. Plaintiff DONNA DILLS is a resident of the State of New Hampshire and had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 2 herein).
44. Plaintiff FRANCIS TALBOT is a resident of the State of New Hampshire and
had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall
be designated as Plaintiff No. 3 herein).

45. Plaintiff ROBERT ROCKWOOD is a resident of the State of New Hampshire and
had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall
be designated as Plaintiff No. 4 herein).

46. Plaintiff DOUG SCHMIDT is a resident of the State of Minnesota and
had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall
be designated as Plaintiff No. 5 herein).

47. Plaintiff DAVE SCHMIDT is a resident of the State of Minnesota and
had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall
be designated as Plaintiff No. 6 herein).

48. Plaintiff DALE SCHMIDT is a resident of the State of Minnesota and
had mortgage loans with one or more of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall
be designated as Plaintiff No. 7 herein).

49. Plaintiff RICK ADAMS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage
loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff
shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 8 herein).

50. Plaintiff ROBERT AKASHI is a resident of the State of California and had a
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 7 herein).

51. Plaintiff JIMMY ALAURIA is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage
loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff
shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 8 herein.)

52. Plaintiff DEBBIE EDITH ALEGRIA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 9.)

53. Plaintiff IZAIDA ALTAMIRANO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 10.)

54. Plaintiff ROBERTA ALVAREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 11.)

55. Plaintiff PATRICIA ALVERT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 12.)

56. Plaintiff FATIMA APONTE is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 13.)

57. Plaintiff MANUEL ARECHIGA JR is a resident of the State of Texas and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 14.)

58. Plaintiff SCOTT ARMSTRONG is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 15.)
59. Plaintiff LAURA AUPPERLE is a resident of the State of Michigan and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 16.)

60. Plaintiff JOSE P. AYALA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 17.)

61. Plaintiff ALEX BACARON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 18.)

62. Plaintiff WILLIAM BARBER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 19.)

63. Plaintiff PHILIP BARR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 20.)

64. Plaintiff FRANCISCO BARRIOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 21.)

65. Plaintiff TOM BEINAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 22.)
66. Plaintiff ANDREW BELCHER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 23.)

67. Plaintiff MIRTHA BERNES is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 24.)

68. Plaintiff NASSAR BEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants therein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 25.)

69. Plaintiff MARK BLANCO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 26.)

70. Plaintiff JOHN BOBEK is a resident of the State of Hawaii and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 27.)

71. Plaintiff ELINOR BOZZONE is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 28.)

72. Plaintiff LAWRENCE BRACCO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 29.)
73. Plaintiff NATHAN BREHM is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 30.)

74. Plaintiff KIM BRIDGES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 31.)

75. Plaintiff MIKE BRIGGS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 32.)

76. Plaintiff ERENSTINE BRINKLEY is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 33.)

77. Plaintiff VICKIE BROCK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 34.)

78. Plaintiff DEXTER BROWN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 35.)

79. Plaintiff DIANE BROWN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 36.)
80. Plaintiff LINDA BURGER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 37.)

81. Plaintiff BONNIE BUTTERWORTH is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 38.)

82. Plaintiff JESSICA CABASAL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 39.)

83. Plaintiff CARLA CALER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 40.)

84. Plaintiff PAUL CAMPAGNA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 41.)

85. Plaintiff HARRY CAMPBELL is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 42.)

86. Plaintiff IRENE CARDENAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 43.)
87. Plaintiff MARIA CARINO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 44.)

88. Plaintiff JON CARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 45.)

89. Plaintiff DINORAH CARMENATE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 46.)

90. Plaintiff JUAN CARRILLO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 47.)

91. Plaintiff JAQUELINE CARROLL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 48.)

92. Plaintiff JOSE Z. CASTRO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 49.)

93. Plaintiff PAUL CATER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 50.)
94. Plaintiff ELOY CERTEZA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 51.)

95. Plaintiff GEOFFREY CHARLTON is a resident of the State of Georgia and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 52.)

96. Plaintiff MARK CHASTEEN is a resident of the State of Texas and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 53.)

97. Plaintiff VIPIN CHATURVEDI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 54.)

98. Plaintiff RAQUEL CHAVEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 55.)

99. Plaintiff RITO CHAVEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 56.)

100. Plaintiff ANTONIO CHAVEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 57.)
101. Plaintiff KEVIN CHEEK is a resident of the State of Minnesota and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 58.)

102. Plaintiff WILLIAM CHIN is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 59.)

103. Plaintiff MEHRDAD CHITSAZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 60.)

104. Plaintiff HECTOR CIBRIAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 61.)

105. Plaintiff RENATA CIRCEO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 62.)

106. Plaintiff ELIZABETH CLAMPET is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 63.)

107. Plaintiff STEPHEN CLARKE is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 64.)
108. Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER COCKRELL is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 65.)

109. Plaintiff DANIELLE COCKRELL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 66.)

110. Plaintiff GEOFFREY COCKRELL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 67.)

111. Plaintiff LUISE COHEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 68.)

112. Plaintiff OLGA L. COLLAZO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 69.)

113. Plaintiff ROLANDO COLLAZO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 70.)

114. Plaintiff ARTEMIO CONCEPCION is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 71.)
115. Plaintiff KAT CONWAY is a resident of the State of Hawaii and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 72.)

116. Plaintiff RUTH CORONA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 73.)

117. Plaintiff LUIS COSIO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 74.)

118. Plaintiff PATRICIA CRESPO is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 75.)

119. Plaintiff MARGO CRUZ is a resident of the State of New Mexico and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 76.)

120. Plaintiff MARIA CRUZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 77.)

121. Plaintiff OCTAVIO CRUZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 78.)
122. Plaintiff WILLIAM CUBIAS is a resident of the State of California and had a
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 79.)

123. Plaintiff JOSE CUESTA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage
loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff
shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 80.)

124. Plaintiff DONNA DALTON is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage
loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff
shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 81.)

125. Plaintiff MARIA DE LA PAZ JIMENEZ is a resident of the State of California
and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants
herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 82.)

126. Plaintiff LOURDES RUIZ DE LA TORRE is a resident of the State of Florida
and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants
herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 83.)

127. Plaintiff CELON D. DENNIS is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 84.)

128. Plaintiff DOUGLAS DENT is a resident of the State of Alabama and had a
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 85.)
129. Plaintiff CHRISTIAN DIAZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 86.)

130. Plaintiff MARTHA DIAZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 87.)

131. Plaintiff NICHOLAS DIETEL is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 88.)

132. Plaintiff JEFFREY DIXON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 89.)

133. Plaintiff IRA DORFMAN is a resident of the State of Maryland and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 90.)

134. Plaintiff PATRICIA DOWLING is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 91.)

135. Plaintiff DANIEL DWYER is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 92.)
136. Plaintiff JAMES EBLEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 93.)

137. Plaintiff GLEN ENG is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 94.)

138. Plaintiff PATRICIA ESPINOSA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 95.)

139. Plaintiff ALICIA FAJARDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 96.)

140. Plaintiff CLOVIS FEARON is a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 97.)

141. Plaintiff ALBERTINA FIGUEROA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 98.)

142. Plaintiff ROBERTO FIGUEROA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 99.)
143. Plaintiff JOSEPH FITZGERALD is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 100.)

144. Plaintiff CAROL FLEMMING is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 101.)

145. Plaintiff COREY FLINN is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 102.)

146. Plaintiff LEONARDO FLORES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 103.)

147. Plaintiff ALAN FOGELSTROM is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 104.)

148. Plaintiff NORMA FOGELSTROM is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 105.)

149. Plaintiff DONNA FOOTE is a resident of the State of Connecticut and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 106.)
150. Plaintiff JULIE FRALEY is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 107.)

151. Plaintiff DENNIS FROST is a resident of the State of Wyoming and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 108.)

152. Plaintiff CHRISTOPHE FRUCTUS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 109.)

153. Plaintiff SUSAN GALLAGHER is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 110.)

154. Plaintiff LIDIA GARCIA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 111.)

155. Plaintiff SIMONA GARCIA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 112.)

156. Plaintiff JOE GARCIA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 113.)
157. Plaintiff TRACI GEHM is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 114.)

158. Plaintiff PHILLIP GENOVESE is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 115.)

159. Plaintiff BARBARA GIBBS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 116.)

160. Plaintiff JAMES GILBERT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 117.)

161. Plaintiff BRADLEY GIPOLAN is a resident of the State of Hawaii and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 118.)

162. Plaintiff DENNIS GLEASON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 119.)

163. Plaintiff TOMMY GLOVER is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 120.)
164. Plaintiff CARLOS GONZALES is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 121.)

165. Plaintiff MARIA GONZALES is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 122.)

166. Plaintiff NELSON A. GONZALEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 123.)

167. Plaintiff NELSON J. GONZALEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 124.)

168. Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER GROSSMAN is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 125.)

169. Plaintiff DIANE GRUBIC is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 126.)

170. Plaintiff WALTER GRUBIC is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 127.)
171. Plaintiff NESTOR GUILLEN is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 128.)

172. Plaintiff WILLIAM GUTIERREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 129.)

173. Plaintiff ENRIQUE GUZMAN is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 130.)

174. Plaintiff MAGA GUZMAN is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 131.)

175. Plaintiff MARIA GUZMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 132.)

176. Plaintiff ALLISON HANSON is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 133.)

177. Plaintiff JOHN HANSON is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 134.)
178. Plaintiff THOMAS HERBST is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 135.)

179. Plaintiff JORGE L. HERNANDEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 136.)

180. Plaintiff MARCELLA HERNANDEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 137.)

181. Plaintiff MIGUEL HERNANDEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 138.)

182. Plaintiff DAVID HERRON is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 139.)

183. Plaintiff SESSING HEWITT is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 140.)

184. Plaintiff LISA HIGGENS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 141.)
185. Plaintiff NANCY HOLCOMBE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 142.)

186. Plaintiff VIRGINIA HOSKING is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 143.)

187. Plaintiff VINCE HUBBARD is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 144.)

188. Plaintiff KELVIN HURDLE is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 145.)

189. Plaintiff MICHELLE HURTADO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 146.)

190. Plaintiff ART ITURBE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 147.)

191. Plaintiff ATHENA JACKSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 148.)
192. Plaintiff MARIA DE LA PAZ JIMENEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 149.)

193. Plaintiff HARLENE JOHNSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 150.)

194. Plaintiff PORTIA JOSEPH is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 151.)

195. Plaintiff JEROME KAMINS is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 152.)

196. Plaintiff JENNIFER KAUER is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 153.)

197. Plaintiff DAN KLEIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 154.)

198. Plaintiff NANCY KRANTZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 155.)
199. Plaintiff MORGAN LAWLEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 156.)

200. Plaintiff BOBBIE LEONARD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 157.)

201. Plaintiff MARK C. LILLY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 158.)

202. Plaintiff MIROSLAVA LITTERDRAGT is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 159.)

203. Plaintiff DOUGLAS LIZARDI is a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 160.)

204. Plaintiff DINORAH LLANES is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 161.)

205. Plaintiff ROSALINDA LOCKHART is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 162.)
206. Plaintiff THOMAS LOCKHART is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 163.)

207. Plaintiff ALAN LOCKLEAR is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 164.)

208. Plaintiff MARIA DOLORES LOMBERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 165.)

209. Plaintiff MOISES LOPEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 166.)

210. Plaintiff ANGEL LOPEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 167.)

211. Plaintiff MOISES LOPEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 168.)

212. Plaintiff DELORES LUCAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 169.)
213. Plaintiff BURT LUND is a resident of the State of South Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 170.)

214. Plaintiff MAE LUND is a resident of the State of South Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 171.)

215. Plaintiff ALEJANDRO LUZARDO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 172.)

216. Plaintiff RONNIE LYLES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 173.)

217. Plaintiff BRYAN LYNCH is a resident of the State of Texas and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 174.)

218. Plaintiff JOSEPH K LYONS is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 175.)

219. Plaintiff BRUCE MACBRIDE is a resident of the State of Idaho and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 176.)
220. Plaintiff ANITA MACHADO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 177.)

221. Plaintiff TANYA MACHADO is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 178.)

222. Plaintiff STELLA MARKLEY is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 179.)

223. Plaintiff TERESA MARQUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 180.)

224. Plaintiff LUIS MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 181.)

225. Plaintiff PATRICK MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 182.)

226. Plaintiff CHARLOTTE MCARDLE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 183.)
227. Plaintiff SAOVANNI MEAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 184.)

228. Plaintiff DANIEL MELENDEZ is a resident of the State of Oregon and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 185.)

229. Plaintiff GLORIA MELO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 186.)

230. Plaintiff MARGARITA MILAM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 187.)

231. Plaintiff MARIE MILLER is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 188.)

232. Plaintiff AARON MIR is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 189.)

233. Plaintiff ARLYN MIR is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 190.)
234. Plaintiff JASON MOEDING is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 191.)

235. Plaintiff VERONICA MONTEGRUBIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 192.)

236. Plaintiff ERIK MUMFORD is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 193.)

237. Plaintiff ANTONIO MUNOZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 194.)

238. Plaintiff CARMEN MUNOZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 195.)

239. Plaintiff CINDY MURRILLO is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 196.)

240. Plaintiff JUAN CARLOS MURRILLO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 197.)
241. Plaintiff JOE NAVARRO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 198.)

242. Plaintiff CRISTINA NAVARRO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 199.)

243. Plaintiff MICAH NEELY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 200.)

244. Plaintiff RICHARD NEELY is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 201.)

245. Plaintiff JONIQUE GARCIA is a resident of the State of Connecticut and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 202.)

246. Plaintiff ERNESTO NEPOMUCENO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 203.)

247. Plaintiff CATHERINE NUTT is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 204.)
248. Plaintiff SENEN OCHOA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 205.)

249. Plaintiff TALIA OLIVERA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 206.)

250. Plaintiff CHRISTINA ORNELAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 207.)

251. Plaintiff KAROL OUSLEY is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 208.)

252. Plaintiff FRANK PACHECO is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 209.)

253. Plaintiff ARMANDO PADILLA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 210.)

254. Plaintiff ANGELA PARADA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 211.)
255. Plaintiff RUBEN PARRA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 212.)

256. Plaintiff EUGENE PATERRA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 213.)

257. Plaintiff ALTINA PATRICK is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 214.)

258. Plaintiff ROLAND PERKINS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 215.)

259. Plaintiff RAUL PERNETT is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 216.)

260. Plaintiff MICHAEL PHILLIPS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 217.)

261. Plaintiff LESLIE POLLACK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 218.)
262. Plaintiff THOMAS POUPARD is a resident of the State of Michigan and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 219.)

263. Plaintiff CARTER POWELL is a resident of the State of Oregon and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 220.)

264. Plaintiff MERY QUINTANA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 221.)

265. Plaintiff MERLE RAGAN is a resident of the State of South Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 222.)

266. Plaintiff DANIEL RAMIREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 223.)

267. Plaintiff FRANCISCO RAMIREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 224.)

268. Plaintiff ANGELICA RAMIREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 225.)
269. Plaintiff KAIVALYA RAWAL is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 226.)

270. Plaintiff JOE REID is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 227.)

271. Plaintiff SILVIA RENDON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 228.)

272. Plaintiff JOSE REYES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 229.)

273. Plaintiff MICHAEL RICCIARDI is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 230.)

274. Plaintiff MARJORIE RICHARDSON is a resident of the State of New Jersey and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 231.)

275. Plaintiff DAVE RICHMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 232.)
276. Plaintiff CONNIE RICOTTA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 233.)

277. Plaintiff EDDIE RIVERA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 234.)

278. Plaintiff GARY ROBERTS is a resident of the State of South Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 235.)

279. Plaintiff HERMELINDO ROCHA - VARGAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 236.)

280. Plaintiff GUIDO RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 237.)

281. Plaintiff MARTHA RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 238.)

282. Plaintiff NANCY P. RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 239.)
283. Plaintiff PAUL RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 240.)

284. Plaintiff ENRIQUE ROMERO is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 241.)

285. Plaintiff MICHAEL ROMERO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 242.)

286. Plaintiff SHERRIE SAFKO is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 243.)

287. Plaintiff LILY SALAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 244.)

288. Plaintiff GUADALUPE SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 245.)

289. Plaintiff HILDA SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 246.)
290. Plaintiff JAIME SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 247.)

291. Plaintiff ROGER SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 248.)

292. Plaintiff ANTONIO SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 249.)

293. Plaintiff HECTOR SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 250.)

294. Plaintiff MARIA SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 251.)

295. Plaintiff SUSAN SANDERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 252.)

296. Plaintiff RUBEN SANTIAGO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 253.)
297. Plaintiff JOSE SAUCEDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 254.)

298. Plaintiff VICKIE SCHETRITT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 255.)

299. Plaintiff ROBERT SCHMALFELDT is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 256.)

300. Plaintiff JOSE ALFREDO SEGOVIA is a resident of the State of Texas and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 257.)

301. Plaintiff SHERYL SEIM-MONTOYA is a resident of the State of Oregon and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 258.)

302. Plaintiff ARVIN SERRANO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 259.)

303. Plaintiff MARGARITA SHEA is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 260.)
304. Plaintiff KENNETH SIMONSEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 261.)

305. Plaintiff CHARLES SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 262.)

306. Plaintiff CRAYTON SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 263.)

307. Plaintiff ROBERT SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 264.)

308. Plaintiff ZENAIDA SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 265.)

309. Plaintiff JAMES SNYDER is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 266.)

310. Plaintiff VALORIE SNYDER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 267.)
311.  Plaintiff ILIANA SORENSEN is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 268.)

312.  Plaintiff ROSARIO MARIA SOTO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 269.)

313.  Plaintiff DAVID STARKEY is a resident of the State of Tennessee and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 270.)

314.  Plaintiff DEL STAUDINGER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 271.)

315.  Plaintiff ANDREW STOLZ is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 272.)

316.  Plaintiff PAUL STROHECKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 273.)

317.  Plaintiff RICHARD STRUNK is a resident of the State of Ohio and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 274.)
318. Plaintiff LIDIA TAPIA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 275.)

319. Plaintiff DELANE TARRA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 276.)

320. Plaintiff MANUEL TAVARES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 277.)

321. Plaintiff MARIA TAVARES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 278.)

322. Plaintiff ROBERT TAYLOR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 279.)

323. Plaintiff JOHN TEDESCO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 280.)

324. Plaintiff EVA THIELK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 281.)
325. Plaintiff JOSA TIRADO is a resident of the State of New Jersey and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 282.)

326. Plaintiff MAILIN TOMLINSON is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 283.)

327. Plaintiff TONY TRUJILLO is a resident of the State of New Mexico and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 284.)

328. Plaintiff JODI TUFT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 285.)

329. Plaintiff JEFF TURNER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 286.)

330. Plaintiff MALCOLM TURNER is a resident of the State of Hawaii and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 287.)

331. Plaintiff RITA UCHEKA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 288.)
332. Plaintiff HUGO URRIBARRI is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 289.)

333. Plaintiff MITCH VAN MECHelen is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 290.)

334. Plaintiff HERMELINDO VARGAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 291.)

335. Plaintiff THEREISI VILLARUZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 292.)

336. Plaintiff DONALD VITAK II is a resident of the State of Texas and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 293.)

337. Plaintiff MARGUERITE VITA-MATUZOLA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 294.)

338. Plaintiff GARY WAGGY is a resident of the State of Maryland and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 295.)
339. Plaintiff CARROLL WALTERS is a resident of the State of Virginia and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 296.)

340. Plaintiff ARTHUR WEAVER JR. is a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 297.)

341. Plaintiff TRACY WEBER is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 298.)

342. Plaintiff KENNETH WEINER is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 299.)

343. Plaintiff GUNTER WEISSMANN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 300.)

344. Plaintiff CLINT WEST is a resident of the State of Washington and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 301.)

345. Plaintiff NIKKI WHITE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 302.)
346. Plaintiff ACHINI WHITE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 304.)

347. Plaintiff MICHAEL WIEDERHOLD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 305.)

348. Plaintiff GEORGE WILCOX is a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 306.)

349. Plaintiff PAUL WILDER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 307.)

350. Plaintiff DEBRA WILSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 308.)

351. Plaintiff JON WITHROW is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 309.)

352. Plaintiff PETER WRIGHT is a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 310.)
353. Plaintiff PHILIP WRIGHT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 311.)

354. Plaintiff JAMES YOCUM is a resident of the State of Alabama and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 312.)

355. Plaintiff ALEX ZAETS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 313.)

356. Plaintiff LUIS ZAVALA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 314.)

357. Plaintiff GEORGE K. ZINK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 315.)

358. Plaintiff REBECCA ABAD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 316.)

359. Plaintiff THOMAS ADLER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 317.)
360. Plaintiff BIBIAN AFABLE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 318.)

361. Plaintiff MICHAEL AKIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 319.)

362. Plaintiff SUREN ALAVERDYAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 320.)

363. Plaintiff DORA ALDRETE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 321.)

364. Plaintiff KARL AMRINE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 322.)

365. Plaintiff ELMER ANDERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 323.)

366. Plaintiff ERIC ANDERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 324.)
367. Plaintiff PAMELA ANDERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 325.)

368. Plaintiff SABRINA ANDERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 326.)

369. Plaintiff DONALD ANDREWS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 327.)

370. Plaintiff DAVID APPEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 328.)

371. Plaintiff OLGA ARANIVA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 329.)

372. Plaintiff ANTONIO ARCINAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 330.)

373. Plaintiff ROBERT ARRINGTON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 331.)
374. Plaintiff EWY AXELSSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 332.)

375. Plaintiff JOHN BAHURA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 333.)

376. Plaintiff GLORIA BAILEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 334.)

377. Plaintiff IRMA BAKER-PARRA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 335.)

378. Plaintiff BRUCE BARMAKIAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 336.)

379. Plaintiff RODRICK BARNETT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 337.)

380. Plaintiff KEVIN BATMAN is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 338.)
381. Plaintiff LORI BATMAN is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 339.)

382. Plaintiff DAVID BEAUBIEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 340.)

383. Plaintiff MARILYN BEAUBIEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 341.)

384. Plaintiff AMANDA BENNETT is a resident of the State of Washington and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 342.)

385. Plaintiff GEORGE BENNETT is a resident of the State of Washington and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 343.)

386. Plaintiff ANNETTE BERRY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 344.)

387. Plaintiff ROBERT BERRY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 345.)
388. Plaintiff ALVIN BLAKE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 346.)

389. Plaintiff TAWANA BLAKE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 347.)

390. Plaintiff CAROLE BOOTH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 348.)

391. Plaintiff JOHN BOOTH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 349.)

392. Plaintiff ARACELI BOWMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 350.)

393. Plaintiff BILLY BOWMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 351.)

394. Plaintiff PATRICK PAYGAR BOYD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 352.)
395. Plaintiff BARRY BOZARTH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 353.)

396. Plaintiff ARNOLD BRIGMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 354.)

397. Plaintiff DEBORAH BRIGMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 355.)

398. Plaintiff VALERY BUBELA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 356.)

399. Plaintiff BONNIE BUCKLEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 357.)

400. Plaintiff TOBY BUTTERWORTH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 358.)

401. Plaintiff NELIDA CAMPOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 359.)
402. Plaintiff JERRY CANADAY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 360.)

403. Plaintiff MARIAN CANADY MEIXNER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 361.)

404. Plaintiff GEORGE CASTRO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 362.)

405. Plaintiff FRANCIS CELO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 363.)

406. Plaintiff CARLOS CERVANTES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 364.)

407. Plaintiff ROSE CHANG is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 365.)

408. Plaintiff JOHN CHARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 366.)
409. Plaintiff KATHERINE CHARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 367.)

410. Plaintiff DANIEL CHAVEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 368.)

411. Plaintiff JOSEPH CHAVOEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 369.)

412. Plaintiff JOSEPH CINA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 370.)

413. Plaintiff GRANT CLARK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 371.)

414. Plaintiff SONIA CLARK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 372.)

415. Plaintiff HUGH COLLINS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 373.)
416. Plaintiff SEAN COMBS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 374.)

417. Plaintiff ARTURO CONCHA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 375.)

418. Plaintiff CHERIE COOK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 376.)

419. Plaintiff DENISE COOK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 377.)

420. Plaintiff RANDALL COOK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 378.)

421. Plaintiff BENJAMIN CORONA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 379.)

422. Plaintiff DIONICO CORTEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 380.)
423. Plaintiff BERTHA CREVOLIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 381.)

424. Plaintiff RONNIE CREVOLIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 382.)

425. Plaintiff MATTHEW CROSBIE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 383.)

426. Plaintiff CARY CRUZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 384.)

427. Plaintiff ROSEMARY CRUZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 385.)

428. Plaintiff HOUSTON CURTIS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 386.)

429. Plaintiff ERIC CUTLER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 387.)
430. Plaintiff CHARLES DANIELS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 388.)

431. Plaintiff CHRISTINA DANIELS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 389.)

432. Plaintiff RICARDO DAVALOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 390.)

433. Plaintiff CURTIS DAVIDSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 391.)

434. Plaintiff TROY DAVIS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 392.)

435. Plaintiff SARGIS DAVODDANIEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 393.)

436. Plaintiff DON DECKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 394.)
437. Plaintiff TAMMY DECKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 395.)

438. Plaintiff PAZ DIAZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 396.)

439. Plaintiff OLIC DUNNING III is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 397.)

440. Plaintiff DAVID EBADAT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 398.)

441. Plaintiff HOTOSA EBRAHIMZADEH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 399.)

442. Plaintiff KENNETH EDGECOMBE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 400.)

443. Plaintiff NICOLE EDGECOMBE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 401.)
444. Plaintiff MEHRDAD EMSHA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 402.)

445. Plaintiff MARTIN ESCOBEDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 403.)

446. Plaintiff YOLANDA ESCOBEDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 404.)

447. Plaintiff ENRIQUETA ESPINOSA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 405.)

448. Plaintiff FELIPA ESPINOSA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 406.)

449. Plaintiff FRANCISCO ESPINOSA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 407.)

450. Plaintiff JOSE ESPINOSA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 408.)
451. Plaintiff DAVID ESTRADA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 409.)

452. Plaintiff TY ETTERLEIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 410.)

453. Plaintiff FATEMEH FADAKAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 411.)

454. Plaintiff DAVID FAULHABER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 412.)

455. Plaintiff MICHELLE FAVAZZO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 413.)

456. Plaintiff ROGER FENSTERMACHER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 414.)

457. Plaintiff LIZETTE MILAN-FIEDLER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 415.)
458. Plaintiff FUMIKO FISHER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 416.)

459. Plaintiff RICHARD FOMIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 417.)

460. Plaintiff LOURDES FONTZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 418.)

461. Plaintiff WAYNE FONTZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 419.)

462. Plaintiff ROGER FOSDICK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 420.)

463. Plaintiff SUSAN FRANCO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 421.)

464. Plaintiff JAMES FRASER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 422.)
465. Plaintiff JO ELLEN FRASER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 423.)

466. Plaintiff D'ANN FRIEND is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 424.)

467. Plaintiff MATTHEW FRIEND is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 425.)

468. Plaintiff PHILLIP GALERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 426.)

469. Plaintiff BENJAMIN GAMEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 427.)

470. Plaintiff JOSEFINA PEREZ GARCIA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 428.)

471. Plaintiff ANTHONY GOLDEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 429.)
472. Plaintiff JOSEPH GOMEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 430.)

473. Plaintiff ANA GONZALEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 431.)

474. Plaintiff ESTER GONZALEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 432.)

475. Plaintiff OSCAR GONZALEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 433.)

476. Plaintiff ROBERT GRAHAM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 434.)

477. Plaintiff RONNIE GREEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 435.)

478. Plaintiff SUSANNA GREEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 436.)
479. Plaintiff GRETA GREGORIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 437.)

480. Plaintiff STEVEN GUMIENNY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 438.)

481. Plaintiff BRIAN GURNEE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 439.)

482. Plaintiff AHMAD HAKIMJAVADI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 440.)

483. Plaintiff RICHARD HALE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 441.)

484. Plaintiff JACK HALLEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 442.)

485. Plaintiff TRACEY HAMPTON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 443.)
486. Plaintiff CHERISE HANSSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 444.)

487. Plaintiff STEVEN HARDIE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 445.)

488. Plaintiff CINDY HARRISON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 446.)

489. Plaintiff JOAN HENDERSON-BROWN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 447.)

490. Plaintiff LESLIE HENDRICKS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 448.)

491. Plaintiff RUSSEL HENDRICKS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 449.)

492. Plaintiff CENOBIO HERNANDEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 450.)
493. Plaintiff LEONARD HERNANDEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 451.)

494. Plaintiff MODJULITA HERNANDEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 452.)

495. Plaintiff ALFREDO HERRERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 453.)

496. Plaintiff LORENA HERRERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 454.)

497. Plaintiff MARIO HERRERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 455.)

498. Plaintiff BRETT HESKETT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 456.)

499. Plaintiff RIZZA HESKETT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 457.)
500. Plaintiff RAYMOND HILL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 458.)

501. Plaintiff ARMANDO HINOJOSA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 459.)

502. Plaintiff HEATH HODEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 460.)

503. Plaintiff SALVADOR HUIZAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 461.)

504. Plaintiff PATRICK HUNT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 462.)

505. Plaintiff JOSEPH IGNACIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 463.)

506. Plaintiff REBECCA IGNACIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 464.)
507. Plaintiff CYNTHIA IRELAND is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 465.)

508. Plaintiff CLARENCE IRVING is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 466.)

509. Plaintiff EVELYN IRVING is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 467.)

510. Plaintiff MUHAMMAD ISLAM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 468.)

511. Plaintiff GLEN JACKSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 469.)

512. Plaintiff HILLARY JACKSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 470.)

513. Plaintiff PAUL JACKSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 471.)
514. Plaintiff JESSE JOHNSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 472.)

515. Plaintiff NICHOLAS JONES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 473.)

516. Plaintiff JEAN JOSEPH is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 474.)

517. Plaintiff MARIE JOSEPH is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 475.)

518. Plaintiff GUS KATSIKIDES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 476.)

519. Plaintiff CASEY KAUER is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 477.)

520. Plaintiff JENNIFER KAUER is a resident of the State of Utah and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 478.)
521. Plaintiff JOHN KEALY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 479.)

522. Plaintiff KEVIN KEEHL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 480.)

523. Plaintiff CARLEEN KELLER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 481.)

524. Plaintiff DENNIS KEMP is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 482.)

525. Plaintiff GLORY KENNISON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 483.)

526. Plaintiff LANCE KENNISON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 484.)

527. Plaintiff BARBARA KIKUGAWA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 485.)
528. Plaintiff CHRIS KIM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 486.)

529. Plaintiff JAY KIM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 487.)

530. Plaintiff LYNN KIMBERLY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 488.)

531. Plaintiff LOUIS KLEIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 489.)

532. Plaintiff HARKRISHNAN KOCHAR is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 490.)

533. Plaintiff JASPAL KOCHAR is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 491.)

534. Plaintiff BRENT KOMOUROUS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 492.)
535. Plaintiff DEAN KRAEMER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 493.)

536. Plaintiff JOSHUA KREITZER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 494.)

537. Plaintiff KATHRYN T. KREITZER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 495.)

538. Plaintiff PETE KREUZER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 496.)

539. Plaintiff MAZLINA LAI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 497.)

540. Plaintiff STEPHANIE LANDEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 498.)

541. Plaintiff JENNIFER LANGLO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 499.)
542. Plaintiff ASHLEY LARSEN is a resident of the State of California and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 500.)

543. Plaintiff CHRISTIAN LARSEN is a resident of the State of California and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 501.)

544. Plaintiff BRUCE LAWSON is a resident of the State of California and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 502.)

545. Plaintiff TRAVIS LEAGE is a resident of the State of California and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 503.)

546. Plaintiff LISA LEFEBVRE is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 504.)

547. Plaintiff RAYMOND LEFEBVRE is a resident of the State of Arizona and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 505.)

548. Plaintiff JACK LEFLER is a resident of the State of California and had a 
mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This 
Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 506.)
549. Plaintiff JOELLA LEFLER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 507.)

550. Plaintiff JACQUELYNN LEONARDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 508.)

551. Plaintiff CARMEN LINARES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 509.)

552. Plaintiff LUIS LINARES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 510.)

553. Plaintiff ED LIZARDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 511.)

554. Plaintiff LINDA LIZARDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 512.)

555. Plaintiff CHERYL LOCEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 513.)
556. Plaintiff LAUREN LOCEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 514.)

557. Plaintiff DANILO LUQUIAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 515.)

558. Plaintiff YOLINA LUQUIAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 516.)

559. Plaintiff JOHN MACIAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 517.)

560. Plaintiff LOUIS MAGES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 518.)

561. Plaintiff PATRICIA MAGES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 519.)

562. Plaintiff STEFAN MAHALEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 520.)
563. Plaintiff HEATHER MAHONEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 521.)

564. Plaintiff DENISE MANRIQUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 522.)

565. Plaintiff LAURIE MARINO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 523.)

566. Plaintiff EDUARDO MARQUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 524.)

567. Plaintiff ELNORA MARSHALL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 525.)

568. Plaintiff BRUNO MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 526.)

569. Plaintiff FRANK MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 527.)
570. Plaintiff MELANDO MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 528.)

571. Plaintiff MIKE MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 529.)

572. Plaintiff ELIZABETH MATSIK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 530.)

573. Plaintiff CALVIN MATTHEWS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 531.)

574. Plaintiff ELIZABETH MCCULLOUGH is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 532.)

575. Plaintiff SEAN MCDONALD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 533.)

576. Plaintiff MARY MEDINA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 534.)
577. Plaintiff DAVID MEDLIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 535.)

578. Plaintiff BRUCE MILLIGAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 536.)

579. Plaintiff RENE MINNAAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 537.)

580. Plaintiff RABIA MIR is a resident of the State of Connecticut and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 538.)

581. Plaintiff MARIA MIRANDA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 539.)

582. Plaintiff TOBY MOORE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 540.)

583. Plaintiff LEONIDES MORALES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 541.)
584. Plaintiff ERICA MORGERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 542.)

585. Plaintiff PETE MORGERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 543.)

586. Plaintiff BASHEER MURAD is a resident of the State of Idaho and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 544.)

587. Plaintiff CAAMIE MURAD is a resident of the State of Idaho and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 545.)

588. Plaintiff VALLIUR NADU is a resident of the State of Michigan and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 546.)

589. Plaintiff HIROSHI NAKAYAMA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 547.)

590. Plaintiff YOLANDA NATIVIDAD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 548.)
591. Plaintiff MARIA NAVARRO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 549.)

592. Plaintiff OSCAR NAVARRO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 550.)

593. Plaintiff ALAN NESS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 551.)

594. Plaintiff SANDRA NESS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 552.)

595. Plaintiff DIANA NEWSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 553.)

596. Plaintiff RALPH NEWSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 554.)

597. Plaintiff ANNA NGUYEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 555.)
598. Plaintiff MICHELLE NUNIES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 556.)

599. Plaintiff JOHN OCAMPO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 557.)

600. Plaintiff NOEL OLIVARES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 558.)

601. Plaintiff ROMAN OLIVOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 559.)

602. Plaintiff MELISSA OWEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 560.)

603. Plaintiff MICHAEL OWEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 561.)

604. Plaintiff JOHN OXIDINE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 562.)
605. Plaintiff JUAN PADILLA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 563.)

606. Plaintiff MECIA PADILLA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 564.)

607. Plaintiff JOSE PANTOJA is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 565.)

608. Plaintiff MARIA PANTOJA is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 566.)

609. Plaintiff ALAN PARSONS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 567.)

610. Plaintiff CINDY PATELSKI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 568.)

611. Plaintiff KAZIMIR PATELSKI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 569.)
612. Plaintiff MARIA PELCASTRE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 570.)

613. Plaintiff MARIO A. PERALTA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 571.)

614. Plaintiff RICARDO PEREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 572.)

615. Plaintiff JAMES PETERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 573.)

616. Plaintiff VIRGINIA PETERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 574.)

617. Plaintiff JOHN PHILLINGANE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 575.)

618. Plaintiff CAROL POWERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 576.)
619. Plaintiff DOUGLAS POWERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 577.)

620. Plaintiff ANNA MARIA PREZIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 578.)

621. Plaintiff REBECCA QUICK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 579.)

622. Plaintiff STEVEN QUICK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 580.)

623. Plaintiff WILLIAM RABELLO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 581.)

624. Plaintiff NOOROLLAH RAHDAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 582.)

625. Plaintiff ELISEO RAMOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 583.)
626. Plaintiff ISRAEL RAPURI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 584.)

627. Plaintiff DINYAH REIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 585.)

628. Plaintiff NORMAN JAY REST is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 586.)

629. Plaintiff EDITHA RESTAURO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 587.)

630. Plaintiff DONALD REY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 588.)

631. Plaintiff NANCY RILEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 589.)

632. Plaintiff BARBARA ROBINSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 590.)
633. Plaintiff STEPHEN ROBINSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 591.)

634. Plaintiff ARTHUR RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 592.)

635. Plaintiff JOSE LUIS RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 593.)

636. Plaintiff MARCIANO RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 594.)

637. Plaintiff ETHAN ROSS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 595.)

638. Plaintiff VIRGINIA ROTRAMEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 596.)

639. Plaintiff FLORENCE SABAGQUIT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 597.)
640. Plaintiff JESSE SABAGQUIT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 598.)

641. Plaintiff GUILLERMO SANCHEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 599.)

642. Plaintiff DERRICK SANDERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 600.)

643. Plaintiff CARL SANKO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 601.)

644. Plaintiff JOSEPH SANTOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 602.)

645. Plaintiff SIMON SARKISIAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 603.)

646. Plaintiff DAN SCHWARTZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 604.)
647. Plaintiff BRANNON SCIANNOTTA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 605.)

648. Plaintiff MARCIA SCIANNOTTA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 606.)

649. Plaintiff DEBBIE SCIORTINO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 607.)

650. Plaintiff JOHN SCIORTINO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 608.)

651. Plaintiff COURTNEY SCOTT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 609.)

652. Plaintiff CRANFORD SCOTT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 610.)

653. Plaintiff SHEILA SCOTT is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 611.)
654. Plaintiff BRIAN SEXSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 612.)

655. Plaintiff PETER SHELDON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 613.)

656. Plaintiff SCOTT SHUBB is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 614.)

657. Plaintiff PAUL SIBORO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 615.)

658. Plaintiff JULIET SICSIC is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 616.)

659. Plaintiff BAYAANI SIMPLICIANO is a resident of the State of Nevada and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 617.)

660. Plaintiff BALDEV SINGH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 618.)
661. Plaintiff BALJIT SINGH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 619.)

662. Plaintiff JOANNA SINGH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 620.)

663. Plaintiff ALICE SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 621.)

664. Plaintiff CHARLEY SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 622.)

665. Plaintiff MARK SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 623.)

666. Plaintiff NIDA SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 624.)

667. Plaintiff WILLIE SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 625.)
668. Plaintiff MILTON SMITH II is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 626.)

669. Plaintiff JOANNE SNYDER-DAVIDSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 627.)

670. Plaintiff DIEP SOMMERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 628.)

671. Plaintiff RICHARD SORENSEN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 629.)

672. Plaintiff HEMALATHA SOURI-PARSONS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 630.)

673. Plaintiff ROBBIN STITES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 631.)

674. Plaintiff ALINA STROUP is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 632.)
675. Plaintiff GEORGE STROUP is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 633.)

676. Plaintiff SUZANNE SUGGS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 634.)

677. Plaintiff SHYAM SUNDER is a resident of the State of Michigan and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 635.)

678. Plaintiff SALLY SYMONS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 636.)

679. Plaintiff GILDA TAHMURESZADEH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 637.)

680. Plaintiff ASHMELLEY THERVIL is a resident of the State of Florida and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 639.)

681. Plaintiff KEVIN THOMPSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 639.)
682. Plaintiff BOB TIDD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 640.)

683. Plaintiff BETTY TIMBERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 641.)

684. Plaintiff SONIKA TINKER-REIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 642.)

685. Plaintiff ANDREY TODOROV is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 643.)

686. Plaintiff ADNAN TORIAK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 644.)

687. Plaintiff ALMA TOWNSEND is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 645.)

688. Plaintiff GREG TOWNSEND is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 646.)
689. Plaintiff MARY JANE TUMA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 647.)

690. Plaintiff TIMOTHY TUMA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 648.)

691. Plaintiff TONY TURTURICI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 649.)

692. Plaintiff CINDY VICKERY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 650.)

693. Plaintiff WILLIAM VICKERY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 651.)

694. Plaintiff ELIAS VIEYRA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 652.)

695. Plaintiff ENRIQUE VILLANUEVA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 653.)
696. Plaintiff REBECCA VILLANUEVA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 654.)

697. Plaintiff NADIA VILLARREAL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 655.)

698. Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER VILLARUZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 656.)

699. Plaintiff LINDA H. VO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 657.)

700. Plaintiff PATRICK VUONG is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 658.)

701. Plaintiff LAURA WALDHEIM is a resident of the State of Alabama and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 659.)

702. Plaintiff MICHAEL WALDHEIM is a resident of the State of Alabama and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 660.)
703. Plaintiff JILL WALKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 661.)

704. Plaintiff KEVIN WALKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 662.)

705. Plaintiff ZANE WALKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 663.)

706. Plaintiff GURMEET WARAICh is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 664.)

707. Plaintiff HARJINDER WARAICh is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 665.)

708. Plaintiff MELISSA WARNER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 666.)

709. Plaintiff STEPHEN WAYNE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 667.)
710. Plaintiff WALTER WEISS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 668.)

711. Plaintiff EDNA WENNING is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 669.)

712. Plaintiff JAMIE WETZEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 670.)

713. Plaintiff JIM WETZEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 671.)

714. Plaintiff TODD WIDENER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 672.)

715. Plaintiff VERONICA WIDENER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 673.)

716. Plaintiff MELISSA WIDLUND is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 674.)
717. Plaintiff TIMOTHY WIDLUND is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 675.)

718. Plaintiff CRAIG WILLIAMS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 676.)

719. Plaintiff ANN WILSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 677.)

720. Plaintiff RICHARD WILSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 678.)

721. Plaintiff EDWIN ALDANA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 679.)

722. Plaintiff AUDRENE ANN ALENCASTRE-ROBERTS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 680.)

723. Plaintiff LEPHAS BAILEY is a resident of the State of Virginia and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 681.)
724. Plaintiff GURDAYAL BATNA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 682.)

725. Plaintiff KAMLESH BATNA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 683.)

726. Plaintiff DARLENE BEEKS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 684.)

727. Plaintiff JAMES BEEKS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 685.)

728. Plaintiff ANDRES BENAVIDEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 686.)

729. Plaintiff EDWARD BOSTOCK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 687.)

730. Plaintiff SUZAN BRITTAN - BERGMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 688.)
731. Plaintiff CARLA CALER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 689.)

732. Plaintiff NORMAN CALER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 690.)

733. Plaintiff STEVEN CAMPANELLI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 691.)

734. Plaintiff JOSE CAMPOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 692.)

735. Plaintiff MARIA ANTONIA CANALES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 693.)

736. Plaintiff GERARD CANNELLA is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 694.)

737. Plaintiff MELANIE CANNELLA is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 695.)
738. Plaintiff LARRY CAPOTS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 696.)

739. Plaintiff ANDRES CARDENAS - BENAVIDEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 697.)

740. Plaintiff BRIAN CARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 698.)

741. Plaintiff JON CARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 699.)

742. Plaintiff KIMBERLY CARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 670.)

743. Plaintiff LUCY CARLSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 671.)

744. Plaintiff DAWN CARMICHAEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 672.)
745. Plaintiff KIRK CARMICHAEL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 673.)

746. Plaintiff JACQUELINE CARROLL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 674.)

747. Plaintiff JOSEPHINA CASELLON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 775.)

748. Plaintiff SHAWN CASSIDY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 776.)

749. Plaintiff ANTONIO CHAVEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 777.)

750. Plaintiff JOSE CHAVEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 778.)

751. Plaintiff MARY CLOWNEY is a resident of the State of South Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 779.)
752. Plaintiff WILLIAM CLOWNEY is a resident of the State of South Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 780.)

753. Plaintiff HUGH COLLINS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 781.)

754. Plaintiff BRENDA COPPER is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 782.)

755. Plaintiff DEAN COPPER is a resident of the State of New York and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 783.)

756. Plaintiff MARIA CRUZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 784.)

757. Plaintiff CHRISTINE DAO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 785.)

758. Plaintiff AVELINA DIZON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 786.)
759. Plaintiff HONORIO DIZON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 787.)

760. Plaintiff SANDRA DUARTE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 788.)

761. Plaintiff JOSE DUARTE LEMUS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 789.)

762. Plaintiff ANA DUENAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 790.)

763. Plaintiff STEVEN EHLERS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 791.)

764. Plaintiff MICHELLE FAVAZZO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 792.)

765. Plaintiff WILFREDO FELICIANO is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 793.)
766. Plaintiff SALLY FIGUEIREDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 794.)

767. Plaintiff FELICIA FLORES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 795.)

768. Plaintiff CARLOS FLORES-CARRILLO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 796.)

769. Plaintiff DIANE FORSMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 797.)

770. Plaintiff CORRINE FRAYSINETTE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 798.)

771. Plaintiff ANTONIO FUENTES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 790.)

772. Plaintiff MARIA ELENA FUENTES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 791.)
773. Plaintiff VICKI FURR is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 792.)

774. Plaintiff WAYNE FURR is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 793.)

775. Plaintiff OSCAR GARCIA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 794.)

776. Plaintiff ROBERT GREGG is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 795.)

777. Plaintiff MAGDALENA GUIZAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 796.)

778. Plaintiff DARLENE N. HOLLOWAY is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 797.)

779. Plaintiff RALPH HOLLOWAY is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 798.)
780. Plaintiff HARLEY HUNTER is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 799.)

781. Plaintiff JEAN HUNTER is a resident of the State of Colorado and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 800.)

782. Plaintiff GERDA HYPPOLITE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 801.)

783. Plaintiff JOSEPH IGNACIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 802.)

784. Plaintiff REBECCA IGNACIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 803.)

785. Plaintiff ROGER JAMES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 804.)

786. Plaintiff ARMANDO JIMENEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 805.)
787. Plaintiff JAVIER JIMENEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 806.)

788. Plaintiff SANDY JIMENEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 807.)

789. Plaintiff DIANE KEPLEY is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 808.)

790. Plaintiff RICHARD KEPLEY is a resident of the State of North Carolina and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 809.)

791. Plaintiff GLADYS KRANTZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 810.)

792. Plaintiff RICHARD KRANTZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 811.)

793. Plaintiff DEBORAH LAMB is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 812.)
794. Plaintiff MANUEL LANDAVAZO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 813.)

795. Plaintiff SHERRIE LANDOVASO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 814.)

796. Plaintiff THEIN LAM LE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 815.)

797. Plaintiff KEN LEON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 816.)

798. Plaintiff CONSUELO LOMBERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 817.)

799. Plaintiff HILARIO LUCERO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 818.)

800. Plaintiff ADELFO MACASA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 819.)
801. Plaintiff LEONARDA MACASA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 820.)

802. Plaintiff JANET MARSHALL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 821.)

803. Plaintiff JULIO MARTINS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 822.)

804. Plaintiff ROBERTO MEDINA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 823.)

805. Plaintiff BRUCE MILLIGAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 824.)

806. Plaintiff BAHMAN MIRSHAFIEE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 825.)

807. Plaintiff FARAHNAZ MIRSHAFIEE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 826.)
808. Plaintiff KIMBERLY MITCHELL is a resident of the State of Washington and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 827.)

809. Plaintiff WILLIAM MITCHELL is a resident of the State of Washington and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 828.)

810. Plaintiff MARIA MOULES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 829.)

811. Plaintiff JOSE NARIO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 830.)

812. Plaintiff STEVEN NEWTON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 831.)

813. Plaintiff KAREN NIERHAKE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 832.)

814. Plaintiff CINDY OCHOA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 833.)
815. Plaintiff DEANA OSEGUERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 834.)

816. Plaintiff JOSE OSEGUERA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 835.)

817. Plaintiff MANUEL CASTRO PALMA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 836.)

818. Plaintiff ROMINA PAREDES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 837.)

819. Plaintiff KEN PARKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 838.)

820. Plaintiff DON PEDEN is a resident of the State of Ohio and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 839.)

821. Plaintiff SOCORRO PEREDA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 840.)
822. Plaintiff IRVING PHAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 841.)

823. Plaintiff LUZ RAMIREZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 842.)

824. Plaintiff SEYED RAZAVI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 843.)

825. Plaintiff GERALD ROBERTS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 844.)

826. Plaintiff LISA RODRIGUEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 845.)

827. Plaintiff JOSEPH R. RODRIGUEZ JR. is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 846.)

828. Plaintiff OFELIA ROMERO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 847.)
829. Plaintiff JOE SALAZAR is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 848.)

830. Plaintiff REGINALD SANTIAGO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 849.)

831. Plaintiff MICHAEL SANTOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 850.)

832. Plaintiff YVONNE SANTOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 851.)

833. Plaintiff GEORGE SEELEY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 852.)

834. Plaintiff TERRY SHAFFER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 853.)

835. Plaintiff CHERYL SHAW is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 854.)
836. Plaintiff CHRISTINE SHIPMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 855.)

837. Plaintiff JAMES SHIPMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 856.)

838. Plaintiff ANABEL SILVA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 857.)

839. Plaintiff MARTIN SILVA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 858.)

840. Plaintiff MIKE SMITH is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 859.)

841. Plaintiff JONNY MARIE TORRES is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 860.)

842. Plaintiff JORGE TORRES is a resident of the State of Illinois and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 861.)
843. Plaintiff CHARLOTTE O. TUCKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 862.)

844. Plaintiff WILLIAM TUCKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 863.)

845. Plaintiff THEREISI VILLARUZE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 864.)

846. Plaintiff HUY VO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 865.)

847. Plaintiff DAVID WALLACE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 866.)

848. Plaintiff VICTORIA WALLACE is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 867.)

849. Plaintiff KLAUDIA WILCZKOWIAK is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 868.)
850. Plaintiff JAMES WRAY is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 869.)

851. Plaintiff LEROY ANDERSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 870.)

852. Plaintiff ALEXANDER ARBORACI is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 871.)

853. Plaintiff RENEE BAYLIS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 872.)

854. Plaintiff DENNIS BULMER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 873.)

855. Plaintiff RICHARD CARROLL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 874.)

856. Plaintiff DORIS COBURN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 875.)
857. Plaintiff GEORGE COBURN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 876.)

858. Plaintiff KC CRANDALL is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 877.)

859. Plaintiff KEITH DENSON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 878.)

860. Plaintiff SALLY FIGUEIREDO is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 879.)

861. Plaintiff CHERYL FORD is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 880.)

862. Plaintiff EDGART GONZALEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 881.)

863. Plaintiff STEVE KONG is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 882.)
864. Plaintiff JEFF LAVENDER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 883.)

865. Plaintiff MARA LAVENDER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 884.)

866. Plaintiff ROBERT LEWIN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 885.)

867. Plaintiff JAMES LOCKER is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 886.)

868. Plaintiff AVELINO MARTINEZ is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 887.)

869. Plaintiff AIDA MEZA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 888.)

870. Plaintiff JOSE MEZA is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 889.)
871. Plaintiff VIRGEN MONDRAGON is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 890.)

872. Plaintiff WILLIAM OAKS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 891.)

873. Plaintiff DOMINADOR RAMOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 892.)

874. Plaintiff PETRONILLA RAMOS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 893.)

875. Plaintiff ESME ROSS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 884.)

876. Plaintiff ROBERT ROSS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 895.)

877. Plaintiff CHRISTINE SHIPMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 896.)
878. Plaintiff JAMES SHIPMAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 897.)

879. Plaintiff CHARLES TAM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 898.)

880. Plaintiff RUBY TAM is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 899.)

881. Plaintiff RAYMOND TRAN is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 900.)

882. Plaintiff ROBERTO VARGAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 901.)

883. Plaintiff RUTH VARGAS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 902.)

884. Plaintiff RONALD WILLIAMS is a resident of the State of California and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 903.)
885. Plaintiff NASIR FAIZI is a resident of the State of New York, County of Kings, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 904.)

886. Plaintiff JULIE KESTENBAUM is a resident of the State of Florida, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 905.)

887. Plaintiff PAUL KESTENBAUM is a resident of the City and State of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 906.)

888. Plaintiffs SPENCER GARNER is a resident of the State of Colorado, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 907.)

889. Plaintiff DANIEL FREEMAN is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 908.)

890. Plaintiff LARRY CONTIER is a resident of the State of Colorado, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 909.)

891. Plaintiff KAREN CONTIER is a resident of the State of Colorado, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 910.)
892. Plaintiff CLARISSE PICHE is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 911.)

893. Plaintiff MAURICIO BARRAGAN is a resident of the State of Nevada, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 912.)

894. Plaintiff RAFAEL RIVIRA is a resident of the State of Georgia, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 913.)

895. Plaintiff PATRICIA SMITHSON is a resident of the State of Washington, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 914.)

896. Plaintiff LYNDEN SMITHSON is a resident of the State of Washington, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 915.)

897. Plaintiff JOYCE GALVEZ is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 916.)

898. Plaintiff DEJAN JUROKOV is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 917.)
899. Plaintiff HEWLETT DAN QUILLEN is a resident of the State of Alabama, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 918.)

900. Plaintiff TROY DANELLA is a resident of the State of North Carolina, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 919.)

901. Plaintiff LORRAINE DANELLA is a resident of the State of North Carolina, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 920.)

902. Plaintiff ROGER STEWART is a resident of the State of Washington, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 921.)

903. Plaintiff NANCY MAE MARESH is a resident of the State of Colorado, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 922.)

904. Plaintiff JUDITH ANNE BLAIR is a resident of the State of Colorado, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 923.)

905. Plaintiff ALICE TOMASELLO is a resident of the State of Texas, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 924.)
906. Plaintiff CONNIE PATTERSON is a resident of the State of Texas, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 925.)

907. Plaintiff TERI SKRDLA is a resident of the State of South Dakota, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 926.)

908. Plaintiff MIKE SKRDLA is a resident of the State of South Dakota, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 927.)

909. Plaintiff JOHN KRUMSIEK is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 928.)

910. Plaintiff ANDREW P. BUCKLEY is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 929.)

911. Plaintiff BONNIE BUCKLEY is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 930.)

912. Plaintiff STEVE PASION is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 931.)
913. Plaintiff JANICE PASION is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 932.)

914. Plaintiff BELINDA KRUMSIEK is a resident of the State of California, and had a mortgage loan that was originated or serviced by one of the Defendants herein. (This Plaintiff shall be designated as Plaintiff No. 933.)

915. Each Plaintiff herein had ownership and possession of funds -- material to the allegations herein -- in a sum of between $25,000.00 and $65,000.00 and in no event no more than $75,000.00, as of January 22, 2003.

916. Each Plaintiff worked hard for these funds and earned them, paid state and federal taxes on them, and had the exclusive dominion and control over them.

B. The Defendants

917. Through the wrongful conduct set forth in this complaint, the Defendants – and each of them – converted all of said funds and have continued the hiding and secreting of these funds from the period beginning on or about January 22, 2003 and continuing at all times thereafter.

918. At all times, the Defendants – and each of them, as they entered the conspiracy alleged herein – continued to hide and secrete the converted funds despite demands that they cease and desist from doing so by the Plaintiffs.

919. Plaintiffs neither consented to nor ratified the Defendants’ conversion of their money as set forth in this complaint.
920. Defendant BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business located in Delaware (“BofA”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1a.)

921. Defendant BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION is a Delaware corporation (“BofAC”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1b.)

922. Defendant ERIC HOLDER is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 2.)

923. Defendant ANTHONY WEST is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 3.)

924. Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is an involuntary plaintiff. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 4.)

925. Defendant THE STATE OF NEW YORK is an involuntary plaintiff. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 5.)

926. Defendant JON CORZINE is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1.)

927. Defendant VALERIE JARRETT is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 6.)

928. Defendant KAMALA HARRIS is a resident of the State of California. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 7.)

929. Defendant MYA HARRIS-WEST is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 8.)

930. Defendant ANNITA DUN is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 9.)
931. Defendant ROBERT BAUER is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 10.)

932. Defendant JEREMY BEN-AMI is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 11.)

933. Defendant J STREET, INC., an entity form unknown, has its principal place of business in Washington, D.C. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 12.)

934. Defendant HOWARD DICKSTEIN is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 13.)

935. Defendant JENNINE ENGLISH is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 14.)

936. Defendant THOMAS V. GIRARDI is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 15.)

937. Defendant WALTER LACK is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 16.)

938. Defendant ERIC GEORGE is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the
epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 17.)

939. Defendant SANDOR SAMUELS is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 18.)

940. Defendant ALAN ROTHENBERG is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 19.)

941. Defendant THOMAS LAYTON is a resident of the State of California. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 20.)

942. Defendant JOHN HOONEN is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 21.)

943. Defendant DAVID BROCK is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 22.)
944. Defendant PETER KRAUSE is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 23.)

945. Defendant MARY ROBERTS is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 24.)

946. Defendant MEDIA MATTERS is a corporation form unknown. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 25.)

947. Defendant DANIELLE LEE is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 26.)

948. Defendant JOSEPH DUNN is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 27.)

949. Defendant JERRY FALK is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 28.)
950. Defendant DOUGLAS WINTHROP is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1.)

951. Defendant KENNETH LEWIS is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 29.)

952. Defendant TODD TORR is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 30.)

953. Defendant JEFFREY HUVELLE is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 31.)

954. Defendant JOSEPH CRUDO, SR. is a resident of the State of California. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 32.)

955. Defendant JOSEPH CRUDO, JR. is a resident of the State of California. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 33.)
956. Defendant MICHAEL BROS NAN is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 34.)

957. Defendant WILLIAM WARDLAW is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 35.)

958. Defendant ALAN I. ROTHENBERG is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 36.)

959. Defendant DAVID J. PASTERNAK is a resident of the State of California (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 37.)

960. Defendant HOWARD MILLER is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 38.)

961. Defendant SCOTT DREXEL is a defendant who maintains residences across the United States, is an active member of the conspiracy set forth herein
the epicenter of which is Manhattan, and is subject to jurisdiction in New York as a consequence. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 39.)

962. Defendant 1ST CENTURY BANK aka FIRST CENTURY BANK is an entity form unknown. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 40.)

963. Defendant FIRST CENTURY BANKSHARES, INC. is a Delaware corporation. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 41.)

964. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE FINANCIAL CORPORATION, dba BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 42.)

965. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC. is a resident of the State of New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 43.)

966. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE FINANCIAL CORPORATION is a Delaware corporation doing business as BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING (“CWFC”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 45.)

967. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC. is a New York corporation (“CWHL”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 46.)

968. Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a national bank with its principal place of business located in New York, New York (“Chase Bank”). Chase Bank purchased the assets and assumed the liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank, F.S.B. after it failed in 2008 (“WAMU”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 47.)
Defendant Chase Home Finance, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company and is a subsidiary of Chase Bank (“Chase Finance”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 48.)

Defendant WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in California, is, among other things, a mortgage lender doing business in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, as well as across the country (“Wells Fargo Co”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 49.)

Defendant WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A., is a bank subsidiary of Wells Fargo Company and is a business entity operating in the State of California (“Wells Fargo”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 50.)

Defendant WACHOVIA BANK is a division of Wells Fargo Bank, N. A., a bank subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Company, and is a business entity operating in the State of California (“Wachovia”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 51.)

CITIGROUP, INC. is a banking corporation with its principal place of business located in New York, County of Kings (“Citigroup”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 52.)

CITIBANK N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business located in New York (“Citibank”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 53.)
975. U.S. BANCORP is a banking corporation with its principal place of business located in Delaware (“US Bancorp”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 54.)

976. U.S. BANK, N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business located in Minnesota (“US BANK”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 55.)

977. U.S. BANK TRUST COMPANY N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business located in Oregon (“USBTC”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 56.)

978. U.S. BANK TRUST N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business located in Delaware (“USBT”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 57.)

979. Defendant ALLY BANK, NA is a resident of the State of New York with its principal place of business located in New York. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 58.)

980. ALLY FINANCIAL, INC. is a banking corporation with its principal place of business located in Michigan (“Ally”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 59.)

981. GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION is a banking corporation with its principal place of business located in Michigan (“GMAC”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 60.)
982. ONEWEST BANK, F.S.B. is a federal savings bank with its principal place of business located in California (“Onewest”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 61.)

983. HSBC HOLDINGS, PLC is an unknown business entity with its principal place of business located in the United Kingdom (“HSBC”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 62.)

984. AURORA BANK, F.S.B. is a federal savings bank with its principal place of business located in Delaware (“Aurora”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 63.)

985. OCWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION is a banking corporation with its principal place of business located in Georgia (“Ocwen”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 64.)

986. DEUTSCHE BANK AG is an unknown business entity with its principal place of business located in Germany (“Deutsche AG”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 65.)

987. DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY is an unknown business entity with its principal place of business located in California (“Deutsche Bank”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 66.)

988. EMC MORTGAGE CORPORATION is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Texas (“EMC Mortgage”). EMC is an affiliate of Chase Bank. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 67.)
989. PNC BANK, N.A. is a national banking association with its principal place of business located in Pennsylvania (“PNC”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 68.)

990. ING GROUP is an unknown business entity with its principal place of business located in the Netherlands (“ING”). (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 69.)

991. BofA, BofAC, CWFC, CWHL, Chase Bank, WAMU, Chase Finance, Wells Fargo Co, Wells Fargo, Wachovia, Citigroup, Citibank, US Bancorp, US Bank, USBTC, USBT, Ally, GMAC, OneWest, HSBC, Aurora, Ocwen, Deutsche AG, Deutsche Bank, EMC, EMC Mortgage, PNC, ING, along with their affiliated entities during or before the time that they were affiliated, are referred to collectively herein as “Defendant Servicers.”

992. Defendant Servicers, either directly or through their agents, employees, and subsidiaries, have serviced tens of thousands of residential real estate loans in the State of New York. Defendant Services have also filed thousands of foreclosure-related proceedings in the State of New York, including foreclosure actions filed in New York state courts and proofs of claims and applications to lift stays in the federal bankruptcy courts in the State of New York (collectively, “Foreclosure Proceedings”).

993. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 70.)
Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL I is a Corporation located in Delaware.
This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 71.)

Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL II is a Corporation located in Delaware.
This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 72.)

Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL III is a Corporation located in Delaware.
This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 73.)

Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL IV is a Corporation located in Delaware.
This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 74.)

Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL V is a Corporation located in Delaware.
This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 75.)

Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL VI is a Corporation located in Delaware.
This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 76.)

Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL VII is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 77.)
1001. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL VIII is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 78.)

1002. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL IX is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 79.)

1003. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL MARKETS ASIA (HK) LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in China. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 80.)

1004. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC is a Limited Liability Company located in California. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 81.)

1005. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE COMMERCIAL JPI LLC is a Limited Liability Company located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 82.)

1006. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC. is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 83.)
1007. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE FINANCE is a
Corporation located in California. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in
this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 84.)

1008. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE HILLCREST I is a Corporation located in
Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to
applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 85.)

1009. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE INTERNATIONAL GP HOLDINGS is a
Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this
action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant
No. 86.)

1010. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION is a
Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this
action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant
No. 87.)

1011. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE MORTGAGE VENTURES, LLC is a Limited
Liability Company located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction
in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 88.)

1012. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS
LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Island of Guernsey. This
Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law.
(This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 89.)
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1013. Defendant COUNTRYWIDE WAREHOUSE LENDING is an Unknown Business Entity located in California. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 90.)

1014. Defendant CWABS II, INC. is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 91.)

1015. Defendant CWALT, INC. is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 92.)

1016. Defendant CYRUS ACCESS, LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 93.)

1017. Defendant DIVERSIFIED ALPHA FUND (MASTER), LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 94.)

1018. Defendant HALCYON ACCESS, LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 95.)

1019. Defendant INDOPARK HOLDINGS, LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Mauritius. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 96.)
1020. Defendant INVESTMENTS 2234 PHILIPPINES FUND I (SPV-AMC), INC. is a Corporation located in Philippines. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 97.)

1021. Defendant ML BANDERIA CAYMAN BRL INC. is a Corporation located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 98.)

1022. Defendant ML WHITBY LUXEMBOURG S.A.R.L. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 99.)

1023. Defendant ZEUS RECOVERY FUND, S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 100.)

1024. Defendant J.P. MORGAN MANSART INVESTMENTS is an Unknown Business Entity located in France. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 101.)

1025. Defendant SAPOTORO COOPERATIEF U.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 102.)
1026. Defendant ONE EQUITY PARTNERS II, L.P. is a Limited Partnership located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 103.)

1027. Defendant ONE EQUITY PARTNERS III, L.P. is a Limited Partnership located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 104.)

1028. Defendant ONE EQUITY PARTNERS IV, L.P. is a Limited Partnership located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 105.)

1029. Defendant ONE EQUITY PARTNERS LLC is a Limited Liability Company located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 106.)

1030. Defendant BEAR STEARNS INTERNATIONAL FUNDING I S.A.R.L. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 107.)

1031. Defendant J.P. MORGAN DUBLIN FINANCIAL HOLDINGS LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Ireland. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 108.)
1032. Defendant J.P. MORGAN FINANCE JAPAN YK is an Unknown Business Entity located in Japan. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 109.)

1033. Defendant J.P. MORGAN SERVICES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in India. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 110.)

1034. Defendant HENRY BATH BV is a Private Limited Liability Company located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 111.)

1035. Defendant GAVEA INVESTIMENTOS LTDA. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 112.)

1036. Defendant J.P. MORGAN RESEARCH TOTAL RETURN MASTER FUND LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 113.)

1037. Defendant J.P. MORGAN DISTRESSED DEBT MASTER FUND LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 114.)

1038. Defendant J.P. MORGAN GREATER CHINA PORPERTY FUND CAYMAN SLP L.P. is a Limited Partnership located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully
subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 115.)

1039. Defendant J.P. MORGAN ASSET MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS (LUXEMBOURG) S.A.R.L. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 116.)

1040. Defendant J.P. MORGAN ASSET MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS LUXEMBOURG S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 117.)

1041. Defendant J.P. MORGAN CHASE CUSTODY SERVICES, INC. is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 118.)

1042. Defendant ATACAMA MULTIMERCADO - FUNDO DE INVESTIMENTO is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 119.)

1043. Defendant J.P. MORGAN S.A. DISTRIBUIDORA DE TITULOS E VALORES MOBILIARIOS is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 120.)
1044. Defendant J.P. MORGAN BANK LUXEMBOURG S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 121.)

1045. Defendant BANCO J.P. MORGAN S.A., INSTITUCION DE BANCA MULTIPLE, J.P. MORGAN GRUPO FINANCIERO is an Unknown Business Entity located in Mexico. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 122.)

1046. Defendant J.P. MORGAN INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 123.)

1047. Defendant J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK (CHINA) COMPANY LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in People's Republic of China. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 124.)

1048. Defendant J.P. MORGAN PCA HOLDINGS (MAURITIUS) I LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Mauritius. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 125.)
1049. Defendant DANUBE HOLDINGS I C.V. is a Limited Partnership located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 126.)

1050. Defendant DANUBE HOLDINGS III C.V. is a Limited Partnership located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 127.)

1051. Defendant EUROPEAN CREDIT FUND SICAV II is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 128.)

1052. Defendant EVERGREEN ECM HOLDINGS B.V. is a Limited Liability Company located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 129.)

1053. Defendant GOLDEN FUNDING COMPANY is an Unknown Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 130.)

1054. Defendant ISLAND FINANCE HOLDING COMPANY, LLC is a Limited Liability Company located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 131.)
1055. Defendant JORDAN INVESTMENTS LP UK is a Limited Partnership located in UK - Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 132.)

1056. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS FVCI SINGAPORE PRIVATE LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Singapore. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 133.)

1057. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS VI, LP is a Limited Partnership located in Minnesota. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 134.)

1058. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS VI-A, LP is a Limited Partnership located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 135.)

1059. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS VII, LP is a Limited Partnership located in Minnesota. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 136.)

1060. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS VII-A FII MAURITIUS is an Unknown Business Entity located in Mauritius. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 137.)

1061. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS VII-A FVCI MAURITIUS is an
Unknown Business Entity located in Mauritius. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 138.)

1062. Defendant NORWEST VENTURE PARTNERS VII-A MAURITIUS is an
Unknown Business Entity located in Mauritius. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 139.)

1063. Defendant OVERLAND RELATIVE VALUE FUND LTD. is an Unknown
Business Entity located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 140.)

1064. Defendant OVERLAND RELATIVE VALUE MASTER FUND LP is a Limited
Partnership located in Cayman Islands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction
in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 141.)

1065. Defendant PARTNERSHIP INVESTMENTS S.A.R.L. is a Private Limited
Company located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in
this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 142.)
1066. Defendant CITIGROUP, N.A., is an Unknown Business Entity located with its principal place of business in New York, New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 143.)

1067. Defendant CITIBANK (CHINA) CO., LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in China. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 144.)

1068. Defendant CITIBANK DEL PERU S.A. is a Corporation located in Peru. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 145)

1069. Defendant CITIBANK MAGHREB is an Unknown Business Entity located in Morocco. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 146.)

1070. Defendant BANCO CITIBANK DE GUATEMALA, S.A. is a Corporation located in Guatemala. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 147.)

1071. Defendant BANCO CITIBANK S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 148.)

1072. Defendant CHELSEA PARTICIPACOES SOCIETARIAS E INVESTIMENTOS LTDA. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully
subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 149.)

1073. Defendant CITIBANK - DISTRIBUIDORA DE TITULOS E VALORES MOBILIARIOS S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 150.)

1074. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-10CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 151.

1075. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-12CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 152.


1077. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-14T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 154.

1078. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 155.

1079. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-16CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 156.

1080. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-17CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 157.

1081. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-18CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 158.
1082. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-20T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 159.

1083. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-22CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 160.


1085. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-25CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 162.

1086. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-26T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 163.

1087. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-27CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 164.

1088. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-7T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 165.

1089. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-8CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 166.

1090. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-9T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 167.

1091. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-J7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 168.

1092. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-J8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 169.
1093. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004-J9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 170.

1094. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-10CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 171.

1095. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-11CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 172.

1096. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-13CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 173.

1097. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 174.

1098. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 175.

1099. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 176.

1100. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-18CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 177.

1101. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-19CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 178.

1102. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-20CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 179.

1103. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-21CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 180.
1104. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-22T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 181.

1105. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-23CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 182.

1106. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-24 shall be designated as Defendant No. 183.

1107. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-25T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 184.

1108. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-26CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 185.

1109. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 186.

1110. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-28CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 187.

1111. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-29CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 188.

1112. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-30CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 189.

1113. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-31 shall be designated as Defendant No. 190.

1114. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-32T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 191.
1115. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-33CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 192.

1116. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-34CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 193.

1117. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-35CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 194.

1118. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-36 shall be designated as Defendant No. 195.

1119. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-37T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 196.

1120. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-38 shall be designated as Defendant No. 197.

1121. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 198.

1122. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-40CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 199.

1123. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-41 shall be designated as Defendant No. 200.

1124. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-42CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 201.

1126. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-44 shall be designated as Defendant No. 203.

1127. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-45 shall be designated as Defendant No. 204.

1128. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-45 shall be designated as Defendant No. 205.

1129. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-45 shall be designated as Defendant No. 206.

1130. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-46CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 207.

1131. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-47CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 208.

1132. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-48T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 209.


1134. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-50CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 211.

1135. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-51 shall be designated as Defendant No. 212.

1136. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-53T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 213.
1137. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-54CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 214.

1138. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-55CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 215.

1139. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-56 shall be designated as Defendant No. 216.

1140. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-56 shall be designated as Defendant No. 217.

1141. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-57CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 218.

1142. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-58 shall be designated as Defendant No. 219.

1143. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-59 shall be designated as Defendant No. 220.

1144. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-60T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 221.

1145. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-61 shall be designated as Defendant No. 222.

1146. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-62 shall be designated as Defendant No. 223.

1147. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-63 shall be designated as Defendant No. 224.
1148. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-64CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 225.

1149. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-65CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 226.

1150. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-6CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 227.

1151. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-70CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 228.

1152. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-71 shall be designated as Defendant No. 229.

1153. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-74T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 230.

1154. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-75CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 231.

1155. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-75CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 232.

1156. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-76 shall be designated as Defendant No. 233.

1157. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-77T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 234.

1158. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-77T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 235.
1159. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-77T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 236.

1160. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-79CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 237.

1161. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-7CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 238.

1162. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-80CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 239.

1163. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-81 shall be designated as Defendant No. 240.

1164. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-82 shall be designated as Defendant No. 241.

1165. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-82 shall be designated as Defendant No. 242.

1166. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-83CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 243.

1167. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-84 shall be designated as Defendant No. 244.

1168. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-85CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 245.

1169. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-86CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 246.
1170. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-9CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 247.

1171. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 248.

1172. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-IM1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 249.

1173. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-J10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 250.

1174. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-J11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 251.

1175. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-J11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 252.

1176. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 253.

1177. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-J6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 254.

1178. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-J7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 255.

1179. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-0C5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 256.

1180. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-11CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 257.
1181. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-12CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 258.

1182. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-13T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 259.

1183. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-14CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 260.

1184. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 261.

1185. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-16CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 262.

1186. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-17T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 263.

1187. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-18CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 264.

1188. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-19CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 265.

1189. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-20CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 266.

1190. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-21CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 267.

1191. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-21CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 268.
1192. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-21CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 269.

1193. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-23CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 270.

1194. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-23CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 271.

1195. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-24CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 272.

1196. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-25CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 273.

1197. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-25CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 274.

1198. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-26CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 275.

1199. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-26CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 276.

1200. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-27CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 277.

1201. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-28CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 278.

1202. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-29T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 279.
1203. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-2CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 280.

1204. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-30T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 281.

1205. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-31CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 282.

1206. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-31CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 283.

1207. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-32CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 284.

1208. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-33CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 285.

1209. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-34 shall be designated as Defendant No. 286.

1210. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-35CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 287.

1211. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-36T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 288.

1212. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-36T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 289.

1213. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-36T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 290.
1214. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-37R shall be designated as Defendant No. 291.

1215. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-39CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 292.

1216. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-39CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 293.

1217. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-39CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 294.

1218. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-40T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 295.

1219. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-40T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 296.

1220. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-41CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 297.

1221. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-42 shall be designated as Defendant No. 298.

1222. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-43CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 299.

1223. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-45T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 300.

1224. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-45T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 301.
1225. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-46 shall be designated as Defendant No. 302.

1226. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-4CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 303.

1227. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-5T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 304.

1228. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-6CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 305.

1229. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-7CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 306.

1230. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-7CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 307.

1231. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-8T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 308.

1232. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-9T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 309.

1233. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 310.

1234. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 311.

1235. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 312.
1236. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 313.

1237. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 314.

1238. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 315.

1239. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 316.

1240. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 317.

1241. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 318.

1242. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 319.

1243. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 320.

1244. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 321.

1245. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 322.

1246. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 323.
1247. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 324.

1248. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 325.

1249. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 326.

1250. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-J8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 327.

1251. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 328.

1252. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 329.

1253. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 330.

1254. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 331.

1255. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 332.

1256. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 333.

1257. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 334.
1258. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 335.

1259. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 336.

1260. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 337.

1261. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 338.

1262. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 339.

1263. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 340.

1264. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 341.

1265. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 342.

1266. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 343.

1267. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 344.

1268. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 345.
1269. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 346.
1270. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 347.
1271. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 348.
1272. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 349.
1273. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 350.
1274. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 351.
1275. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 352.
1276. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 353.
1277. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 354.
1278. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 355.
1279. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 356.
1280. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 357.

1281. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 358.

1282. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 359.

1283. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-OC9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 360.

1284. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-10CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 361.

1285. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-10CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 362.

1286. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-10CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 363.

1287. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-11T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 364.

1288. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-11T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 365.

1289. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-11T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 366.

1290. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-12T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 367.
1291. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-12T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 368.

1292. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-12T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 369.

1293. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 370.

1294. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-14T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 371.

1295. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 372.

1296. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 373.

1297. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 374.

1298. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 375.

1299. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 376.

1300. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 377.

1301. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 378.
1302. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 379.

1303. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-15CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 380.

1304. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-16CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 381.

1305. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-16CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 382.

1306. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-16CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 383.

1307. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-17CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 384.

1308. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-17CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 385.

1309. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-18CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 386.

1310. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 387.

1311. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 388.

1312. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 389.
1313. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-1T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 390.

1314. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 391.

1315. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-21CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 392.

1316. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 393.

1317. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 394.

1318. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 395.

1319. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-23CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 396.

1320. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-23CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 397.

1321. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-24 shall be designated as Defendant No. 398.

1322. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 399.

1323. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 400.
1324. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 401.

1325. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 402.

1326. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 403.

1327. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-2CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 404.

1328. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-3T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 405.

1329. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-3T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 406.

1330. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-4CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 407.

1331. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-4CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 408.

1332. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-4CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 409.

1333. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-4CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 410.

1334. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-4CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 411.
1335. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-5CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 412.

1336. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 413.

1337. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-7T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 414.

1338. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-7T2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 415.

1339. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-8CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 416.

1340. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-8CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 417.

1341. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-8CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 418.

1342. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-8CB shall be designated as Defendant No. 419.

1343. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-9T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 420.

1344. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-9T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 421.

1345. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-9T1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 422.
1346. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-9T1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 423.

1347. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-9T1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 424.

1348. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-AL1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 425.

1349. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-AL1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 426.

1350. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-AL1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 427.

1351. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-AL1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 428.

1352. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY2 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 429.

1353. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY3 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 430.

1354. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY4 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 431.

1355. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY5R shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 432.

1356. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY6 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 433.
1357. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY7C shall be designated as Defendant No. 434.

1358. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY8C shall be designated as Defendant No. 435.

1359. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 436.

1360. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 437.

1361. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 438.

1362. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 439.

1363. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 440.

1364. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 441.

1365. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 442.

1366. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 443.

1367. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 444.
1368. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA2 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 445.

1369. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA3 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 446.

1370. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA4 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 447.

1371. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA6 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 448.

1372. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA7 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 449.

1373. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA8 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 450.

1374. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA9 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 451.

1375. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA9 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 452.

1376. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OA9 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 453.

1377. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 454.

1378. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH1 shall be designated as
        Defendant No. 455.
1379. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 456.

1380. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 457.

1381. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 458.

1382. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 459.

1383. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 460.

1384. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 461.

1385. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 462.

1386. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 463.

1387. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 464.

1388. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 465.

1389. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 466.
1390. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-OH3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 467.

1391. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORT PASS THROUGH CERT SERIES 2003-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 468.

1392. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2005-12R shall be designated as Defendant No. 469.

1393. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2006-22R shall be designated as Defendant No. 470.

1394. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2007-26R shall be designated as Defendant No. 471.

1395. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2008-1R shall be designated as Defendant No. 472.

1396. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2008-2R shall be designated as Defendant No. 473.

1397. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2008-2R shall be designated as Defendant No. 474.

1398. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST RESECUKITIZATION 2008-2R shall be designated as Defendant No. 475.

1399. Defendant ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2003-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 476.

1400. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2003-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 477.
1401. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2003-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 478.

1402. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2005-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 479.

1403. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 480.

1404. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 481.

1405. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 482.

1406. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 483.

1407. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 484.

1408. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 485.

1409. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 486.

1410. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 487.

1411. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 488.
1412. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 489.

1413. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 490.

1414. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 491.

1415. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 492.

1416. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 493.

1417. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 494.

1418. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 495.

1419. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 496.
1420. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 497.

1421. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 498.

1422. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 499.

1423. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 500.

1424. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 501.


1426. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 503.
1427. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-3 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 504.

1428. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-4 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 505.

1429. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-5 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 506.

1430. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-6 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 507.

1431. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-7 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 508.

1432. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-8 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 509.

1433. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST
    MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-9 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 510.

1434. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORT SEC INC ALTERNATIVE LOAN
    TRUST 2003 11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 511.
1435. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORT SEC INC ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004 2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 512.

1436. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 513.

1437. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 514.

1438. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 515.

1439. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 516.

1440. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 517.

1441. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 518.

1442. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 519.

1443. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 520.

1444. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 521.

1445. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 522.
1446. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 523.

1447. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 524.

1448. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 525.

1449. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 526.

1450. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 527.

1451. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 528.

1452. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 529.

1453. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 530.

1454. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 531.

1455. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 532.

1456. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 533.
1457. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 534.

1458. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 535.

1459. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 536.

1460. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 537.

1461. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 538.

1462. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 539.

1463. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 540.

1464. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 541.

1465. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 542.

1466. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 543.

1467. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 544.
1468. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2001-23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 545.

1469. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2001-23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 546.

1470. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2002-HYB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 547.

1471. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 548.

1472. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 549.

1473. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 550.

1474. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 551.

1475. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 552.

1476. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 553.

1477. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 554.

1478. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 555.
1479. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 556.

1480. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 557.

1481. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 558.

1482. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 559.


1484. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 561.

1485. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 562.

1486. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 563.

1487. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 564.

1488. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 565.

1489. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 566.
1490. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-HYB7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 567.

1491. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-HYB8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 568.

1492. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-HYB9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 569.

1493. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 570.

1494. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 571.

1495. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 572.

1496. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 573.

1497. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 574.

1498. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 575.

1499. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 576.

1500. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 577.
1501. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 578.

1502. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 579.


1505. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 582.

1506. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-24 shall be designated as Defendant No. 583.

1507. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 584.

1508. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 585.

1509. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 586.

1510. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 587.

1511. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-28 shall be designated as Defendant No. 588.

1513. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-28 shall be designated as Defendant No. 590.

1514. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-29 shall be designated as Defendant No. 591.

1515. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-29 shall be designated as Defendant No. 592.

1516. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-29 shall be designated as Defendant No. 593.

1517. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 594.

1518. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-30 shall be designated as Defendant No. 595.

1519. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-31 shall be designated as Defendant No. 596.

1520. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 597.

1521. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 598.

1522. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 599.
1523. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 600.

1524. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 601.

1525. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 602.

1526. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 603.

1527. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 604.

1528. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 605.

1529. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 606.

1530. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-HYB8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 607.

1531. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 608.

1532. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 609.

1533. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 610.
1534. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 611.

1535. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 612.

1536. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 613.

1537. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 614.

1538. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 615.

1539. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 616.

1540. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 617.

1541. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 618.


1543. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 620.

1544. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 621.
1545. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 622.

1546. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 623.

1547. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 624.

1548. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 625.

1549. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 626.

1550. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 627.

1551. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 628.

1552. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 629.

1553. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 630.

1554. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 631.

1555. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 632.
1556. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 633.

1557. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 634.

1558. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 635.

1559. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 636.

1560. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 637.

1561. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-HYB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 638.

1562. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-HYB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 639.

1563. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-HYB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 640.

1564. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-HYB4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 641.

1565. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-HYB5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 642.

1566. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 643.
1567. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 644.

1568. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 645.

1569. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 646.

1570. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2006-OA5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 647.

1571. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 648.

1572. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 649.

1573. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 650.

1574. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 651.

1575. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 652.

1576. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 653.

1577. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 654.
1578. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 655.

1579. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 656.

1580. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 657.

1581. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 658.

1582. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 659.

1583. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 660.

1584. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 661.

1585. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 662.

1586. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 663.

1587. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 664.

1588. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 665.
1589. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 666.


1591. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 668.

1592. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 669.

1593. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 670.

1594. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 671.

1595. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 672.

1596. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 673.

1597. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 674.

1598. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 675.

1599. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 676.
1600. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 677.

1601. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 678.

1602. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 679.

1603. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 680.

1604. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 681.

1605. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 682.

1606. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 683.

1607. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 684.

1608. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 685.

1609. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 686.

1610. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 687.
1611. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 688.

1612. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 689.

1613. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 690.

1614. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 691.

1615. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 692.

1616. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 693.

1617. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 694.

1618. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 695.

1619. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 696.

1620. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 697.

1621. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 698.
1622. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 699.

1623. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 700.

1624. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 701.

1625. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 702.

1626. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 703.

1627. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HY7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 704.

1628. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HYB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 705.

1629. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HYB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 706.

1630. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-HYB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 707.

1631. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 708.

1632. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 709.
1633. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 710.

1634. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 711.

1635. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 712.

1636. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 713.

1637. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 714.


1639. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 716.

1640. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 717.

1641. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 718.

1642. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 719.

1643. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 720.
1644. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2007-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 721.

1645. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2008-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 722.

1646. Defendant CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST RESECURITIZATION 2008-2R shall be designated as Defendant No. 723.

1647. Defendant CWMBS CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-HYB5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 724.

1648. Defendant CWMBS CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-J7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 725.

1649. Defendant CWMBS CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-J8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 726.

1650. Defendant CWMBS CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-J9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 727.

1651. Defendant CWMBS INC - CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 728.


1653. Defendant CWMBS INC - CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 730.

1654. Defendant CWMBS INC - CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 731.

1656. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2002-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 733.

1657. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2002-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 734.

1658. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003 4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 735.

1659. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003 4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 736.

1660. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003 4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 737.

1661. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003 4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 738.

1662. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003 4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 739.

1663. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003-26 shall be designated as Defendant No. 740.

1664. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003-26 shall be designated as Defendant No. 741.

1665. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 742.
1666. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003-42 shall be designated as Defendant No. 743.

1667. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003-42 shall be designated as Defendant No. 744.

1668. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2003-48 shall be designated as Defendant No. 745.

1669. Defendant CWMBS INC CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2004-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 746.


1671. Defendant CWMBS, INC. - CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 748.

1672. Defendant CWMBS, INC., CHL MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH TRUST 2005-26 shall be designated as Defendant No. 749.

1673. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2003-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 750.

1674. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2003-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 751.

1675. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 752.

1676. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 753.
1677. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 754.

1678. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 755.

1679. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 756.

1680. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 757.

1681. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 758.

1682. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 759.

1683. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 760.

1684. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 761.

1685. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 762.
1686. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 763.

1687. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 764.

1688. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 765.

1689. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 766.

1690. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 767.

1691. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 768.

1692. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 769.
1693. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 770.

1694. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 771.

1695. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 772.

1696. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 773.

1697. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 774.

1698. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 775.

1699. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 776.
1700. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 777.

1701. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 778.

1702. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORT SEC INC ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2003 11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 779.

1703. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORT SEC INC ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2004 2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 780.

1704. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORT SEC INC ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2003-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 781.

1705. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 782.

1706. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-3, ASSET-BACKED CERTS., SERIES 2004-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 783.

1707. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-4, ASSET-BACKED CERTS., SERIES 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 784.

1708. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-5, ASSET-BACKED CERTS., SERIES 2004-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 785.

1709. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-6, ASSET-BACKED CERTS., SERIES 2004-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 786.
1710. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-1, ASSET-BACKED CERTS., SERIES 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 787.


1712. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-3 ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 789.


1714. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-WL2 ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES shall be designated as Defendant No. 791.

1715. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-WL3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 792.

1716. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 793.

1717. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 794.

1718. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 795.

1719. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 796.
1720. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 797.

1721. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 798.

1722. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 799.

1723. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 800.

1724. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 801.

1725. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 802.

1726. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 803.

1727. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 804.

1728. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 805.

1729. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 806.

1730. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 807.
1731. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 808.

1732. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 809.

1733. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 810.

1734. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 811.

1735. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 812.

1736. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 813.

1737. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 814.

1738. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 815.

1739. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 816.

1740. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 817.

1741. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 818.
1742. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 819.

1743. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-A shall be designated as Defendant No. 820.

1744. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-A shall be designated as Defendant No. 821.

1745. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 822.

1746. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 823.

1747. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WL2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 824.

1748. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WL2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 825.

1749. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WL3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 826.

1750. Defendant LONG BEACH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WL3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 827.

1751. Defendant LONG BEACH SECURITIES CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 828.

1752. Defendant WAMU ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, WAMU SERIES 2007-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 829.
1753. Defendant WAMU ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, WAMU SERIES 2007-HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 830.

1754. Defendant WAMU ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, WAMU SERIES 2007-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 831.

1755. Defendant WAMU ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, WAMU SERIES 2007-HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 832.

1756. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERT SER 2001-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 833.

1757. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERT SER 2003-S8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 834.

1758. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERT SERIES 2003-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 835.

1759. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THR CERTS SER 2003-AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 836.

1760. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CER SER 2003-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 837.

1761. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT 2002-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 838.

1762. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT 2002-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 839.

1763. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SER 2002-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 840.
1764. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SER 2002-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 841.

1765. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SER 2003-S1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 842.

1766. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SERIES 2001-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 843.

1767. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SERIES 2001-S8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 844.

1768. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SERIES 2002-S8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 845.

1769. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERT SERIES 2002-S8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 846.

1770. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2002-S1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 847.

1771. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2002-S1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 848.

1772. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2002-S7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 849.

1773. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2002-S7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 850.

1774. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2001-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 851.
1775. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2001-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 852.

1776. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2001-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 853.

1777. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2001-S11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 854.

1778. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002 AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 855.

1779. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002 AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 856.

1780. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002 AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 857.

1781. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002 AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 858.

1782. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 859.

1783. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 860.

1784. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 861.

1785. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 862.
1786. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 863.

1787. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 864.

1788. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 865.

1789. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 866.

1790. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 867.

1791. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 868.

1792. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 869.

1793. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 870.

1794. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 871.

1795. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 872.

1796. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 873.
1797. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 874.

1798. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-S6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 875.

1799. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003 S3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 876.

1800. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003 S4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 877.

1801. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 878.

1802. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 879.

1803. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 880.

1804. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 881.

1805. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-S2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 882.

1806. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTS SER 2003-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 883.

1807. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTS SER 2003-S10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 884.
1808. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTS SER 2003-S11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 885.

1809. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTS SER 2003-S9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 886.

1810. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTS SERIES 2003-S5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 887.

1811. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTS SERIES 2004-S1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 888.

1812. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTIFICATE SERIES 2001-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 889.

1813. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-MS8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 890.

1814. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-MS8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 891.

1815. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-MS9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 892.

1816. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-MS9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 893.

1817. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTS SERIES 2002-ARS shall be designated as Defendant No. 894.

1818. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATE SERIES 2002-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 895.
1819. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATE SERIES 2002-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 896.

1820. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-S12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 897.

1821. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2001-S10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 898.

1822. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-S7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 899.

1823. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004 AR-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 900.

1824. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 901.

1825. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 902.

1826. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 903.

1827. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 904.

1828. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-CB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 905.

1829. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-RS2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 906.
1830. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-S2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 907.

1831. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 908.

1832. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 909.

1833. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 910.

1834. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 911.

1835. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 912.

1836. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 913.

1837. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 914.

1838. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 915.

1839. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 916.

1840. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 917.
1841. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-CB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 918.

1842. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-CB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 919.

1843. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-CB4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 920.

1844. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-S3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 921.

1845. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 922.

1846. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 923.

1847. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 924.

1848. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 925.

1849. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 926.

1850. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 927.

1851. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 928.
1852. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 929.

1853. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 930.

1854. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 931.

1855. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 932.

1856. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 933.

1857. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 934.

1858. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 935.

1859. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 936.

1860. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 937.

1861. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 938.

1862. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 939.
1863. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 940.

1864. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 941.

1865. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 942.

1866. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 943.

1867. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 944.

1868. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 945.

1869. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 946.

1870. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 947.

1871. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 948.

1872. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 949.

1873. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 950.
1874. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 951.

1875. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 952.

1876. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 953.

1877. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 954.

1878. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 955.

1879. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 956.

1880. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 957.

1881. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 958.

1882. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 959.

1883. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 960.

1884. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 961.
1885. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 962.

1886. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 963.

1887. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 964.

1888. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 965.

1889. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 966.

1890. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 967.

1891. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 968.

1892. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 969.

1893. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 970.

1894. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 971.

1895. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 972.
1896. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 973.

1897. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-OA1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 974.

1898. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-OA2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 975.

1899. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-OA3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 976.

1900. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-OA4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 977.

1901. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-OA5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 978.

1902. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-OA6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 979.

1903. Defendant WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THRU CERT SERIES 2003-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 980.

1904. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CE SE 02 AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 981.

1905. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CE SE 02 AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 982.

1906. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CE SE 03 S6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 983.
1907. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CE SE 2002 S5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 984.

1908. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CE SE 2002 S5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 985.

1909. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CE SE 2002-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 986.


1911. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CER SE 02 AR18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 988.

1912. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PA TH CER SE 02 AR18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 989.

1913. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PS TH CE SE 03 AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 990.

1914. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PS TH CE SE 03 AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 991.

1915. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MO PS TH CE SE 03 S13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 992.

1916. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU MOR PAS TH CE SE 03 AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 993.

1917. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC CORP WAMU SERIES 2003-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 994.
1918. Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORT SEC WAMU MOR PA TH CE
SE 2002 AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 995.

1919. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated
as Defendant No. 996.

1920. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R4 shall be designated as
Defendant No. 997.

1921. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R6 shall be designated as
Defendant No. 998.

1922. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R7 shall be designated as
Defendant No. 999.

1923. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R8 shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1000.

1924. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R9 shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1001.

1925. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC. ASSET-BACKED
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-IA1 shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1002.
1926. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC. ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1003.


1928. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2003-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1005.

1929. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2003-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1006.

1930. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2003-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1007.

1931. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2003-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1008.

1932. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-R10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1009.
1933. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-R5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1010.

1934. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-R6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1011.

1935. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-R7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1012.

1936. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-R8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1013.

1937. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-R9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1014.

1938. Defendant AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST 2006-R1, ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-R1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1015.

1939. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1016.

1940. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-W7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1017.
1941. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-PW1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1018.


1944. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-W9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1021.

1945. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-W1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1022.

1946. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-W2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1023.


1950. Defendant ARGENT SECURITIES INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-W2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1027.


1953. Defendant ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-R2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1030.

1954. Defendant ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-W1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1031.


1962. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1039.

1963. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1040.

1964. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC, ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1041.

1965. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC, ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1042.


1971. Defendant OPTEUM MORTGAGE ACCEPTANCE CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1048.


1974. Defendant PARK PLACE SECURITIES, INC. shall be designated as Defendant No. 1051.


1990. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORT SEcurities HOME MORTGAGE INVEST TR 2004-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1067.


1992. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS LLC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1069.

1993. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1070.


1995. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1072.
1996. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1073.

1997. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1074.

1998. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1075.

1999. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1076.


2002. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1079.

2003. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1080.


2006. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2007-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1083.


2009. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1086.

2010. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1087.

2011. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1088.

2012. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1089.

2013. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1090.

2014. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1091.

2015. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1092.

2016. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1093.

2017. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1094.
2018. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT CORP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1095.


2021. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1098.

2022. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1099.

2023. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1100.

2024. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1101.

2025. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1102.

2026. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1103.

2027. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1104.

2028. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1105.
2029. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1106.

2030. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1107.

2031. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2004-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1108.

2032. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1109.

2033. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1110.

2034. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1111.

2035. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1112.

2036. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1113.

2037. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1114.

2038. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1115.

2039. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1116.
2040. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1117.

2041. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1118.

2042. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1119.

2043. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1120.

2044. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1121.

2045. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1122.

2046. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1123.

2047. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1124.

2048. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1125.

2049. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1126.

2050. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1127.
2051. Defendant AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE INVESTMENT TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1128.

2052. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SEC INC REMIC CER SERIES 2003-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1129.

2053. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SEC INC REMIC PASS THR CER SER 2003-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1130.

2054. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SEC INC REMIC PASS THR CERTS SERIES 2003 5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1131.

2055. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SEC INC REMIC PASS-THR CERT SER 2003-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1132.

2056. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1133.

2057. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1134.

2058. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1135.

2059. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1136.

2060. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1137.

2061. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1138.
2062. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1139.

2063. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2006-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1140.

2064. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1141.

2065. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1142.

2066. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1143.

2067. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1144.

2068. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1145.

2069. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1146.

2070. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1147.

2071. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1148.

2072. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1149.
2073. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1150.

2074. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1151.

2075. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1152.

2076. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2008-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1153.

2077. Defendant CITICORP MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST, SERIES 2008-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1154.

2078. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE SECURITIES, INC. shall be designated as Defendant No. 1155.

2079. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1156.

2080. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1157.

2081. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1158.

2082. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1159.

2083. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1160.
2084. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1161.

2085. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1162.

2086. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1163.

2087. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1164.

2088. Defendant CITICORP RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE TRUST SERIES 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1165.

2089. Defendant CITIGROUP MORT LN TR ASST BACK PS THR CERTS SER 2003-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1166.

2090. Defendant CITIGROUP MORT LOAN TRUST INC ASSET BK PAS THR CE SE 03 HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1167.

2091. Defendant CITIGROUP MORT LOAN TRUST INC MORT PAS THR CERT SE 03 1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1168.

2092. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAG LOAN TRUST SERIES 2003-UP3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1169.

2093. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1170.

2094. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1171.
2095. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1172.

2096. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1173.

2097. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1174.

2098. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AMC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1175.

2099. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1176.

2100. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1177.

2101. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1178.

2102. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1179.

2103. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1180.

2104. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-CB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1181.

2105. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-FX1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1182.
2106. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1183.

2107. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1184.

2108. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1185.

2109. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-NC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1186.

2110. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-NC2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1187.

2111. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1188.

2112. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1189.

2113. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WFHE1, ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-WFHE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1190.

2114. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WFHE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1191.

2115. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WFHE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1192.

2116. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-WFHE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1193.
2117. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1194.

2118. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1195.

2119. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1196.

2120. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1197.

2121. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1198.

2122. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1199.

2123. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1200.

2124. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1201.

2125. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1202.

2126. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AHL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1203.

2127. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AHL2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1204.
2128. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AHL3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1205.

2129. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AMC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1206.

2130. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AMC2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1207.

2131. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AMC3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1208.

2132. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AMC4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1209.

2133. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1210.

2134. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1211.

2135. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1212.

2136. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-CB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1213.

2137. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-OPX1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1214.

2138. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-WFHE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1215.
2139. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-WFHE2 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1216.
2140. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-WFHE3 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1217.
2141. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-WFHE4 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1218.
2142. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC shall be designated
as Defendant No. 1219.
2143. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC CARRINGTON
MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2004-NC2 shall be designated as Defendant
No. 1220.
2144. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC C-BASS
MORTGAGE LOAN ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-CB7 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1221.
2145. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2004-HYB3
shall be designated as Defendant No. 1222.
2146. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2004-
NCM2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1223.
2147. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2004-OPT1
shall be designated as Defendant No. 1224.
2148. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2004-UST1
shall be designated as Defendant No. 1225.
2149. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2004-UST1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1226.

2150. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2005-OPT1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1227.

2151. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC SERIES 2005-OPT2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1228.

2152. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1229.

2153. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1230.

2154. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. 2005-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1231.

2155. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1232.

2156. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1233.

2157. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1234.
2158. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1235.

2159. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-NCM1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1236.

2160. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1237.

2161. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1238.

2162. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC. SERIES 2004 - HYB4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1239.

2163. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-WMC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1240.

2164. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1241.

2165. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1242.

2166. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1243.
2167. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1244.

2168. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1245.

2169. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1246.

2170. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2005-HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1247.

2171. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1248.

2172. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1249.

2173. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1250.

2174. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST INC., SERIES 2007-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1251.

2175. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2003 UST-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1252.

2176. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2004-CB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1253.

2177. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2004-HYB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1254.
2178. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2004-HYB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1255.

2179. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2004-RES1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1256.

2180. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1257.

2181. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-CB4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1258.

2182. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-CB8, C-BASS MORTGAGE LOAN ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES shall be designated as Defendant No. 1259.

2183. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-OPT3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1260.

2184. Defendant CITIGROUP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-OPT4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1261.

2185. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1262.

2186. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1263.

2187. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1264.

2188. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1265.
2189. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1266.

2190. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1267.

2191. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2006-A7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1268.

2192. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1269.

2193. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1270.

2194. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1271.

2195. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1272.

2196. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1273.

2197. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1274.

2198. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1275.

2199. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1276.
2200. Defendant CMALT (CITIMORTGAGE ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST), SERIES 2007-A8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1277.

2201. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1278.

2202. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II INC., HOMEBANC MORTGAGE TRUST 2004-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1279.

2203. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1280.

2204. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1281.

2205. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1282.

2206. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1283.

2207. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1284.

2208. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1285.

2209. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1286.

2210. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1287.
2211. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1288.

2212. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1289.

2213. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1290.

2214. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1291.

2215. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1292.

2216. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1293.

2217. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1294.

2218. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1295.

2219. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1296.

2220. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1297.

2221. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1298.
2222. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1299.

2223. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1300.

2224. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1301.

2225. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1302.

2226. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1303.

2227. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1304.

2228. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1305.

2229. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1306.

2230. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1307.

2231. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1308.

2232. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1309.
2233. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1310.

2234. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1311.

2235. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1312.

2236. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1313.

2237. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1314.

2238. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1315.

2239. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1316.

2240. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1317.

2241. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1318.

2242. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1319.

2243. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1320.
2244. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1321.

2245. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1322.

2246. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1323.

2247. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1324.

2248. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST SERIES 2004-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1325.

2249. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1326.

2250. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1327.

2251. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1328.

2252. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1329.

2253. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1330.

2254. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1331.
2255. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1332.

2256. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS INC shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1333.

2257. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS TRUST
2003 AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1334.

2258. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS TRUST
2003-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1335.

2259. Defendant SG MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST 2005-OPT1 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1336.

2260. Defendant SG MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST 2006-FRE1 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1337.

2261. Defendant SG MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST 2006-FRE2 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1338.

2262. Defendant SG MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST 2006-OPT2 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1339.

2263. Defendant SG MORTGAGE SECURITIES, LLC shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1340.

2264. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR1 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1341.

2265. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR11 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1342.
2266. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR12 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1343.

2267. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR13 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1344.

2268. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR14 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1345.

2269. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR15 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1346.

2270. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR2 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1347.

2271. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR3 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1348.

2272. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR4 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1349.

2273. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR5 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1350.

2274. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR6 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1351.

2275. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR7 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1352.

2276. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR8 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1353.
2277. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1354.

2278. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1355.

2279. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1356.

2280. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1357.

2281. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1358.

2282. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1359.

2283. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1360.

2284. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1361.

2285. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR16IP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1362.

2286. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1363.

2287. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1364.
2288. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1365.

2289. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1366.

2290. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1367.

2291. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1368.

2292. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1369.

2293. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1370.

2294. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR29 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1371.

2295. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1372.

2296. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR31 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1373.

2297. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR33 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1374.

2298. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR35 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1375.
2299. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1376.

2300. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1377.

2301. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1378.

2302. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1379.

2303. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1380.

2304. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1381.

2305. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1382.

2306. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1383.

2307. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1384.

2308. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1385.

2309. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1386.
2310. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1387.

2311. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1388.

2312. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1389.

2313. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1390.

2314. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR25 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1391.

2315. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1392.

2316. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR27 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1393.

2317. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR29 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1394.

2318. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1395.

2319. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR31 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1396.

2320. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR33 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1397.
2321. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR35 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1398.

2322. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR37 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1399.

2323. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR39 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1400.

2324. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1401.

2325. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR41 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1402.

2326. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1403.

2327. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1404.

2328. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1405.

2329. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1406.

2330. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1407.

2331. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-FLX1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1408.
2332. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-R1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1409.

2333. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1410.

2334. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1411.

2335. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1412.

2336. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1413.

2337. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1414.

2338. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR19 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1415.

2339. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR21IP shall be designated as Defendant No. 1416.

2340. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1417.

2341. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1418.

2342. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1419.
2343. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1420.

2344. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1421.

2345. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1422.

2346. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1423.

2347. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1424.

2348. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1425.

2349. Defendant INDYMAC INDX MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-FLX6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1426.

2350. Defendant GMAC MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1427.

2351. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1428.

2352. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN BACKED NOTES SERIES 2002-HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1429.

2353. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2003-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1430.
2354. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2000-HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1431.

2355. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2003-HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1432.

2356. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2004-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1433.

2357. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2004-HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1434.

2358. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2004-HE5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1435.

2359. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2005-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1436.

2360. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2005-HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1437.

2361. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2005-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1438.

2362. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN TRUST 2006-HE5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1439.

2363. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN-BACKED NOTES SERIES 2001-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1440.

2364. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN-BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2001-HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1441.
2365. Defendant GMACM HOME EQUITY LOAN-BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2001-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1442.

2366. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2000-CL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1443.

2367. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2000-HLTV2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1444.

2368. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2002-HLTV1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1445.

2369. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN TRUST 2004-HLTV1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1446.

2370. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN-BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2001-CL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1447.

2371. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN-BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2001-HLTV1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1448.

2372. Defendant GMACM HOME LOAN-BACKED TERM NOTES SERIES 2001-HLTV2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1449.

2373. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN BACKED NOTES SERIES 2000-HE3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1450.

2374. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2003-J7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1451.

2375. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-GH1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1452.
2376. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AA1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1453.

2377. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1454.

2378. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1455.

2379. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1456.

2380. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1457.

2381. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1458.

2382. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1459.

2383. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1460.

2384. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1461.

2385. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1462.

2386. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1463.
2387. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS THRU CERTS SERIES 2003-J8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1464.

2388. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-J5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1465.

2389. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2003-J5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1466.

2390. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1467.

2391. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1468.

2392. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1469.

2393. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1470.

2394. Defendant GMACM MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-J6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1471.

2395. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2004-SP1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1472.

2396. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2004-SP2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1473.

2397. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2004-SP3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1474.

2398. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2005-SP1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1475.
2399. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2005-SP3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1476.

2400. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2007 SP2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1477.

2401. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2007-SP1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1478.

2402. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2007-SP3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1479.

2403. Defendant RAAC SERIES 2007-SP3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1480.

2404. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-R12 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1481.

2405. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1482.

2406. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1483.

2407. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS10 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1484.

2408. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS11 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1485.

2409. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1486.
2410. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1487.

2411. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS5 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1488.

2412. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS6 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1489.

2413. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS7 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1490.

2414. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS8 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1491.

2415. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RS9 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1492.

2416. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RZ2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1493.

2417. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RZ3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1494.

2418. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RZ3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1495.

2419. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-RZ4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1496.

2420. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-SL2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1497.
2421. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-SL3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1498.

2422. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2004-SL4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1499.

2423. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005 SL2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1500.

2424. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-EFC1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1501.

2425. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-EFC2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1502.

2426. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-EFC3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1503.

2427. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-EFC4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1504.

2428. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-EFC5 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1505.

2429. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-EFC6 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1506.

2430. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1507.

2431. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1508.
2432. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1509.

2433. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1510.

2434. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS5 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1511.

2435. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS6 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1512.

2436. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS7 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1513.

2437. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS8 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1514.

2438. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS9 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1515.

2439. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1516.

2440. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1517.

2441. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1518.

2442. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1519.
2443. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-SL1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1520.

2444. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-SP2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1521.

2445. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2006-RS2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1522.

2446. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2006-RS2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1523.

2447. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2006-SP1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1524.

2448. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET BACKED PASS THR CERTS SER 2003-RS4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1525.

2449. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-JR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1526.

2450. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MOR PRO INC GMACM MO PASS TH CE SE 2006 J6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1527.

2451. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRO INC GMACM MO PA TH CE SE 03 AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1528.

2452. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD GMACM PS THR CERTS SER 2003-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1529.

2453. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACH HM EQ LN TR 2002-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1530.
2454. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 04 HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1531.

2455. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2000 HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1532.

2456. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2000 HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1533.

2457. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2001 HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1534.

2458. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2001 HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1535.

2459. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2004 HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1536.

2460. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1537.

2461. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC RAMP SERIES 2004 RZ1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1538.

2462. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC RAMP SERIES 2004 SL1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1539.

2463. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODS INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03 GH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1540.

2464. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODS INC GMACM MORT LOAN TR 03 J10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1541.
2465. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODUCT GMACM LOAN SER 2003-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1542.

2466. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODUCTS INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03 J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1543.

2467. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODUCTS INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1544.

2468. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PROD INC GMACM MOR LN TR 2003-GH1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1545.

2469. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS GMACM TRUST 2004-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1546.

2470. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1547.

2471. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1548.

2472. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1549.

2473. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS5 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1550.

2474. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS6 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1551.

2475. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS7 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1552.
2476. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS8 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1553.

2477. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RS9 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1554.

2478. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1555.

2479. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1556.

2480. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ3 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1557.

2481. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-RZ4 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1558.

2482. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-SL1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1559.

2483. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2005-SP2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1560.

2484. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2006-RS2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1561.

2485. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2006-RS2 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1562.

2486. Defendant RAMP SERIES 2006-SP1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1563.
2487. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET BACKED PASS THR CERTS SER 2003-RS4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1564.

2488. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET GMACM MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-JR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1565.

2489. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MOR PRO INC GMACM MO PASS TH CE SE 2006 J6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1566.

2490. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRO INC GMACM MO PA TH CE SE 03 AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1567.

2491. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD GMACM PS THR CERTS SER 2003-J4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1568.

2492. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACH HM EQ LN TR 2002-HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1569.

2493. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ L N TR 04 HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1570.

2494. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2000 HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1571.

2495. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2000 HE2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1572.

2496. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2001 HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1573.

2497. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2001 HE4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1574.
2498. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM HOME EQ LN TR 2004 HE1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1575.

2499. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03-J2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1576.

2500. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC RAMP SERIES 2004 RZ1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1577.

2501. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PROD INC RAMP SERIES 2004 SL1 TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1578.

2502. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODS INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03 GH2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1579.

2503. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODS INC GMACM MORT LOAN TR 03 J10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1580.

2504. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODUCT GMACM LOAN SER 2003-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1581.

2505. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODUCTS INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03 J3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1582.

2506. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORT PRODUCTS INC GMACM MORT LN TR 03-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1583.

2507. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PROD INC GMACM MOR LN TR 2003-GH1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1584.

2508. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS GMACM TRUST 2004-J1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1585.
2509. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1586.

2510. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS INC TRUST 2000-HLTV1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1587.

2511. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASSET MORTGAGE PRODUCTS RAMP TRUST 2004-RS3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1588.

2512. Defendant RESIDENTIAL ASST MORT PROD GMACM MT PS THR CERTS SER 2003-J9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1589.


2515. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1592.

2516. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1593.

2517. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1594.

2518. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1595.

2519. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1596.
2520. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1597.

2521. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-OA1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1598.

2522. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1599.

2523. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1600.

2524. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1601.

2525. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1602.

2526. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1603.

2527. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1604.

2528. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1605.

2529. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-OA1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1606.

2530. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-OA2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1607.
2533. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-RAMP1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1610.
2534. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1611.
2535. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1612.
2536. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1613.
2537. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1614.
2538. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1615.
2539. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1616.
2540. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1617.
2541. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1618.
2542. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1619.

2543. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1620.

2544. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1621.

2545. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-B SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1622.

2546. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-B SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AB3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1623.

2547. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-B SECURITIES MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-AB1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1624.

2548. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-B SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2006-AB2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1625.

2549. Defendant DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES, INC. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2005-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1626.

2550. Defendant MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2003-2XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1627.

2551. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-GEL3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1628.

2552. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-WF3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1629.
2553. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2003-GEL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1630.

2554. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2004-GEL2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1631.

2555. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-GEL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1632.

2556. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-GEL2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1633.

2557. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-GEL3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1634.

2558. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-NC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1635.

2559. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE PASS THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-NC2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1636.

2560. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-S4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1637.

2561. Defendant SASCO MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WMC1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1638.

2562. Defendant STRUCT ASS MORT INV INC BS ALTA MORT PAS THR CER SER 2003 1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1639.

2563. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE shall be designated as Defendant No. 1640.
2564. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN RATE shall be designated as Defendant No. 1641.

2565. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1642.

2566. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1643.

2567. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1644.

2568. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1645.

2569. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1646.

2570. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1647.

2571. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1648.

2572. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1649.

2573. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1650.

2574. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1651.
2575. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1652.

2576. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST shall be designated as Defendant No. 1653.

2577. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1654.

2578. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-3XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1655.

2579. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-6XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1656.

2580. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-8XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1657.

2581. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-14 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1658.

2582. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1659.

2583. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-16 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1660.
2584. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-17 shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1661.

2585. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1662.

2586. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1663.

2587. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-16XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1664.

2588. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-17 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1665.

2589. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-18 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1666.

2590. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1667.

2591. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1668.

2592. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1669.

2593. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-21 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1670.

2594. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
SERIES 2005-22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1671.
2595. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-23 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1672.

2596. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1673.

2597. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1674.

2598. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2005-7N shall be designated as Defendant No. 1675.

2599. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1676.

2600. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1677.

2601. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1678.

2602. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-12 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1679.

2603. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1680.

2604. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1681.

2605. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1682.
2606. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1683.

2607. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1684.

2608. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1685.

2609. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1686.

2610. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2006-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1687.

2611. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1688.

2612. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1689.

2613. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1690.

2614. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1691.

2615. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1692.

2616. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1693.
2617. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1694.

2618. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1695.

2619. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1696.

2620. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1697.

2621. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1698.

2622. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2008-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1699.

2623. Defendant STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2008-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1700.

2624. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1701.

2625. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1702.

2626. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 11 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1703.

2627. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1704.
2628. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1705.

2629. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1706.

2630. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1707.

2631. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1708.

2632. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 04 9 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1709.

2633. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 05 2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1710.

2634. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A TR 05 3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1711.

2635. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ARM TR 2004 1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1712.

2636. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ARM TR 2004 2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1713.

2637. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC BEAR STEARNS ARM TRUST 03-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1714.

2638. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC MORT PAS THR CERT SE 04 CL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1715.
2639. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC PRIME MORTGAGE
TRUST 2003 2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1716.

2640. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV II INC THORNBURG MORT
SEC TR 03 5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1717.

2641. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV INC BEAR STEARNS ALT A
TR 03 4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1718.

2642. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV INC BEAR STEARNS ARM
TRUST 2003 3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1719.

2643. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV INC MORT BACK NTS SER
2003-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1720.

2644. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV INC MORT PAS THR CERTS
SER 2003-3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1721.

2645. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV INC MORT PASS THR CERTS
SER 2003-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1722.

2646. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INV INC THORNBURG MORT
SEC TR 2003-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1723.

2647. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INVEST INC MORT PAS THR
CERT SE 03 CL1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1724.

2648. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INVEST INC MORT PAS THR
CERTS SER 03 1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1725.

2649. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT INVESTMENT THORNBURG SEC
TRUST 2004-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1726.
2650. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT PASS THRU CERTS SERIES 2003 AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1727.

2651. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORT PASS THRU CERTS SERIES 2004 AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1728.

2652. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVEST TRUST 2003-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1729.

2653. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II 2005-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1730.

2654. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II INC shall be designated as Defendant No. 1731.

2655. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II INC., HOMEBANC MORTGAGE TRUST 2004-2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1732.

2656. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II SERIES 2004-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1733.

2657. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1734.

2658. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1735.

2659. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1736.

2660. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2004-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1737.
2661. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1738.

2662. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1739.

2663. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1740.

2664. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1741.

2665. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1742.

2666. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1743.

2667. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1744.

2668. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1745.

2669. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1746.

2670. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1747.

2671. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1748.
2672. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1749.

2673. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2005-F3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1750.

2674. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1751.

2675. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1752.

2676. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1753.

2677. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1754.

2678. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1755.

2679. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1756.

2680. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1757.

2681. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1758.

2682. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1759.
2683. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1760.

2684. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1761.

2685. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1762.

2686. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1763.

2687. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1764.

2688. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006-AR8 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1765.

2689. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1766.

2690. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2006AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1767.

2691. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1768.

2692. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1769.

2693. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1770.
2694. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1771.

2695. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1772.

2696. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1773.

2697. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1774.

2698. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1775.

2699. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1776.

2700. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1777.

2701. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1778.

2702. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-BC5 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1779.

2703. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-BC6 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1780.

2704. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-BC2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1781.
2705. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-BC3 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1782.

2706. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-BC4 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1783.

2707. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-OSI shall be designated as Defendant No. 1784.

2708. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-WF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1785.

2709. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-WF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1786.

2710. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2004-11XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1787.

2711. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2004-9XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1788.

2712. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES 2004-S2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1789.

2713. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1790.

2714. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-13 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1791.
2715. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-15 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1792.

2716. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-16XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1793.

2717. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-17XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1794.

2718. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-19-XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1795.

2719. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-20 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1796.

2720. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-21XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1797.

2721. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-22 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1798.
2722. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-23XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1799.

2723. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-7 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1800.

2724. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1801.

2725. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-10 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1802.

2726. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-11H shall be designated as Defendant No. 1803.

2727. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-2XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1804.

2728. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF1 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1805.
2729. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-WF2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1806.

2730. Defendant STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES CORP. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2005-7XS shall be designated as Defendant No. 1807.

2731. Defendant STRUCTURES ASSET MORT PRIME MORT TR PAS THR CER SER 2004 CL2 shall be designated as Defendant No. 1808.

2732. Defendant CITIFINANCIAL PROMOTORA DE NEGOCIOS & COBRANCA LTDA. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1809.)

2733. Defendant CITIBANK CORRETORA DE SEGUROS LTDA. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1810.)

2734. Defendant BANCO CITICARD S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1811.)

2735. Defendant BANK HANDLOWY W WARSZAWIE S.A. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Poland. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1812.)
2736. Defendant CITI OVERSEAS INVESTMENTS BAHAMAS, INC. is a Corporation located in Bahamas. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1813.)

2737. Defendant CITIBANK CARTOES PARTICIPACOES LTDA. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1814.)

2738. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS CORPORATION & CO. BESCHRANKT HAFTENDE KG is a Corporation located in Germany. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1815.)

2739. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS DEUTSCHLAND AG is a Corporation located in Germany. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1816.)

2740. Defendant CITIBANK MEDIADOR, OPERADOR DE BANCA - SEGUROS VINCULADO, SOCIEDAD ANONIMA is an Unknown Business Entity located in Spain. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1817.)

2741. Defendant CITIBANK HOLDINGS IRELAND LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Ireland. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in
this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1818.)

2742. Defendant CITICORP CAPITAL PHILIPPINES, INC. is a Corporation located in
Philippines. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to
applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1819.)

2743. Defendant CITICORP FINANCE (INDIA) LIMITED is an Unknown Business
Entity located in India. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action
pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No.
1820.)

2744. Defendant CITIGROUP ASIA PACIFIC HOLDING CORPORATION is a
Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this
action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant
No. 1821.)

2745. Defendant CITIGROUP HOLDING (SINGAPORE) PRIVATE LIMITED is an
Unknown Business Entity located in Singapore. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1822.)

2746. Defendant CITIBANK (HONG KONG) LIMITED is an Unknown Business
Entity located in Hong Kong. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this
action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant
No. 1823.)
2747. Defendant CITIBANK BERHAD is an Unknown Business Entity located in Malaysia. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1824.)

2748. Defendant CITIBANK MALAYSIA (L) LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Malaysia. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1825.)

2749. Defendant CITIGROUP NETHERLANDS HOLDINGS B.V. is a Limited Liability Company located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1826.)

2750. Defendant LATIN AMERICAN INVESTMENT BANK BAHAMAS LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Bahamas. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1827.)

2751. Defendant ZAO CITIBANK is an Unknown Business Entity located in Russian Federation. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1828.)

2752. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS LUXEMBOURG is an Unknown Business Entity located in Luxembourg. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1829.)
2753. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS HONG KONG HOLDINGS LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in Hong Kong. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No 1830.)

2754. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS SINGAPORE HOLDINGS PTE. LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Singapore. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1831.)

2755. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, SINGAPORE PTE. LTD. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Singapore. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1832.)

2756. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity located in India. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1833.)

2757. Defendant CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS COMMERCIAL CORP. is a Corporation located in Delaware. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1834.)

2758. Defendant COHM OVERSEAS MEXICO HOLDING, S. DE R.L. DE C.V. is a Limited Liability Company located in Mexico. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1835.)

2759. Defendant ELAVON DO BRASIL SOLUCOES DE PAGAMENTO S.A is an
Unknown Business Entity located in Brazil. This Defendant is fully subject to
jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be
designated as Defendant No. 1836.)

2760. Defendant ELAVON EUROPEAN HOLDINGS, C.V. is a Limited Partnership
located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action
pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No.
1837.)

2761. Defendant ELAVON FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED is an Unknown
Business Entity located in Ireland. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in
this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1838.)

2762. Defendant USB NETHERLANDS B.V. is a Private Limited Liability Company
located in Netherlands. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action
pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No.
1839.)

2763. Defendant USB REALTY CORP. is a Corporation located in Delaware. This
Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law.
(This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1840.)

2764. Defendant USB TRADE SERVICES LIMITED is an Unknown Business Entity
located in Hong Kong. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action
pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1841.)

2765. Defendant ELAVON MERCHANT SERVICES MEXICO, S. DE R.L. DE C.V. is a Limited Liability Company located in Mexico. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1842.)

2766. Defendant ELAVON MEXICO HOLDING COMPANY, S.A. DE C.V. is a Corporation located in Mexico. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1843.)

2767. Defendant ELAVON OPERATIONS COMPANY, S. DE R.I. DE C.V. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Mexico. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1844.)

2768. Defendant ELAVON PUERTO RICO, INC. is a Corporation located in Puerto Rico. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1845.)

2769. Defendant ELAVON SERVICES COMPANY, S. DE R.I. DE C.V. is an Unknown Business Entity located in Mexico. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1846.)
2770. Defendant GMAC HOLDINGS GMBH is a Limited Liability Company located in Germany. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1847.)

2771. Defendant GMAC GERMANY GMBH & CO. KG is a Limited Liability Company located in Germany. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1848.)

2772. Defendant GMAC BANK GMBH is a Limited Partnership located in Germany. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1849.)

2773. Defendant GMAC HOLDINGS U.K. LIMITED is an entity form unknown with offices in the State of New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1850.)

2774. Defendant GMAC UK PLC is an entity form unknown with offices in the State of New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1851.)

2775. Defendant GMAC INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS B.V. is an entity form unknown with offices in the State of New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1852.)

2776. Defendant ALLY CREDIT CANADA LIMITED is an entity form unknown with offices in the State of New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this
action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1853.)

2777. Defendant GMAC INTERNATIONAL FINANCE B.V. is an entity form unknown with offices in the State of New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1854.)

2778. Defendant ABA SEGUROS, S.A. DE C.V. is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1855.)

2779. Defendant ALLY INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, LTD. is an entity form unknown with offices in the State of New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1856.)

2780. Defendant GMAC CYPRESS HOLDINGS LIMITED is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1857.)

2781. Defendant BANCO GMAC S.A. is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1858.)

2782. Defendant RESMOR CAPITAL CORPORATION is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1859.)
2783. Defendant RESMOR TRUST COMPANY is an entity form unknown. This
Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law.
(This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1860.)

2784. Defendant U.S. TRUST CORPORATION is an entity form unknown residing in
New York, New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action
pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No.
1861.)

2785. Defendant BANK BOSTON is a Delaware entity situated in Massachusetts. This
Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law.
(This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1862.)

2786. Defendant BANK OF AMERICA (Asia) is an entity form unknown. This
Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law.
(This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1863.)

2787. Defendant CHINA CONSTRUCTION BANK (Asia) CORPORATION
LIMITED is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction
in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as
Defendant No. 1864.)

2788. Defendant BANK OF AMERICA (Macau) is an entity form unknown. This
Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law.
(This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1865.)

2789. Defendant CHINA CONSTRUCTION BANK (Macau) is an entity form
unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to
applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1866.)
2790. Defendant CHINA CONSTRUCTION BANK (Macau) is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1867.)

2791. Defendant BANK OF AMERICA CANADA is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1868.)

2792. Defendant BANC OF AMERICA SECURITIES LLC is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1869.)

2793. Defendant MBNA AMERICA BANK is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1870.)

2794. Defendant NATIONAL ASSOCIATION is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1871.)

2795. Defendant FIA CARD SERVICES is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1872.)

2796. Defendant NATIONAL ASSOCIATION is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1873.)
2797. Defendant FIA CARD SERVICES, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1874.)

2798. Defendant RED OAK MERGER CORPORATION is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1875.)

2799. Defendant MERRILL LYNCH is an entity form unknown. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1876.)

2800. Defendant MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED is an entity form unknown with its principal place of business in New York City. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1877.)

2801. Defendant BANCAMERICA ROBERTSON STEPHENS is an entity form unknown with its principal place of business in New York, New York. This Defendant is fully subject to jurisdiction in this action pursuant to applicable law. (This Defendant shall be designated as Defendant No. 1878.)

2802. Defendants, and each of them beginning in paragraph 1068 and concluding in paragraph 2,725, are all entities of unknown form, a.) located and doing business in the State of New York, and b.) in the business of creating a negotiation trail of all Defendants’ negotiable instruments and other legal paperwork (including, but not limited to promissory notes and assignments) in a way to create an appearance of propriety under the Uniform Commercial Code when in fact there is no propriety
 whatsoever and these Defendants are the core of the ponzi and RICO money laundering schemes set forth in detail above. These Defendants have knowingly conspired and assisted in each and every violation of law and the ponzi, RICO and money laundering schemes set forth herein could not have occurred without them. These Defendants are collectively referred to hereinafter as "New York Loan Pools."

2803. At all times material hereto, the business of Defendants was operated through a common plan and scheme designed to conceal from Plaintiffs the material facts set forth below. Such facts were also concealed from the public and from regulators, either directly or as successors-in-interest to the business acquired from others. The concealment was completed, ratified and/or confirmed by each Defendant herein directly or as a successor-in-interest as the acquirer of an entire business, and each Defendant performed or has sought to benefit from the tortious acts set forth herein for its own monetary gain and as a part of a common plan developed and carried out with the other Defendants or as a successor-in-interest to the business that did the foregoing.

2804. Plaintiffs allege that each of the wrongful acts or omissions described below was performed either by each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, or ratified and adopted by each Defendant after its occurrence.

2805. Further, those Defendants that did not actively perform the acts or omissions described in this Complaint did affirmatively aid and abet the other Defendants in the performance of such acts of omissions, before, during or after the fact.

2806. Finally, each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, did knowingly derive some form of profit or benefit from the acts and omissions described herein.
2807. All Defendants agreed to work together in the conspiracy and/or joint enterprise described in this Complaint based upon an express agreement among all Defendants to convert plaintiffs’ monies and personalty in the manner described herein. Accordingly, each Defendant, named or unnamed, should be held liable for the acts and omissions of all other Defendants with respect to the causes of action set forth below.

2808. The true names and capacities of the Defendants listed herein as DOES 2 through 1,000 are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sue these Defendants by such fictitious names. Upon learning the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants, Plaintiffs shall amend this Complaint accordingly.

2809. Each of the Defendants herein, named or unnamed, was the agent of each of the other Defendants herein, named or unnamed, and thereby participated in all of the wrongdoing set forth below. Thus, each such Defendant is responsible for the acts, events and concealment of every other such Defendant as set forth below.

2810. Defendants’ wrongful acts include (but are not limited to) the following: (i) claiming to be servicer of the subject notes at issue herein and demanding monthly loan payments therefor, when in fact no Defendant had or has any legal claim to the monies paid to it by Plaintiffs; (ii) taking loan payments every month from each Plaintiff without crediting any portion of that money to the benefit of any Plaintiff; (iii) promising loan modifications to Plaintiffs while never being an authorized legal representative of any person in a position to actually modify Plaintiffs’ loans; (iv) inducing Plaintiffs to default on their loans so that Defendants could profit from the credit default swaps they had purchased, betting that such loans would not be paid as
agreed; (v) creating false reasons for charging fees to Plaintiffs based upon nonexistent monies owed, then instituting foreclosure proceedings against Plaintiffs when such fees went unpaid; (vi) issuing wrongful Notices of Default to Plaintiffs; (vii) by refusing to respond, in any way, to Plaintiffs' communications or to communications made for Plaintiffs by their private and public representatives; (viii) converting Plaintiffs’ monies as alleged in great detail below, (ix) secreting such acts of conversion through the massive international network used by defendants to support their Ponzi scheme in violation of law.

VI.  ADDITIONAL FACTS OF THE RICO, MONEY LAUNDERING AND PONZI SCHEMES

2811. This is the largest scheme in United States history where domestic banking institutions – on an international basis, involving all Defendants herein and their co-conspirators operating together in a common enterprise as set forth below – engaged in an institutional, worldwide scheme to steal, rob and convert the personal property, money and proceeds of such assets of each Plaintiff herein on the dates, in the sums and with the modus operandi set forth below.

2812. This modus operandi of Defendants herein includes their decade-long and systematic conversion and “Ponzi scheme” approach that damaged millions of borrowers across the United States.

2813. Defendants’ elaborate scheme consisted of – and continues to consist of – numerous business designs, structures and arrangements operated by all Defendants herein. These have included enterprises of each Defendant as set forth herein, that dealt in the converted assets of tens of thousands of American homeowners –

---

3 To be clear, Plaintiffs make no allegation whatsoever that any Defendant herein – individually or in conspiracy with any other Defendant – has converted any real property.
including the Plaintiffs herein--and secretly transferred them nationally and internationally into a gigantic ongoing “Ponzi scheme.”

2814. Because of the economic meltdown of 2007 and beyond, this Ponzi scheme has required the creation of more and more shell entities, and other money-raising vehicles used by Defendants herein in order to support the raising of additional money in order to continue to hide the converted assets.

2815. The entire purpose of Defendants’ Ponzi/RICO scheme has been to hide the converted assets of Plaintiffs (and other victims similarly situated) deeply and entirely so that Plaintiffs and other victims become incapable of ever recovering the funds and personalty converted from them.

2816. The assets unlawfully converted and stolen by all Defendants as a part of their conspiracy, as well as instrumentalities used by all Defendants to continue the conversion and secreting of Plaintiffs’ assets that are known as of the date of filing hereof, included and continue to include the following:

a. Plaintiffs’ money, as set forth below (conversion);

b. Negotiable instruments improperly negotiated under state and federal law, as set forth below (instrumentality);

c. Private identity information of certain Plaintiffs, as set forth below (conversion);

d. Other private information of certain Plaintiffs taken by Defendants in violation the provisions of the United States Constitution, as alleged below (conversion);

e. Mortgages or deeds of trust transferred secretly in violation of law, as set forth below (instrumentality);
f. Mortgaged-backed securities used merely to shield and hide the movement of assets converted from Plaintiffs outside of the United States (instrumentality);

g. Bond or debt securities – which Defendants began calling “hybrid” securities during the pendency of this action – used merely to perpetuate the Ponzi scheme and thus shield and hide the movement of assets converted from Plaintiffs outside of the United States (instrumentality);

h. Money laundering of proceeds of the above-described activity, as set forth in detail below (conversion and instrumentality);

i. Conversion and larceny where Defendants, and each of them, intended to and did in fact use Plaintiffs’ money and other converted property to perpetuate their Ponzi schemes through the use of thousands of companies internationally – funded with converted monies for the purpose of hiding the trail of conversion and secretion – involving trillions of dollars.

2817. Without Defendants’ theft of Plaintiffs’ money and other property – as alleged herein – none of the mortgage, securities, money laundering and/or Ponzi schemes described herein could have been initiated, perpetuated or maintained. The money converted from the Plaintiffs and other consumers nationwide has always been the “fuel” for the schemes alleged herein.

2818. Included in the scheme as a key instrumentality – but not the fundamental purpose of the scheme – was and is all Defendants’ intention to foreclose on the homes of homeowners, including Plaintiffs herein, with respect to promissory notes that are each void ab initio as a result of all Defendants’ intentional violation of state and federal laws promulgated to assure complete transparency and compliance with all
applicable laws with respect to the appropriate and lawful negotiation and transfer of such negotiable instruments.

2819. Each Defendant herein is the agent, servant, and co-conspirator of each other Defendant and all Defendants herein operated with their core *modus operandi* to steal and convert the money and valuable personal property of each Plaintiff (and thousands of other victims) and then to transfer that stolen money (and property) to (a) the other Defendants herein, and to (b) other entities in at least 30 foreign countries according to proof.

2820. In addition, Defendant BofA has admitted the involvement of co-conspirators (a) located in countries without treaties with the United States of America and (b) pursuant to instruments and prospectuses that purport to dissuade (but not expressly prohibit) the involvement of such foreign countries.

2821. Defendants, and each of them, have operated and continue to operate the largest Ponzi scheme in world history with a plan that – at its inception – was intended to, did in fact and continues to the present day to have as its object the theft and conversion of billions of dollars from millions of homeowners, including Plaintiffs.

2822. Plaintiffs became caught up in the tangled Ponzi-scheme-web of Defendants innocently under the guise of applying for a routine home loan or refinancing of an existing home mortgage loan, and have been trying to recover back their money in the sums alleged herein without success ever since. Because of Defendants’ intentional and longstanding secretion of their prior and current unlawful conduct, the trail is growing cold and will ultimately be frozen absent the issuance of immediate injunctive relief as prayed for herein.
2823. Defendants have failed and refused to return Plaintiffs’ money as alleged herein despite (a) Plaintiff’s repeated requests, (b) Defendants’ promises to return the money and property, on a consistent month-to-month basis, (c) Interventions by federal and state governments commanding Defendants to either return the money, or provide a transparent plan identifying systems through which money and property could be identified, located and legitimately returned or otherwise accounted for.

2824. The foregoing modus operandi of all Defendants herein – acting in concert with each other and for the common goal of both stealing Plaintiff’s money and then hiding any documentary proof thereof – began in 2003.

2825. At that time, each Defendant (or their predecessors) adopted a calculated business strategy that transferred ownership of the promissory notes executed by home loan borrowers to persons that were not entitled to receive negotiation thereof under applicable law, and knew it but joined the conspiracy for purposes that amounted to greed. Such conspiracy has continued to the date of filing hereof, but all Defendants with knowledge and malice aforethought.

2826. Defendant Countrywide and its various affiliates were among the leading providers of mortgages in California during all times relevant to this Complaint. By 2005, Countrywide was the largest U.S. mortgage lender in the United States, originating over $490 billion in mortgage loans in 2005, over $450 billion in 2006, and over $408 billion in 2007.

2827. The other Defendants (or their predecessors in interest, such as WAMU and Wachovia) are the other largest home loan mortgage lenders in the United States, and were all involved in the conspiracy described herein.
2828. The *modus operandi* of the various Defendants was to use the numerous methodologies set forth in this Complaint to convert money and property from consumers after the origination of their loan. By 2007, this *modus operandi* had evolved into a massive international Ponzi scheme relying upon foreign investor sources to secure and pay off money injected into the systems of the Defendants by prior lending sources and by Defendants’ prior theft of borrower money (including Plaintiffs’).

2829. As of the end of 2007, Defendants had no definitive and reliable knowledge regarding which foreign entity or entities in fact “owned” – as that term is defined under Article 3 of the Uniform Commercial Code -- any promissory note secured by any deeds of trust or mortgages securing Plaintiffs’ real properties.

2830. Consequently, some of the largest offenders—Countrywide, WAMU, and Wachovia--became hopelessly insolvent and was literally forced by federal regulators to commence negotiations with various large bank to effectuate mergers designed to “clean up” these international Ponzi and conversion schemes.

2831. In 2007, Defendant BofA commenced negotiations to acquire Countrywide. By late 2007, BofA began merging its operations with Countrywide and adopting some of Countrywide’s practices.

2832. WAMU was one of the largest residential mortgage lenders in the United States. However, its predatory lending practices caused it to fail. In September 2008, Chase purchased the assets and liabilities of WAMU for approximately $1.9 billion and began merging it into its operations into Chase by adopting some of its practices.
2833. In 2008, Wachovia was the fourth-largest bank holding company in the United States. However, Wachovia began to fail due to its lending practices, including those described herein. In December 2008, Wells Fargo acquired the assets of Wachovia in order to prevent it from failing, and spent nearly three years merging its operations into Wells Fargo, including adopting some of its practices.

2834. All of the Defendants have taken steps to continue the Ponzi/RICO scheme described herein. Specifically, they have continued to pool mortgage notes into pools for purposes of selling them as so-called mortgage-backed securities, thereby forever severing the promissory notes from the mortgages that secure them.

2835. The Defendants have also acted to foreclose upon homes owned by the Plaintiffs and other individuals by collecting payment in full through a device called a mortgage default swap ("MDS"), whereby the defaulted mortgage would be replaced with a new one. The original lender had already been paid when it transferred the promissory note, so there was no loss to the lender. These lenders foreclose anyway, meaning that they are being paid more than once for the same loan, leading to windfall profits when they sell the properties that they seize through foreclosure.

2836. The fraud perpetrated by the Defendants was willful and pervasive. It began with simple greed and then accelerated when the lenders discovered that they could not sustain their business, unless they (a) used their size and large market share to systematically create false and inflated property appraisals throughout the United States and with respect to each Plaintiff herein and (b) used their network of companies to convert money from unsuspecting borrowers in the United States,
including Plaintiffs, who had good reason at the time to rely upon these fraudulent appraisals and concealment of their intentional, illegal activities.

2837. The Defendants then used these false property valuations, their resulting conversion of monies, and their ongoing Ponzi scheme to finance an operation of agents, including all Defendants and other parties, in order to induce the Plaintiffs and other borrowers into signing documents purportedly confirming ever-larger “refinancing” of their existing mortgages, or to execute promissory notes so that the Defendants could later convert more money and property from them.

2838. The Defendants either knew, or should have known, no later than 2004, that these loans were unsustainable for the lenders and the borrowers and to a certainty either knew or should have known that their fraudulent activity would result in a crash that would consume the equity invested by the Plaintiffs and all other borrowers.

2839. The Defendants either knew, or should have known, no later than 2004, that the foregoing misconduct would result in their ability to convert monies from Plaintiffs (and thousands of other homeowners) subsequent to their pooling of these promissory notes as mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”) that would be sold on the open market to various institutional investors for inflated values.

2840. This system led to the Defendants making multiple sales of the same promissory notes to multiple MBS pools. These multiple sales of the same promissory notes to multiple buyers do not create ownership of such negotiable instrument under Article 3 of the Uniform Commercial Code.

2841. The plan to pool these loans into MBS offerings grew into a brazen plan to disregard underwriting standards and fraudulently inflate property values – county-
by-county, city-by-city, person-by-person – in order to take business from legitimate mortgage-providers, and developed into a massive securities fraud that depended on the concealment from and deception of the Plaintiffs as to the true nature of these transactions on an unprecedented scale. In this way, the Defendants would be able to convert money from the Plaintiffs without such Plaintiffs having any idea or knowledge of the dirty and unlawful plot at the time it was being implemented.

2842. As early as 2004, the Defendants either knew or should have known that this scheme would cause a liquidity crisis that would devastate the Plaintiffs’ home values and net worth.

2843. The Defendants did not care, because their plan was based on insider trading – pumping for as long as they could and then dumping before the truth came out and the theft and conversion of money and assets from Plaintiffs as well as the general public were locked in.

2844. Couched in banking and securities jargon, the deceptive gamble with consumers’ primary assets – their homes – was nothing more than a financial theft and concurrent Ponzi scheme perpetrated by Defendants and their co-conspirators on a scale never before seen.

2845. This scheme led directly to a nationwide mortgage meltdown that was substantially worse than any economic problems facing the rest of the United States, thereby causing the failure of numerous lenders.

2846. From 2008 to the present, Americans’ home values decreased substantially as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants’ scheme set forth herein, leaving a large percentage of homeowners “upside down”, meaning that they owe more on their
home mortgage loans than their homes are worth. In some instances, those homes are so far upside down that it could take a decade or more for the homeowners to regain a positive position with respect to the value of their homes.

2847. This massive fraudulent scheme was a disaster both foreseen by the Defendants as well as waiting to happen. Defendants knew it, and further knew that the taxpayer money would bail out those lenders deemed too big to fail.

2848. The lenders involved – Defendants herein – embarked on a plan and scheme to use the good faith of taxpayer money and the country’s trust and confidence in the big banks that acquired Countrywide, WAMU, and Wachovia to (a) further hide their nefarious conversion scheme, (b) engage in additional acts of conversion and secreting of the knowledge thereof and (c) use new laws and initiatives as a basis to induce unsuspecting homeowners to fall further victim to their ongoing expansion of the foregoing scheme throughout the world.

2849. As a result, the Plaintiffs lost money and any ability to actually pay off their promissory notes, their credit ratings and histories were damaged or destroyed, and they also incurred material other costs and expenses, all as described herein.

2850. At the same time, Defendants converted from Plaintiffs and other borrowers across the country billions of dollars in interest payments and fees and generated billions of dollars in profits by vastly expanding the scheme previously unique to just a few predatory lenders such as Countrywide and now subject to the power of (a) a new, larger and more credible parents, such as BofA, Chase, and Wells Fargo and (b) the influx of new dollars in the form of taxpayer money and increased investment by
investors knowledgeable of the Ponzi scheme to such an extent that they were co-conspirators in it.

2851. The Defendants then began to use their customers’ most private information to maximize their illegal gains, ranging from the disclosure of the most private and confidential information of more than 2.4 million customers, to the outsourcing and sale of hundreds of thousands of records to bolster their fraudulent scheme, disenfranchising citizens of their constitutional inalienable right of privacy.

2852. When the Defendants pooled the loans they originated and sold in MBS secondary mortgage market transactions, those lenders recorded gains on the sales. In 2005, Countrywide reported $451.6 million in pre-tax earnings from capital market sales; in 2006, it recognized $553.5 million in pre-tax earnings from that activity.

2853. However, after the liquidity crisis hit, in 2007 it recognized a mere $14.9 million in pre-tax earnings from that activity and reported an overall pre-tax loss.

2854. In addition, there is a lot of confusion, even among the mortgage companies, as to the ownership history of many mortgage loans. In the mad rush to convert home mortgages into securities to be bought and sold on Wall Street, investors did not want to spend the time or money necessary to keep track of ownership by filing papers in local recording offices.

2855. Investors by-passed the traditional systems and replaced them with the MERS system, which is not only inherently unreliable and unverifiable, it also remains outside the public eye.
2856. As a result, it is no longer possible for most Americans to go to their local courthouse and look at property records to find out who the owner of their mortgage currently is.

2857. To make matters worse, the Defendants established their concealment network now alleged entity-by-entity in this complaint, and this network has made it impossible to track the negotiation techniques and rights to possession of promissory notes, which are not publicly recordable.

2858. The illegal and improper acts of the Defendants have continued, including, *inter alia*: (i) engaging in the practice of “robo-signing,” whereby the lenders used people who had no personal knowledge to sign fraudulent and perjured affidavits that indicated that they had personal knowledge of those matters in an effort to deprive homeowners of their property without due process of law; (ii) refusing to modify loans; and (iii) refusing to entertain short sale opportunities, all with the intention to (a) buy time to further conceal previous conversions and/or (b) convert additional monies from the Plaintiffs in a sum according to proof.

2859. Many of the Plaintiffs were told not to make mortgage payments and/or to sign letters authored by agents of Defendants, exacerbating a desperate financial situation that was either untrue or inflated at Defendants’ insistence. This was all done in order to buy time for Defendants to further secret the conversion of funds practiced against the Plaintiffs and to support other conversions of monies that Defendants were bent on practicing.

2860. Defendants have gone to great lengths to avoid identifying the location of monies and property converted by them from Plaintiffs. The gigantic network of Defendants
and their co-conspirators—companies formed in countries such as the Cayman Islands, Luxembourg, Gibraltar and Chile for the purpose of hiding assets and laundering money—has been, and continues to be, used to systematically hide and ultimately destroy the evidence revealing the method of conversion used and the location of the money and personalty converted by Defendants.

2861. By these tactics, systems, and delays, Defendants intend to and are in fact buying time as they (a) accept the benefits of the Ponzi scheme and conversion activities described herein, (b) cover up their historical conversion and Ponzi scheme, and (c) make it materially more expensive and difficult for the Plaintiffs to locate their stolen assets and gain recompense.

2862. Defendants herein include some of our leading financial institutions – institutions upon which the Plaintiffs thought they could rely, and did in fact rely upon. However, their reliance was misplaced. As is clear from the mounting number of federal and state enforcement actions against Defendants, it is now widely recognized that they have committed numerous illegal acts in the process of operating their mortgage businesses. BofA alone has been sued for trillions of dollars as a result of its involvement in these activities.

2863. These acts remain ongoing, and continue to threaten the Plaintiffs’ constitutional rights and financial security, as well as the economic future of the United States of America.

2864. The Defendants either knew or should have known that the scale of the lending – based on inflated property values, without income verification and in violation of numerous other underwriting guidelines – would lead to widespread declines in
property values, thereby placing Plaintiffs and others into *extremis* through which they would lose the equity invested in their homes and have no means of refinancing or selling, other than at a complete loss.

2865. That is precisely what happened to the Plaintiffs herein after Defendants converted their money and the equity in their homes, but before Plaintiffs could have possibly realized the ultimate purpose of the Defendants’ scam.

2866. While the following quotation, taken from a regulatory report, refers specifically to Countrywide, which was portrayed as a prudent, quality lender, it also applies to the business practices of all Defendants. “But the real Countrywide was very different. We allege it was a company that underwrote loans in a manner that layered risk factor upon risk factor, such as reduced documentation . . . [a]lso concealed from investors were concerns voiced by Countrywide’s own Chief Credit Risk Officer, who warned that this ‘supermarket’ strategy reduced Countrywide’s underwriting guidelines to a ‘composite of the riskiest products being offered by all of their competitors combined.’”

2867. The Defendants held themselves out as makers of prime quality mortgage loans, but instead hid the fact that they, in an effort to increase their respective market shares, engaged in an “unprecedented expansion of its underwriting guidelines from 2005 and into 2007.” Specifically, the Defendants developed what was referred to as a “supermarket” strategy, where they attempted to offer any product that was or might be offered by any competitor.

2868. By the end of 2006, Defendants’ underwriting guidelines were as wide as they had ever been, and they were writing riskier and riskier loans. Even these expansive
underwriting guidelines were not sufficient to support their desired growth, so the lenders wrote an increasing number of loans as “exceptions” that failed to meet their already wide underwriting guidelines even though exception loans had a higher rate of default.

2869. The covert scheme of the Defendants was, like all such schemes based on deception, ultimately unsustainable. The Defendants relied upon their sales of mortgages into the secondary marked through MBS instruments as an important source of revenue and liquidity.

2870. The Defendants not only covered up the poor quality of their loans and the liquidity crisis they created, they intentionally misrepresented to the public, in statements and in public filings, the nature of those loans in an effort to further defraud the public into continuing to borrow money and put their assets at risk.

2871. The Defendants’ scheme eventually collapsed under its own weight, precipitating an economic crisis of unprecedented proportions.

2872. As defaults on these poorly underwritten loans increased, Defendants used the opportunity presented by the rising number of defaults to increase their fees and further convert other funds from Plaintiffs and other borrowers.

2873. To add insult to injury, as the number of defaults rapidly rose, the Defendants added unreasonable additional fees to the mortgages of homeowners who were desperately trying to save their homes, thereby boosting their profits at the expense of those who could least afford to bear that burden.

2874. Defendants did the foregoing with the intent to convert funds from the Plaintiffs and other members of the public. The Plaintiffs did not know the massive scheme that
the Defendants had devised and never knew until it was far too late to prevent the
massive network being used across the globe to hide the trail of converted money and
property.

2875. As a proximate and foreseeable result of the Defendants’ sale of the promissory
notes pertaining to the properties of the Plaintiffs and others similarly situated for
more than the actual value of such instruments, the MBS securitization pools lacked
the cash flow necessary to maintain them in accordance with the terms of their
indentures. The unraveling of Defendants’ scheme has materially depressed the price
of real estate throughout the country, including the real estate owned by the Plaintiffs,
resulting in the losses to the Plaintiffs described herein. The conversion of Plaintiffs’
money by Defendants – and each of them operating through their RICO scheme – has
materially injured the tangible net worths of Plaintiffs and the Treasury of the United
States of America.

2876. The Defendants have made use of wholly or partially owned foreign companies in
an effort to continue to hide and to misrepresent the ownership of the promissory
notes executed by the borrowers, including the Plaintiffs, who borrowed funds from
them.

2877. BofA, Chase, and Wells Fargo have ratified the bad acts of WAMU, Countrywide, and Wachovia, by intentionally making use of foreign companies to
frustrate the Plaintiffs and other borrowers seeking information about their lost
money, mortgages and loan modifications. All Defendants have joined in this
conspiracy – indeed most Defendants were formed for purposes associated with the
money laundering, ponzi and RICO enterprises set forth herein. All Defendants have
acted in conspiracy with one another appertaining to each and every act set forth in this complaint.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Conversion

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2878. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2879. All Defendants have demanded and received payments from the Plaintiffs based upon the claim of these Defendants that such monies are owed on the loans and promissory notes at issue herein.

2880. These Defendants have further demanded and received from Plaintiffs payments, reimbursements for late charges, penalty fees, and trial loan modification payments.

2881. In truth, on information and belief, these Defendants had and have no legal right to be demanding such payments from Plaintiffs for any loans or promissory notes or loan modifications at issue herein because these Defendants are not holders or owners of the promissory notes in question and they no longer know who is.

2882. Further, Defendants are not the authorized representative or agent for the holders or owners of the promissory notes in question.

2883. In truth, the monies collected from the Plaintiffs by these Defendants was not credited for the benefit of the individual Plaintiffs involved, in that it was not used to
pay down that Plaintiff’s (or any Plaintiff’s) principal and/or interest purportedly due on his or her promissory note.

2884. Thus, in taking monies from Plaintiffs as described above, these Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs herein for conversion, i.e., the act of dominion wrongfully exerted over another person’s personal property. In taking the money from Plaintiffs as described above, Defendants converted between $35,000 and $70,000 per Plaintiff over the course of their business dealings with Defendants. Defendants had no right to these funds and engaged in money laundering both domestically and internationally in order to hide the theft, larceny and conversion set forth herein. It is impossible for Plaintiffs to know with certainty the exact amount of funds converted because Defendants have provided inconsistent, varying and false accounts of the monies they have received from Plaintiffs herein.

2885. These claims of conversion are based upon the facts that a) each Plaintiff had ownership and the right to possession of the monies taken from him by these Defendants as described above; b) these Defendants acted wrongfully by receiving such money under the guise that the Defendants were entitled to the money, when in fact they were and are not entitled to any such payment; c) no money collected by these Defendants from these Plaintiffs was credited to the benefit of the individual Plaintiff involved for the pay down of any principal or interest purportedly due on that Plaintiff’s note; and d) each Plaintiff suffered general and special damages, including loss of the money that was taken from them by these Defendants through this subterfuge, according to proof.
2886. These Defendants also committed conversion as against each Plaintiff by converting equity that previously existed in each Plaintiff’s home – in sums according to proof – by surcharging against that equity various false “reserves” in the form of “insurance” or “tax” or “general” reserve imbursements, which were then recorded as debts against the property of the individual Plaintiff involved.

2887. Just as banks are liable to a customer and must credit his account for conversion when banks pay on a forged indorsement of a commercial instrument, so too are these Defendants liable for these false surcharges improperly charged against a Plaintiff’s account.

2888. As a direct and proximate result of the conversion committed by the Defendants, each Plaintiff suffered general and special damages according to proof.

2889. Each Plaintiff is further entitled to restitution of those amounts wrongfully converted from him or her.

2890. These Defendants willfully committed the wrongdoing against each Plaintiff as described herein and knowingly chose to deceive him or her in the above-described manner. Thus, the acts of these Defendants were malicious and performed with a callous disregard for Plaintiffs’ legal rights. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive damages. Plaintiffs are further entitled to attorney fees under whatever contract or statute applies.

2891. All Defendants have converted and stolen – in the manner, using the means of interstate commerce as set forth herein – the sum of at least between $40,000.00 and $60,000.00, from each Plaintiff herein.

2892. In no event has any Plaintiff herein suffered damages greater than $75,000.00.
SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Conspiracy to Commit Conversion

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2893. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2894. Plaintiffs allege that each of the wrongful acts or omissions described in the First Cause of Action for Conversion above was either performed by each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, or ratified and adopted by each Defendant after its occurrence.

2895. Further, those Defendants that did not actively perform the acts or omissions described here did affirmatively aid and abet the other Defendants in the performance of such acts or omissions, either before, during, or after the fact in the form of concealment and secretion activities worldwide. Such activities represent additional acts of conversion under law.

2896. Finally, each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, did knowingly derive some form of profit or benefit from the acts and omissions described herein. All Defendants agreed to work together in the conspiracy and/or joint enterprise described in this Cause of Action as set forth herein. Accordingly, each Defendant, named or unnamed, should be held liable for conspiracy to commit the conversion as alleged in the First Cause of Action.
2897. The Plaintiffs are entitled to the damages as alleged and described in the First Cause of Action – and as alleged above – as a direct and proximate result of this conspiracy of all Defendants to commit repeated and serial acts of conversion against these Plaintiffs as described herein.

2898. These Defendants willfully committed the wrongdoing against each Plaintiff as described herein and knowingly chose to deceive him in the above-described manner. Thus, the acts of these Defendants were malicious and performed with a callous disregard for Plaintiffs’ legal rights. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive damages.

2899. In no event has any Plaintiff herein suffered damages greater than $75,000.00.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Intentional Misrepresentation

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2900. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2901. The Defendants intentionally misrepresented to the Plaintiffs and to the consuming public in general their intentions regarding the reasonableness and appropriateness of their underwriting procedures in making mortgage loans to the Plaintiffs, and also materially misrepresented to the consuming public that they were not making quality loans when they told the consuming public that they were only making quality, prime home loans.
2902. The Defendants intentionally misrepresented to the public at large the status of their liquidity and the quality of the loans that they were making.

2903. Those Defendants further intentionally misrepresented to the Plaintiffs that they would not use or otherwise impose unreasonable or unfair charges against the Plaintiffs and the rest of the consuming public, but they failed to do so.

2904. The campaign of concealment, misinformation and partial information described in this Cause of Action as well as in the rest of this Complaint was intended to be repeated and also to be broadly disseminated through the media, analyst reports and individual communications, and it was.

2905. It was intended to become part of the well-understood “givens” among homeowners and prospective homeowners seeking mortgages, and it did so become part of the lexicon of homeownership and mortgage choices.

2906. These Plaintiffs relied upon the misrepresentations and entered into mortgages with the Defendants.

2907. All of said intentional misrepresentations and omissions were made by the Defendants with the intent to induce the consuming public, including the Plaintiffs, to enter into mortgage loan transactions that would deprive them of the equity in their homes.

2908. By reason of the prominence of the Defendants and their campaign of deception as to their business plans and the relationship of trust developed between each of the Defendants and the Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs were justified in relying upon Defendants’ representations.
2909. At all times pertinent, the Plaintiffs in fact reasonably relied upon the representations made by the Defendants that they would use reasonable and rational underwriting guidelines in making mortgage loans to the consuming public and entered into mortgage loan contracts with the Defendants, all to their injury and detriment.

2910. In fact, the appraisals were inflated. The Defendants did not utilize appropriate underwriting processes. The financial condition of the various Defendants was not sound, but rather was a house of cards ready to collapse. Further, Plaintiffs’ mortgages were not refinanced with fixed rate mortgages as they were told they would be, and the Defendants never intended that they would be.

2911. As a result of Defendants’ scheme described herein, these Plaintiffs could not afford their adjustable rate mortgages when their variable rate features and/or balloon payments kicked in.

2912. Further, and as a result of the nefarious scheme of the Defendants, the Plaintiffs could not refinance or sell their residences without suffering a loss of their equity investments.

2913. As a result of the foregoing acts of conversion and fraud, the Plaintiffs have lost all or a substantial portion of the equity invested in their houses and suffered reduced credit ratings and increased borrowing costs, among other damages described herein.

2914. As a result of the Defendants’ misconduct alleged above, all negotiable instruments appertaining or relating to Plaintiffs – whether or not an original or any copy thereof is held by Defendants or any of their co-conspirators in their money laundering schemes – may be declared to be *void ab initio* as determined by the trier
of fact. In the event of such a finding by the trier of fact – that these negotiable instruments are *void ab initio* – leads to a recovery for any Plaintiff, along with all damages awarded herein, of a sum total of more than $75,000.00, each Plaintiff generally and specifically waives, gives up, and disavows any such recovery in excess of $75,000.00. Nothing set forth herein, however, should be construed to infer that Plaintiffs agree to deprive the trier of fact of the right to adjudicate whether negotiable instruments pertaining to them were or were not *void ab initio*, as such a determination will impact the predicate conduct required for an award of punitive damages and may impact other areas of Plaintiffs' case such that they are not required to, and do not, in fact, agree to allow such critical issue to avoid scrutiny by the trier of fact in this case.

2915. These Plaintiffs are further entitled to punitive damages in order to punish these Defendants for their malicious, oppressive and willful conduct as described.

2916. Inclusive of all compensatory damages, special damages, attorney fees and punitive damages alleged herein, each Plaintiff has sustained damage in a sum of not greater than $75,000.00.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

**Intentional Misrepresentation**

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2917. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
2918. In addition to the numerous acts of fraud described above, the Defendants represented to multiple Plaintiffs and to the consuming public in general that the Defendants would assist them in accomplishing a loan modification. As described herein, those representations were false.

2919. Defendants knew that their representations regarding their willingness to enter into loan modification agreements were false when they made them.

2920. Because of new laws pertaining to loan modifications combined with the insistence of the Defendants that they had a genuine interest in complying therewith and in keeping borrowers in their homes, the Plaintiffs reasonably relied on these materially false misrepresentations made by the Defendants.

2921. By delaying the Plaintiffs from pursuing their rights and by increasing the costs of the Plaintiffs combined with the continuing erosion of each Plaintiff’s credit rating, each Plaintiff’s reliance harmed that particular Plaintiff.

2922. The Plaintiffs’ reliance on the representations made by the Defendants was a substantial factor in causing harm to them.

2923. Without limiting the damages as described elsewhere in this Complaint, the damages of the Plaintiffs arising from the matters complained of in this Cause of Action also include the loss of equity in their houses, costs and expenses related to protecting themselves, reduced credit scores, unavailability of credit, increased costs of credit, reduced availability of goods and services tied to credit ratings, increased costs of those services, as well as fees and costs, including, without limitation, attorney fees and costs.
The Plaintiffs are entitled to recover general and special damages directly and proximately resulting from the Defendants’ intentional deceit and misrepresentations.

These Plaintiffs are further entitled to punitive damages in order to punish these Defendants for their malicious, oppressive and willful conduct as herein described.

Inclusive of all compensatory damages, special damages, attorney fees and punitive damages alleged herein, each Plaintiff has sustained damage in a sum of not greater than $75,000.00.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraudulent Concealment

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

Defendants have offered to help the Plaintiffs with obtaining “loan modifications” while concealing from these Plaintiffs the fact that, upon information and belief, these Defendants are not the rightful owners and/or holders of the subject promissory note associated with their mortgages.

The Defendants have also failed to disclose that they are not the legal representatives or agents of such persons, and they have further failed to disclose that the Defendants’ entire motivation and purpose in doing so has been, and continues to be, the conversion of Plaintiffs’ monies and the taking of their homes in violation of law.
2930. Thus, these Defendants are legally incapable to be able to enter into loan modifications with any Plaintiff.

2931. Despite that fact, the Defendants have had and continue to have a vested interest in “offering loan modifications” to borrowers, including the Plaintiffs, because they can make a profit from continuing to cover up the industry-wide scheme alleged above and to create an environment where they can commit additional acts of fraud and conversion.

2932. In fraudulently offering loan modifications to Plaintiffs, the Defendants have convinced Plaintiffs that loan modifications will only be given to those borrowers that are delinquent on their loans and/or in default.

2933. The Defendants have made these statements on an industry-wide basis in order to permit them to continue their scheme of obtaining monies and properties from Plaintiffs wrongfully and in violation of law.

2934. In reliance upon these materially false representations, and in the belief that they would be able to obtain loan modifications if they followed these false and misleading instructions, Plaintiffs have permitted their loans to go delinquent and/or into default, believing this step to be a requisite of the loan modification process.

2935. At all times relevant, the Defendants possessed superior knowledge to that of the Plaintiffs, and further had access to material facts that were not accessible to the Plaintiffs regarding their nefarious scheme to induce the Plaintiffs to permit their mortgages to go into default in the hope of obtaining loan modification.

2936. At all times relevant, Defendants had an affirmative duty to disclose to the Plaintiffs that Defendants had no legal authority to offer loan modifications.
2937. However, the Defendants have hidden and suppressed the fact that they do not own the subject promissory notes and hence have no legal or contractual authority to offer such loan modifications.

2938. The Defendants also had an affirmative duty to disclose to the Plaintiffs that Plaintiffs did not have to be in default on their loans in order to qualify for loan modifications.

2939. Defendants have induced the Plaintiffs into allowing their loans to go into default by telling Plaintiffs it was a requirement for becoming eligible for a loan modification.

2940. In truth, under applicable law in effect since 2009, a borrower is not required to be delinquent and/or in default with his loan in order to be eligible for a loan modification.

2941. Defendants have only claimed that borrowers must be in default, in violation of law, because Defendants can realize more profit and commit more acts of conversion when a borrower is actually in default, i.e., at least 90 days behind in his loan payment.

2942. After Defendants profited by their deceit and concealment, they then continued demanding and collecting monies from Plaintiffs, constituting outright conversion.

2943. The fact that these Plaintiffs did not need to be delinquent on their loans and/or in default in order to qualify for loan modifications has been hidden and suppressed from these Plaintiffs by Defendants and continues to be hidden.

2944. The Defendants should have disclosed these suppressed facts to the Plaintiffs because they were material to the cost-benefit analysis that should have and could have been undertaken by each Plaintiff.
2945. Had the true facts been disclosed to the Plaintiffs, knowledge of those material facts likely have caused each Plaintiff (a) to act differently than he or she did while not knowing the facts hidden from him by Defendants, and (b) to protect himself or herself by not preventing his or her funds from being converted by Defendants.

2946. The Defendants knew these suppressed facts and further knew at the time of their suppression, that such suppression and concealment would cause each Plaintiff to act in a way that was injurious to him or her while at the same time being profitable to Defendants.

2947. When suppressing and concealing from the Plaintiffs these material facts as herein alleged, Defendants intended to induce each such Plaintiff to alter his or her position to his or her harm.

2948. Each Plaintiff justifiably and reasonably relied on the fraudulent concealment created by these Defendants in their suppression and concealment of the material facts described above.

2949. Once a Plaintiff became delinquent in his or her loan payments, Defendants then acted to ensure that the delinquency became a default under the terms of the loan documents.

2950. Defendants achieved this by asking each Plaintiff applying for a loan modification to submit the proper application and paperwork. Once a Plaintiff submitted all documents as requested, the Defendants then claimed to have “lost” the Plaintiff’s application package, necessitating the re-submission of such documents by each Plaintiff hoping to qualify for a loan modification.
2951. During this process, Defendants would collect and convert the maximum amount of money from Plaintiffs in sums according to proof.

2952. This process of “losing the paperwork” and requiring re-submission thereof necessarily ensured that a Plaintiff’s one or two-month “delinquency” automatically became a “default,” and an event requiring significant payments to Defendants to cure said “default,” all of which constituted misappropriation and conversion of funds under law.

2953. These Defendants regularly dragged out this process for months and months when dealing with Plaintiffs in need of loan modifications. They did so by claiming over and over again to have “lost” the paperwork of the borrower involved.

2954. Each Plaintiff was directly and proximately harmed by Defendants’ fraudulent concealment of facts described herein.

2955. Plaintiffs have incurred additional costs and charges and late fees as a result of being told that they needed to be delinquent in their loans in order to obtain a loan modification.

2956. Plaintiffs have gone into default and even lost their homes through foreclosure as the result of the same fraudulent concealment by Defendants.

2957. Further, Plaintiffs have had their credit profiles destroyed by allowing their loans to go into default as instructed by Defendants.

2958. Accordingly, each Plaintiff is entitled to general and special damages according to proof at trial.

2959. Further, the Defendants acted outrageously and persistently with actual malice in suppressing the facts and circumstances set forth, and they continue to do so.
Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to exemplary and punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

2960. The Defendants willfully committed the wrongdoing against each Plaintiff as described herein and knowingly chose to deceive him in the above-described manner. Thus, the acts of the Defendants were malicious and performed with a callous disregard for Plaintiffs’ legal rights. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive damages. Plaintiffs are further entitled to attorney fees under whatever contract or statute applies.

2961. Inclusive of all compensatory damages, special damages, attorney fees and punitive damages alleged herein, no Plaintiff has sustained damage in a sum greater than $75,000.00.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraudulent Concealment

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2962. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2963. As set forth in the Fifth Cause of Action, the Defendants used fraud and artifice to lure borrowers into defaulting upon their mortgages by promising them loan modifications when they had no intention of providing such loan modifications.

2964. Once the Defendants lured a borrower into default, then the Defendants collected upon “credit default swaps” (“CDS’s”).
2965. CDS’s were and are used to insure mortgage-backed securities, and investor trading in these two instruments was the central cause of the mortgage meltdown that occurred in this country.

2966. A CDS is a form of insurance that is actually a bet against the subject loan being paid on time as agreed. CDS’s ensure that Defendants can collect on every loan that goes bad by going into default.

2967. If a borrower defaulted upon a mortgage that was pooled into an MBS, the buyer of the CDS makes a series of payments (the CDS "fee" or "spread") to the seller and, in exchange, receives a payoff if the loan defaults. Thus, the original lender was paid when it sold the promissory note executed by the borrower, and the MBS pool was also paid in full by virtue of the CDS payments received.

2968. This, then, constitutes the number one reason that the Defendants wanted each Plaintiff to actually default on his or her loan: The Defendants bet against each Plaintiff by buying CDS’s on every loan they allegedly service, and then trying to get that loan into default so that the Defendants can collect on this “side bet.”

2969. The fact that the Defendants were motivated to see that each Plaintiff failed to pay their mortgages on time and thus ended up in default so that the Defendants could collect on their CDS side bet has been hidden and suppressed from Plaintiffs by the Defendants.

2970. The suppressed facts and circumstances described herein should have been disclosed to the Plaintiffs by the Defendants because such facts and circumstances were material in that they were essential to the analysis that should and could have been undertaken by each Plaintiff in determining whether to enter into a loan
transaction with the Defendants, and would likely have caused each Plaintiff to act differently than he did while not knowing the facts hidden from him by Defendants.

2971. These suppressed facts and circumstances were known to the Defendants at the time they were hidden from Plaintiffs.

2972. Further, the Defendants knew at the time of suppression and concealment that such suppression and concealment would cause each Plaintiff to act in a way that was injurious to him while at the same time being profitable to the Defendants.

2973. When suppressing and concealing from these Plaintiffs the facts and circumstances herein described, the Defendants intended to induce each Plaintiff to alter his position to his harm.

2974. Each Plaintiff justifiably and reasonably relied on the fraudulent concealment created by Defendants in their suppression of the facts and circumstances described in this Cause of Action.

2975. Defendants’ receipt of money from CDS’s coupled with their later receipt of money from Plaintiffs means that the Defendants have received a windfall in the form of gaining either ownership of the real property of borrowers, or the value of that real property, and is malicious, outrageous, and entitles Plaintiffs to recover exemplary and punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

2976. The Defendants knowingly and willfully committed the wrongdoing against each Plaintiff as described herein and knowingly chose to deceive him in the above-described manner. Thus, the acts of the Defendants were malicious and performed with a callous disregard for Plaintiffs’ legal rights. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive damages. Plaintiffs are further entitled to attorney fees.
2977. Inclusive of all compensatory damages, special damages, attorney fees and punitive damages alleged herein, no Plaintiff has sustained damage in a sum greater than $75,000.00.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Promissory Estoppel

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2978. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2979. Each Plaintiff herein attempted to take steps to save his or her house once it became apparent that Defendants intended to foreclose against them. Some Plaintiffs considered filing bankruptcy as a valid and viable means to save their homes. Other Plaintiffs investigated other possible ways to avoid losing possession of their homes due to Defendants’ wrongful tactics as set forth above.

2980. In each instance, Defendants promised to Plaintiffs that there was no need to file bankruptcy or pursue other ways to avoid foreclosure because Defendants would forego the foreclosure process and would instead “work with” each Plaintiff to modify the terms of the home loan in question, thereby making it possible for each Plaintiff to make the necessary monthly payments.

2981. In reliance on the promises made by Defendants not to foreclose and to instead “work with” each Plaintiff, each Plaintiff reasonably decided not to file for
bankruptcy or to investigate other possible scenarios to stave off impending foreclosure.

2982. Instead of cooperating with each Plaintiff and working with them to modify each loan at issue, Defendants instead have proceeded with various levels of conversion and/or foreclosure proceedings against each Plaintiff herein.

2983. In reasonable reliance on Defendants’ promises not to foreclose, each Plaintiff has suffered direct and proximate damages as a result of Defendants’ bad-faith breach of promises not to exceed $75,000.00. Each Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensatory damages according to proof within these limitations, in order to make him or her whole.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Negligent Misrepresentation

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2984. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2985. Because the Plaintiffs relied upon the Defendants to guide them through the process of making and later servicing their home mortgage loans, a special relationship exists between the Plaintiffs and the Defendants.

2986. The existence of that special relationship imposed upon the Defendants a duty to fully and accurately disclose all pertinent information pertaining to those home loans to the Plaintiffs, including, but not limited to, true and correct information pertaining
to the securitization of their notes, the existence of CDS, and the fact that the Defendant lenders had no legal right to foreclose upon their mortgages once the promissory notes became the basis for MBS pools.

2987. Defendants failed to disclose this material information to the Defendants, or omitted critical elements from the disclosures that were made.

2988. The Plaintiffs reasonably relied upon the material misrepresentations of the Defendants to their detriment in choosing to proceed with their mortgage loan transactions.

2989. As a consequence of the negligent misrepresentations made by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs, no Plaintiff herein has suffered damages greater than $75,000.00.

2990. Plaintiffs allege that each of the wrongful acts or omissions described in this Cause of Action was either performed by each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, or ratified and adopted by each Defendant after its occurrence. Further, those Defendants that did not actively perform the acts or omissions described here did affirmatively aid and abet the other Defendants in the performance of such acts or omissions, before, during or after the fact.

2991. Finally, each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, did knowingly derive some form of profit or benefit from the acts and omissions described herein. All Defendants agreed to work together in the conspiracy and/or joint enterprise described in this paragraph as that conspiracy is alleged above. Accordingly, each Defendant, named or unnamed, should be held liable for the acts and omissions complained of.

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

2992. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

2993. In each and every mortgage note signed by the Plaintiffs, and in each and every mortgage instrument signed by the Plaintiffs in favor of the Defendants, is implied a covenant of good faith and fair dealing between the parties.

2994. The implied obligation encompasses any promises which a reasonable person in Plaintiffs' position would be justified in understanding was included in the parties' agreement.

2995. The Defendants have breached that covenant of good faith and fair dealing by intentionally and/or negligently misrepresenting or omitting to disclose material facts that would have been pertinent to those Plaintiffs’ decisions to enter into transactions with the Defendants.

2996. As a consequence of the breaches of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing by the Defendants, the Plaintiffs have been deprived of the right to receive the benefits under those loan agreements, to-wit: they have been stripped of the value and equity in their homes as a consequence.

2997. Inclusive of all recoverable damages and restitution and costs and attorney fees, each Plaintiff has sustained damage and restitution in the sum of no more than $75,000.00.
2998. Plaintiffs allege that each of the wrongful acts or omissions described in this Cause of Action was either performed by each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, or ratified and adopted by each Defendant after its occurrence. Further, those Defendants that did not actively perform the acts or omissions described here did affirmatively aid and abet the other Defendants in the performance of such acts or omissions, before, during or after the fact.

2999. Finally, each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, did knowingly derive some form of profit or benefit from the acts and omissions described herein. All Defendants agreed to work together in the conspiracy and/or joint enterprise described in this paragraph in the manner set forth herein. Accordingly, each Defendant, named or unnamed, should be held liable for the acts and omissions complained of.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Unjust Enrichment

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 and 838 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17)

3000. All of the above Paragraphs of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

3001. Through their conduct as described herein, all Defendants herein were unjustly enriched at the expense of each Plaintiff and by taking his or her money under false pretenses and by ultimately foreclosing or attempting to foreclose upon the homes of the Plaintiffs without legal authority to do so.
3002. To permit the Defendants to retain their unjust gains would be against equity and good conscience, and would ratify the illegal actions taken by the Defendant to the detriment of the Plaintiffs.

3003. Here, in order to avoid the unjust enrichment of the Defendants, each Defendant should be ordered to pay back to each Plaintiff any and all monies unjustly received from him or her. All inclusive, no Plaintiff herein has suffered damages greater than $75,000.00.

3004. Plaintiffs allege that each of the wrongful acts or omissions described above was performed by each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, or was ratified and adopted by each Defendant after its occurrence. Further, those Defendants that did not actively perform the acts or omissions described here did affirmatively aid and abet the other Defendants in the performance of such acts of omissions, before, during or after the fact.

3005. Finally, each Defendant herein, named or unnamed, did knowingly derive some form of profit or benefit from the acts and omissions described herein. All Defendants agreed to work together in the conspiracy and/or joint enterprise described in this paragraph in the manner set forth above. Accordingly, each Defendant, named or unnamed, should be held liable for the acts and omissions complained of.

**ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

Violations of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law §349

(By Plaintiffs 1-310 against all Defendants; and by Plaintiffs 311-352, 354-673 against all Defendants except 1-4; and by Plaintiff 353 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 674-732, 734-803 against all Defendants except 5, 6; and by Plaintiffs 733 against all Defendants except 1-6; and by Plaintiffs 804-837 against all Defendants except 16, 17;
and by Plaintiff 838 against all Defendants except 5) 

3006. The allegations in the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

3007. Defendants, and each of them, have operated and continue to operate the largest Ponzi scheme in world history with a plan that – at its inception – was intended to, did in fact and continues to the present day to have as its object the theft and conversion of billions of dollars from millions of homeowners, including Plaintiffs.

3008. Defendants’ wrongful acts include (but are not limited to) the following: (i) claiming to be servicer of the subject notes at issue herein and demanding monthly loan payments therefor, when in fact no Defendant had or has any legal claim to the monies paid to it by Plaintiffs; (ii) taking loan payments every month from each Plaintiff without crediting any portion of that money to the benefit of any Plaintiff; (iii) promising loan modifications to Plaintiffs while never being an authorized legal representative of any person in a position to actually modify Plaintiffs’ loans; (iv) inducing Plaintiffs to default on their loans so that Defendants could profit from the credit default swaps they had purchased, betting that such loans would not be paid as agreed; (v) creating false reasons for charging fees to Plaintiffs based upon nonexistent monies owed, then instituting foreclosure proceedings against Plaintiffs when such fees went unpaid; (vi) issuing wrongful Notices of Default to Plaintiffs; (vii) by refusing to respond, in any way, to Plaintiffs' communications or to communications made for Plaintiffs by their private and public representatives; (viii) converting Plaintiffs’ monies as alleged in great detail below, (ix) secreting such acts
of conversion through the massive international network used by defendants to support their Ponzi scheme in violation of law.

3009. The illegal and improper acts of the Defendants have continued, including, *inter alia*: (i) engaging in the practice of “robo-signing,” whereby the lenders used people who had no personal knowledge to sign fraudulent and perjured affidavits that indicated that they had personal knowledge of those matters in an effort to deprive homeowners of their property without due process of law; (ii) refusing to modify loans; and (iii) refusing to entertain short sale opportunities, all with the intention to (a) buy time to further conceal previous conversions and/or (b) convert additional monies from the Plaintiffs in a sum according to proof.

3010. Many of the Plaintiffs were told not to make mortgage payments and/or to sign letters authored by agents of Defendants, exacerbating a desperate financial situation that was either untrue or inflated at Defendants’ insistence.

3011. The acts of Defendants constitute deceptive acts and practices in the conduct of Defendants’ business, trade and commerce under New York State’s General Business Law § 349 (“GBL § 349”), and willfully and knowingly violated this section.

3012. The deceptive acts and practices of the Defendants have had and continue to have a broader impact on consumers at large.

3013. The Plaintiffs relied to their detriment on the deceptive acts and practices of the Defendants.

3014. Pursuant to GBL § 349, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees in their favor against Defendants.
3015. Pursuant to GBL § 349, each Plaintiff, individually, is entitled to the statutory maximum in damages.

TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF


By All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants

3016. The allegations in the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

3017. At all times relevant to this verified First Amended Complaint, and at all times material hereto, all Defendants were “persons” as defined by 18 U.S.C. §1961[3].

3018. At all times relevant to this verified First Amended Complaint, and at all times material hereto, all Defendants as alleged herein engaged in the operation or management of the Bankster Enterprise, which is an “enterprise” as defined by 18 U.S.C. §1961[4], the activities of which affect interstate commerce including commerce in the State of New York.

3019. The Bankster Enterprise: [i] is an ongoing association-in-fact, with decision-making framework or mechanism for controlling the association; [ii] has associated members with a common purpose that function as a continuing unit; [iii] is separate and apart from the racketeering activity.

3020. The conduct of the members of the Bankster enterprise, as it relates to the illegal scheme, is for the most part directed by the syndicates referenced in detail in the body of this first amended complaint.

3021. This is the structure of the Bankster enterprise as it relates to the “money-in” component of the illegal scheme, as also set forth in body of this complaint.
3022. The Defendants — particularly the loan pools operating under the direction of the Geitner Group — orchestrated the siphoning of the stolen money in direct consultation and association with terrorists, drug cartels, unsourced money entities, and United States Treasury.

3023. All Defendants as members of the Bankster Enterprise are participants in the illegal scheme in different capacities, functions and roles calculated to enrich and expand the Bankster Enterprise so that it could continue to perpetuate the “money-in” and “money-out” components.

3024. The Bankster Enterprise governance occurred through frequent communications among its members by means of interstate and international wire communications via telephone, facsimile, Email, encrypted White House-based and encrypted United States Treasury-based and encrypted United States-Fed-based communications, in interstate and foreign commerce in additional to travel to and from New York and internationally.

3025. The predicate acts form “a pattern of racketeering activity” and are all part of a common criminal plan to perpetuate the illegal scheme and enrich the Bankster enterprise through the Defendants’ criminal and fraudulent conduct.

3026. The illegal scam began in January, 2009, and is continuing through and including the current date.

3027. The Defendants have concealed the stolen property and other criminally derived proceeds of the illegal scheme since the dates upon which (a) the banking solvency requirement legally implemented by United States of America on October 19, 1934, had been broken and (b) the TARP program crossed the line of illegality and began
being utilized for personal profit during the first quarter of 2009. This concealment has continued unabated and in the face of reports by the FDIC, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office the Thrift and the United States Department of Homeland Security and the Report of the Inspector General of the TARP program, in the only manner in which such concealment could continue in the face of contrary reports by the government: Through lies told directly from the mouths of the Defendants and the President of the United States (including on October 3, 2012 during a Presidential Debate). (Hereinafter “The Big Lie.”) The Big Lie is the cornerstone of the entire Bankster enterprise and has been repeated by the Obama media in order to misrepresent the true facts to the citizens of the United States and their elected representatives.

3028. All of the predicate acts relate to one another because they represent a common scheme to further the illegal scheme and thus enrich the Defendants and their Bankster enterprise.

3029. The predicate acts progressed in a logical fashion as the illegal scheme expanded from its core in New York, New York, as it fed off monies advanced to it by drug cartels, terrorists, Plaintiffs, American citizens and ultimately the Defendants raid of the fed through bailouts, TARP programs and midnight money printing exercises at the Fed with all Defendants herein assuring that the official Obama administration would have plausible deniability.

3030. Each transfer during this nearly 5-year period constitutes repeated and related predicate acts of . . . [i] money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1956; [ii] engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specific unlawful activity

3031. The ponzi/RICO scheme would not have continued absent the influx of more than $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) provided by the Defendants’ illegal schemes involving the Fed as set forth in detail above, as well as their money laundering and drug cartel influxes of money also alleged above. The effect of the collapse of the foregoing money laundering and racketeering schemes, is a matter of public record and a fact of which this court can take judicial notice including the recent Senate Report on the money laundering and drug cartel activities of HSBC and resulting admission by Defendant Holder in his official capacity that such unlawfully money laundering activities has spread to all banking institutions in the United States, including at least 1,500 Defendants in this case (e.g., Citigroup, Bank of America and their offshore haven defendants).

3032. Defendants used and exploited U.S. Financial institutions, lawyers and accountants in New York, as well as interstate and international telephone, facsimile, Email, wire transfer and encrypted White House and Fed communications from no later than 2009 until the present.

3033. The activities of the Bankster enterprise directly affected U.S. interstate and foreign commerce through the illegal scheme.

3034. As a direct and proximate result of the violations set forth above, Plaintiffs (including, but not limited to, involuntary plaintiffs) have been injured in their
business, property and in their homes and such injury is continuing. The Defendants’ violations of 18 U.S.C. §1962[c] are the proximate cause of these losses. Under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §1964[c], Plaintiffs are entitled to bring this action and recover herein treble damages, the cost of bringing this suit, prejudgment interest, and recoverable attorneys’ fees. Plaintiffs are also entitled to the appointment of a receiver to recover the $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) and the fruits of the frauds, parallel injunctive relief and an order that the Defendants and their transferees (wherever located) disgorge and forfeit all of such monies and the fruits of their fraud.

3035. Plaintiffs seek further an order halting the foreclosure of all real estate in the United States of America by any of the Defendants, until the full restitution and disgorgement has occurred in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Banksters, which includes injunctions on any post-foreclosure activities throughout the country as well, all of which shall stop all foreclosure activity of any kind in States such as California, Florida, Ohio, Nevada, Colorado, New Hampshire, New York, Iowa, Wisconsin, Michigan and all other states in which the Banksters have continued their “reverse-run-on-the-bank.”

3036. Plaintiffs seek further an emergency Temporary Restraining Order to take effect immediately, and even *sua sponte* in the event this Court is so-inclined.

**THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**CIVIL RACKETEERING – 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1962(d)**

By All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants
3037. The allegations in the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

3038. The Defendants entered into a series of agreements between and among each other to engage in a conspiracy to violate 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1962(c). Each Defendant entered into at least one agreement with at least one other Defendant to join the conspiracy, took acts in the furtherance of the conspiracy and knowingly participated in the conspiracy.

3039. The Defendants agreed and conspired to violate 18 U.S.C. 1962(c) by participating, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of the Banksters Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, including an agreement that the conspirators, or one of them, would commit or cause the commission of two or more racketeering acts constituting such a pattern.

3040. By engaging in the overt acts and other conduct alleged herein, Defendants have agreed to conspire and did so conspire in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d) to engage in illegal predicate acts that formed a pattern of racketeering activity as defined by 18 U.S.C. 1961(5) and otherwise agreed to violate 18 U.S.C. 1962(c).

3041. Each Defendant is a member of the Bankster Enterprise and hence each conspired to perpetrate the illegal scheme. As co-conspirators, the Defendants are liable for all of the actions committed by all of the co-conspirators within the conspiracy and are liable for all the damages sustained by the Plaintiffs that were caused by any members of the conspiracy, regardless of whether the Defendants were themselves directly involved in a particular aspect of the Banksters Enterprise.
3042. As a direct and proximate result of the violations set forth above, the Plaintiffs have been injured in their business, property, and home. The Defendants’ violations of 18 U.S.C. 1962(d) are the proximate cause of these losses. Under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 1964(c), Plaintiffs are entitled to bring this action and recover herein treble damages, the cost of bringing this suit, prejudgment interest, and recoverable attorney fees.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

CIVIL RACKETEERING - 18 U.S.C. 1962(c), 1503

By All Plaintiffs Against Defendants Joseph Lawrence Dunn, Dannielle A. Lee, Thomas Layton, Kamala Harris, Maya West, Tony West, Peter Krause, Joseph Crudo, Jr., Joseph Crudo, Sr., Michael Brosnan, Bank of America, and Citigroup.

3043. The allegations in the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

3044. At all times relevant to this verified First Amended Complaint, and at all times material hereto, all Defendants were “persons” as defined by 18 U.S.C. §1961[3].

3045. At all times relevant to this verified First Amended Complaint, and at all times material hereto, all Defendants as alleged herein engaged in the operation or management of the Bankster Enterprise, which is an “enterprise” as defined by 18 U.S.C. §1961[4], the activities of which affect interstate commerce including commerce in the State of New York.

3046. The Bankster Enterprise: [i] is an ongoing association-in-fact, with decision-making framework or mechanism for controlling the association; [ii] has associated members with a common purpose that function as a continuing unit; [iii] is separate and apart from the racketeering activity.
3047. The conduct of the members of the Bankster enterprise, as it relates to the illegal scheme, is for the most part directed by the syndicates referenced in detail in the body of this first amended complaint.

3048. This is the structure of the Bankster enterprise as it relates to the “money-in” component of the illegal scheme, as also set forth in Section BLANK.

3049. BLANK orchestrated the siphoning of the stolen money in direct consultation and association with terrorists, drug cartels, unsourced money entities, and United States Treasury.

3050. All Defendants as members of the Bankster Enterprise are participants in the illegal scheme in different capacities, functions and roles calculated to enrich and expand the Bankster Enterprise so that it could continue to perpetuate the “money-in” and “money-out” components.

3051. The Bankster Enterprise governance occurred through frequent communications among its members by means of interstate and international wire communications via telephone, facsimile, Email, encrypted White House-based and encrypted United States Treasury-based and encrypted United States-Fed-based communications, in interstate and foreign commerce in additional to travel to and from New York and internationally.

3052. The predicate acts form “a pattern of racketeering activity” and are all part of a common criminal plan to perpetuate the illegal scheme and enrich the Bankster enterprise through the Defendants’ criminal and fraudulent conduct.

3053. The illegal scam began in January, 2009, and is continuing through and including the current date.
3054. The Defendants have concealed the stolen property and other criminally derived proceeds of the illegal scheme since the dates upon which (a) the banking solvency requirement legally implemented by United States of America on October 19, 1934, had been broken and (b) the TARP program crossed the line of illegality and began being utilized for personal profit during the first quarter of 2009. This concealment has continued unabated and in the face of reports by the FDIC, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office the Thrift and the United States Department of Homeland Security and the Report of the Inspector General of the TARP program, in the only manner in which such concealment could continue in the face of contrary reports by the government: Through lies told directly from the mouths of the Defendants and the President of the United States (including on October 3, 2012 during a Presidential Debate). (Hereinafter “The Big Lie.”) The Big Lie is the cornerstone of the entire Bankster enterprise and has been repeated by the Obama media in order to misrepresent the true facts to the citizens of the United States and their elected representatives.

3055. As American citizens have become knowledgeable of the foregoing scheme, the Defendants – and each of them – have engaged in obstruction of justice, extrinsic fraud, suborning perjury, witness tampering, violations of State and Federal law, other acts chronicled as wrongful by the Office of the Inspector General of the Securities and Exchange Commission, intentional theft, destruction and misuse of American-made revolutionary technology in order to cover-up the conspiracy and without regard to the jobs, billions of dollars of Treasury money and financial benefits they were giving up.
3056. All of the predicate acts relate to one another because they represent a common scheme to further the illegal scheme and thus enrich the Defendants and their Bankster enterprise.

3057. The predicate acts progressed in a logical fashion as the illegal scheme expanded from its core in New York, New York, as it fed off monies advanced to it by drug cartels, terrorists, Plaintiffs, American citizens and ultimately the Defendants raid of the fed through bailouts, TARP programs and midnight money printing exercises at the Fed with all Defendants herein assuring that the official Obama administration would have plausible deniability through utilization of the foregoing fraudulent techniques often used by persons in power to corruptly stop enemies from exposing the truth.

3058. Each act of obstruction of justice and witness tampering set forth above was coupled with unlawful searches and seizures, and an invasion of the attorney client privilege, so that the obstruction of justice could be effectuated. To the extent money was needed to “persuade” a third party to engage in this misconduct, it was paid for by one of the Syndicates set forth in the body of this complaint as a “cost of doing business:” to wit, Obstruction of Justice and Fabrication of Evidence. These acts, including use of fraudulently conveyed and transferred money constitutes repeated and related predicate acts of . . . [i] money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1956; [ii] engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specific unlawful activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1957; [iii] wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1343; [iv] financial institution fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1344; [v] mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1341; and/or [vi] interstate or international travel in
violation of the travel act, 18 U.S.C. §1952. The fact that the objects of the fraud for purposes of this claim for relief were Obstruction of Justice is of no moment and only worsens and increases liability to the Defendants. These facts create additional liability of 18 U.S.C. §1503

3059. The ponzi/RICO scheme would not have continued absent the influx of more than $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) provided by the Defendants’ illegal schemes involving the Fed as set forth in detail above, as well as their money laundering and drug cartel influxes of money also alleged above. The effect of the collapse of the foregoing money laundering and racketeering schemes, is a matter of public record and a fact of which this court can take judicial notice including the recent Senate Report on the money laundering and drug cartel activities of HSBC and resulting admission by Defendant Holder in his official capacity that such unlawfully money laundering activities has spread to all banking institutions in the United States, including at least 1,500 Defendants in this case (e.g., Citigroup, Bank of America and their offshore haven defendants).

3060. Defendants used and exploited U.S. Financial institutions, lawyers and accountants in New York, as well as interstate and international telephone, facsimile, Email, wire transfer and encrypted White House and Fed communications from no later than 2009 until the present.

3061. The activities of the Bankster enterprise directly affected U.S. interstate and foreign commerce through the illegal scheme and obstruction.

3062. As a direct and proximate result of the violations set forth above, Plaintiffs (including, but not limited to, involuntary plaintiffs) have been injured in their
business, property and in their homes and such injury is continuing. The Defendants’ violations of 18 U.S.C. §1962[c] and 1503 are the proximate cause of these losses. Under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §1964[c], Plaintiffs are entitled to bring this action and recover herein treble damages, the cost of bringing this suit, prejudgment interest, and recoverable attorneys’ fees. Plaintiffs are also entitled to the appointment of a receiver to recover the $43 trillion ($43,000,000,000,000.00) and the fruits of the frauds, parallel injunctive relief and an order that the Defendants and their transferees (wherever located) disgorge and forfeit all of such monies and the fruits of their fraud.

3063. Plaintiffs seek further an order halting the foreclosure of all real estate in the United States of America by any of the Defendants, until the full restitution and disgorgement has occurred in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Banksters, which includes injunctions on any post-foreclosure activities throughout the country as well, all of which shall stop all foreclosure activity of any kind in States such as California, Florida, Ohio, Nevada, Colorado, New Hampshire, New York, Iowa, Wisconsin, Michigan and all other states in which the Banksters have continued their “reverse-run-on-the-bank.”

3064. Plaintiffs seek further an emergency Temporary Restraining Order to take effect immediately, and even *sua sponte* in the event this Court is so-inclined.

FIFTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF – DECLARATORY RELIEF

CONSTITUTIONALITY -- THAT DODD-FRANK LEGISLATION AND ITS APPLICATION IS VIOLATIVE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AS APPLYING LAWS UNEQUALLY AND EXCLUDING FROM ITS AMBIT BANKS “TOO BIG TO FAIL” AS SUCH BANKS ARE PROTECTED BY THE DODD-FRANK LEGISLATION
3065. The allegations in the foregoing paragraphs are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.]

3066. The Dodd-Frank Legislation purports to prohibit the protection of companies deemed too big to fail.

3067. In fact, the Dodd-Frank Legislation was passed pursuant to the foregoing RICO enterprise to protect – not prevent – entities deemed too big to fail.

3068. The application of the Dodd-Frank Legislation represents an intentional fraud by all Defendants herein, against all Plaintiffs, the American people and involuntary plaintiffs the United States of America and State of New York by perpetuating the ponzi and RICO money laundering schemes set forth above.

3069. Accordingly, the Dodd-Frank Legislation is either unconstitutional on its face, or is unconstitutional as it has been applied.

3070. This Court should enjoin any further activity under the Dodd-Frank Legislation, until the Court-Appointed-Receiver requested herein has issued appropriate reports to this Court on same.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, and each of them as follows and as set forth in each cause of action:
1. General and special damages according to proof, as set forth in the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein, in the sum of at least $73 trillion ($73,000,000,000,000.00);

2. Punitive damages according to proof, as set forth in the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein;

3. Treble damages according to proof, as set forth in the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein;

4. Statutory relief under the specific statutes cited above as set forth in the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein;

5. Restitutional damages according to proof as set forth in the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein;

6. Pre- and post-judgment interest as set forth in the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein;

7. Attorney fees as authorized and provided for by statute, contract or otherwise; and

8. For the appointment of a receiver and injunctive relief as this Court deems appropriate under the applicable causes of action against defendants named therein;

9. For declaratory relief that the Dodd Frank legislation as applied is wrongful and unconstitutional as a matter of law, violative of the New York constitution and subject to injunctive relief immediately as set forth herein.

10. On all causes of action, for such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper,
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