Main arguments:
Hitler’s legacy is highly exaggerated and often wrong. Hitler’s policies and beliefs are not as evil or unfounded as most people think. Arguably, the Allies should have fought with him as an ally, instead of an enemy.

First counterargument:
Hitler systematically killed six million Jews. He is an evil person.

Rebuttal 1:
Hitler had good reasons to hate the Jews as a group back then.

Reasoning:
Many of the primary forces behind economic exploitation and far-left political influence, especially Communism, in the Weimar Republic (post-WWI Germany, [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weimar_Republic](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weimar_Republic)) were dominated by Jewish individuals. It is important to note that Jews made up less than 1% of the population in the Weimar Republic ([http://www.ushmm.org/wlc/en/article.php?ModuleId=10007052](http://www.ushmm.org/wlc/en/article.php?ModuleId=10007052)), yet their influence was far-reaching.

The Nazis didn’t hate the Jews “just because”. The reasoning behind the Nazi’s actions is seemingly never presented in history lessons (excuses like “they were a good scapegoat” are hardly a true representation of the actual situation at the time). Jews have been expelled from regions many, many times throughout history (even as recently as 2014: [http://www.aljazeera.com/news/americas/2014/08/jews-expelled-from-guatemala-village-20148305238923957.html](http://www.aljazeera.com/news/americas/2014/08/jews-expelled-from-guatemala-village-20148305238923957.html)). You don’t get forcibly removed from a region as a group so many times in history “just because” or because “they make good scapegoats”.

The Nazi government even overtly spelled out their reasoning in English pamphlets such as “Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany”, which analyzed pre-Nazi census population statistics ([http://www.amazon.com/jewish-domination-weimar-germany-eckhart/dp/1492991961](http://www.amazon.com/jewish-domination-weimar-germany-eckhart/dp/1492991961)). The main arguments behind the Nazi’s dislike of the Jews are related to:
- The fact that many Communist leaders and promoters were Jewish, and often had ties to the Soviets
- Extensive post-WWI Jewish immigration into Germany, and the tendency for these Jews to live strictly in their own closed, nepotistic groups in cities (especially Berlin) instead of properly assimilating into German society
- Extreme overrepresentation of Jews in top positions of medicine, the press, education, theatre, and art in Weimar Germany, who promoted their own (often communist, politically far-left, and/or sexually-charged) principles instead of those of the German people at large
- Extreme overrepresentation of Jews in top positions of banking and business profiteering, who were highly exploitive of the German people and the German economy for their own interests

Some quotes from notable historians of the time period give a better picture of the situation:
“Jews were especially visible in private banking in Berlin, which in 1923 had 150 private Jewish banks, as opposed to only 11 private non-Jewish banks. They owned 41% of iron and scrap iron firms and 57% of other metal businesses. Jews were very active in the stock market, particularly in Berlin, where in 1928 they comprised 80% of the leading members of the stock exchange. By 1933, when the Nazis began eliminating Jews from prominent positions, 85% of the brokers on the Berlin Stock exchange were dismissed because of their ‘race’. At least a quarter of full professors and instructors (at German universities) had Jewish origins. In 1905-6 Jewish students comprised 25% of the law and medical students. In 1931, 50% of the 234 theatre directors in Germany were Jewish, and in Berlin the number was 80%.”
—Sarah Gordon, 1984, *Hitler, Germans and the Jewish Question*

Sarah Gordon’s *Hitler, Germans and the Jewish Question* from the Princeton University Press has been quoted as a source in multiple Wikipedia articles related to WWII

“In the all-important administration of Prussia, any number of strategic positions came into the hands of Hebrews. A telephone conversation between three Jews in Ministerial offices could result in the suspension of any periodical or newspaper in the state. No one who lived through the period from 1919 to 1926 is likely to forget the sexual promiscuity that prevailed. Throughout a town like Berlin, hotels and pensions made vast fortunes by letting rooms by the hour or day to baggageless, unregistered guests, throngs of child prostitutes outside the doors of the great Berlin hotels and restaurants. Most of them (the night clubs and vice-resorts) were owned and managed by Jews.”
—Edgar Mowrer, 1939, *Germany Puts the Clock Back*

“In the Berlin of pre-Hitler years most of the theatres were Jewish-owned or Jewish-leased, most of the leading film and stage actors were Jews, the plays performed were often by German, Austrian or Hungarian Jews and were staged by Jewish film producers, applauded by Jewish dramatic critics in Jewish newspapers. The Jews are not cleverer than the Gentiles, if by clever you mean good at their jobs. They ruthlessly exploit the common feeling of Jews, first to get a foothold in a particular trade or calling, then to squeeze the non-Jews out of it. It is not true that Jews are better journalists than Gentiles. They held all the posts on those Berlin papers because the proprietors and editors were Jewish.”
—Edgar Mowrer, 1939, *Disgrace Abounding*

Edgar Mowrer was a Pulitzer Prize-winning American journalist (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edgar_Ansel_Mowrer).

“Even in November 1938, after five years of anti-Semitic legislation and persecution, they still owned, according to the Times correspondent in Berlin, something like a third of the real property in the Reich. The banks, including the Reichsbank and the big private banks, were practically controlled by them. So were the publishing trade, the cinema, the theatres and a large part of the press all the normal means, in fact, by which public opinion in a civilized country is formed. The largest newspaper combine in the country with a daily circulation of four millions was a Jewish monopoly. Every year it became harder and harder for a gentile to gain or keep a foothold in any privileged occupation. At this time it was not the ‘Aryans’ who exercised racial discrimination. It was a discrimination that operated without violence. It was exercised by a minority against a majority. There was no persecution, only elimination.”
—Sir Arthur Bryant, 1940, *Unfinished Victory*

Sir Arthur Bryant was an influential writer and historian whose works were particularly well-read by government and ruling elite. He was the favorite historian of three British prime ministers: Winston Churchill, Clement Attlee, and Harold Wilson (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Bryant).
“The Ullstein group was a kind of super-trust; the largest organization of its kind in Europe, and probably in the world. They published four daily papers in Berlin alone, among these the venerable Vossische Zeitung, founded in the eighteenth century, and the B.Z. am Mittag, an evening paper. Apart from these, Ullstein’s published more than a dozen weekly and monthly periodicals, ran their own news service, their own travel agency, etc., and were one of the leading book publishers. The firm was owned by the brothers Ullstein — they were five, like the original Rothschild brothers, and like them also, they were Jews.”

—Arthur Koestler, 1949, The God that Failed

Arthur Koestler, himself Jewish and affiliated with Communism in Germany, was an influential journalist ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Koestler](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Koestler)).


“...And it may well be that this same astounding race may at the present time be in the actual process of producing another system of morals and philosophy, as malevolent as Christianity was benevolent, which, if not arrested would shatter irretrievably all that Christianity has rendered possible…”

“...From the days of Spartacus-Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky (Russia), Bela Kun (Hungary), Rosa Luxembourg (Germany), and Emma Goldman (USA), this world-wide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality, has been steadily growing…”

“...It played...a definitely recognisable part in the tragedy of the French Revolution. It has been the mainspring of every subversive movement during the Nineteenth Century; and now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads and have become practically the undisputed masters of that enormous empire…”

“...There is no need to exaggerate the part played in the creation of Bolshevism and in the actual bringing about of the Russian revolution by these international, and for the most part atheistic Jews. It is certainly a very great one; it probably outweighs all others. With the notable exception of Lenin [subsequently revealed as Jewish], the majority of the leading figures are Jews. Thus Tchitcherin, a pure Russian, is eclipsed by his nominal subordinate Litvinoff, and the influence of Russians like Bukharin or Lunacharski cannot be compared with the power of Trotsky, or of Zinovief, the Dictator of the Red Citadel (Petrograd) or of Krassin or Radek – all Jews. In the Soviet institutions the predominance of Jews is even more astonishing. And the prominent, if not indeed the principal, part in the system of terrorism applied by the Extraordinary Commissions for Combating Counter-Revolution has been taken by Jews, and in some notable cases by Jewesses. The same evil prominence was obtained by Jews in the brief period of terror during which Bela Kun ruled in Hungary. The same phenomenon has been presented in Germany (especially in Bavaria), so far as this madness has been allowed to prey upon the temporary prostration of the German people. Although in all these countries there are many non-Jews every whit as bad as the worst of the Jewish revolutionaries, the part played by the latter in proportion to their numbers in the population is astonishing.”

Communism:

Many major proponents of Communism were Jewish. For example, Karl Marx was Jewish, and many of his relatives were rabbis ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karl_Marx#Early_life](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karl_Marx#Early_life)). Leon Trotsky was Jewish ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leon_Trotsky#Childhood_and_family_281879.29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leon_Trotsky#Childhood_and_family_281879.29)). Vladimir Lenin had Jewish heritage and married a Jewish woman ([http://content.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,2077413,00.html](http://content.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,2077413,00.html); [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vladimir_Lenin#Childhood_1870.2880.9389.29](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vladimir_Lenin#Childhood_1870.2880.9389.29)).

Other influential Communists from the time who were Jewish include:
Zinoviev is best remembered as the longtime head of the Communist International and the architect of several failed attempts to transform Germany into a communist country during the early 1920s.

In 1918-1919, just after WWI, a major Communist revolution called the November Revolution took place in the Weimar Republic. The revolutionaries carved out a portion of the country and formed it into a short-lived Communist state. Eventually the movement was repressed by the government and military, both of which were still struggling from WWI. Nearly every leader of the movement was Jewish; both presidents of the Communist state were Jewish.
Vladimir Putin has stated that the original Soviet movement in Russia was mostly Jewish (http://www.haaretz.com/jewish-world/jewish-world-news/1.530857, http://www.timesofisrael.com/putin-first-soviet-government-was-mostly-jewish/).

This by no means demonstrates that every Jew at the time was a Communist, or vice versa. However, there was a definite Jewish influence in Communist leadership at the time, and this was noted by the Nazis. (The rise of Communism as a popular worker’s movement and why the National Socialists were so strongly against it are discussed later.)

Decadence in Berlin:
Post-WWI Berlin was dominated by Jews (http://www.ushmm.org/wlc/en/article.php?ModuleId=10005468: approximately one-third of German Jews lived in Berlin in 1933), and politically left politics in the years after WWI. The deep poverty of the majority of the German population, and the exploitative affluence of a select few could both be clearly seen in the country’s national capital.

Berlin had acquired a reputation as a decadent city after WWI. It was known as the sex capital of Europe at the time; drugs, prostitution, niche sexual fetishes, and more, were rampant and widely promoted (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1920s_Berlin#Reputation_for_decadence; http://www.amazon.com/Voluptuous-Panic-Erotic-Expanded-Edition/dp/0922915962; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fK1pWTOIEgo). Post-WWI hyperinflation and the worthlessness of Germany’s currency encouraged a rise in desperate sex workers and international sex tourists. Many popular actors and actresses in Berlin, such as Marlene Dietrich (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marlene_Dietrich#Private_life) and others, had careers popularized by affairs and homosexuality/bisexuality.

From http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1920s_Berlin#Reputation_for_decadence:
“Apart from the new tolerance for behaviour that was technically still illegal, and viewed by a large part of society as immoral, there were other developments in Berlin culture that shocked many visitors to the city. Thrill-seekers came to the city in search of adventure, and booksellers sold many editions of guide books to Berlin’s erotic night entertainment venues. There were an estimated 500 such establishments, that included a large number of homosexual venues for men and for lesbians; sometimes transvestites of one or both genders were admitted, otherwise there were at least 5 known establishments that were exclusively for a transvestite clientele.[40] There were also several nudist venues. Berlin also had a museum of sexuality during the Weimar period, at Dr. Magnus Hirschfeld's Institute of Sexology.[41] These were nearly all closed when the Nazi regime became a dictatorship in 1933.

Artists in Berlin became fused with the city's underground culture as the borders between cabaret and legitimate theatre blurred. Anita Berber, a dancer and actress, became notorious throughout the city and beyond for her erotic performances (as well as her cocaine addiction and erratic behaviour). She was painted by Otto Dix, and socialized in the same circles as Klaus Mann.”

The Jewish Alfred Kerr, nicknamed the “Culture Pope,” was one of the most influential theatre critics of the time in Berlin (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alfred_Kerr). The Jewish Karl Kraus was another influential writer/critic (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karl_Kraus_(writer)).

Regarding sexuality in Berlin, one of the foremost influences was the Jewish Dr. Magnus Hirschfeld, a so-called “sexual scientist”, who made his career experimenting on and promoting sexual niches and fetishes, “free love”, homosexuality, transgenders, sex change operations, abortions, etc
Magnus Hirschfeld (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnus_Hirschfeld). His research and writings were assisted and promoted by other influential Jewish doctors such as Arthur Kronfeld and Felix Abraham. His “Institute of Sex Research” that was frequented by many noted individuals over its lifetime, the first of its kind in the world, included sexual experimentation and a “Museum of Sex” for the public that was even visited by school classes (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Institut_f%C3%BCr_Sexualwissenschaft).

Jews were influential in propagating this culture through the media. Ullstein Publishing, centered in Berlin, dominated the publishing industry in Germany before WWII. It was founded by the Jewish Leopold Ullstein. Ullstein publications were generally liberal and left-leaning. Ullstein was also notable in that they created the first German tabloid (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ullstein_Verlag; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leopold_Ullstein; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berliner_Morgenpost). In addition to Ullstein, the Jewish Rudolf Mosse was another one of the largest publishers in Germany at the time (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rudolf_Mosse; http://www.mosse-lectures.de/web/index.php/en/content/family.html). One of Mosse’s publications, the politically-liberal Berliner Tageblatt, had the influential Jewish Theodor Wolff serving as the editor-in-chief during the years before WWII (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theodor_Wolff; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berliner_Tageblatt). The Jewish Hans Hirschfeld worked as a journalist as a social democrat in Berlin, and came to head the Press Information Office of the Minister of the Interior (http://www.transatlanticperspectives.org/entry.php?rec=146).

One example of the many war/business profiteering scams headed by Jews during the Weimar Republic included the Barmat scandal (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barmat_scandal), where the businesses of the Jewish Barmat brothers took advantage of the German currency’s weakness to make immense profits, via currency speculation, intentionally exploitative import/export sales, and defaulting on loans from the Prussian State Bank. They were also highly connected with the ruling social democrat government, using bribes, kickbacks, and other financial favors to avoid persecution. Although the Barmat brothers were eventually convicted and sentenced, their punishments were extremely minor. Similar exploitative scandals by the Jewish Sklarz brothers, the Jewish Kutisker brothers, and the Jewish Sklarek brothers further drained German finances (http://trove.nla.gov.au/ndp/del/article/5854792; https://www.google.com/search?tbm=bks&hl=en&q=sklarz+scandal; https://www.google.com/search?tbm=bks&hl=en&q=kutisker+scandal; http://www.jta.org/1931/10/14/archive/sklarek-trial-opened-in-berlin). Other scandals perpetuated by Jews included the exploitation of successful businesses to bankruptcy for personal gain by Ludwig Katzenellenbogen (https://www.google.com/search?tbm=bks&hl=en&q=Ludwig+Katzenellenbogen+scandal) and the theatre ownership scandals perpetrated by the brothers Fritz and Alfred Rotter (http://translate.google.com/translate?hl=en&sl=de&u=http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fritz_Rotter_(Theaterunternehmer); http://translate.google.com/translate?hl=en&sl=de&u=http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alfred_Rotter).

There are numerous other claims from historians that allege domination of most fields of life in Weimar Germany by Jews - the more well-documented cases here barely scratch the surface. Verifying all of these claims on the English-speaking internet and without more official records can be difficult, and certainly not all of them are true. Regardless: it is hard to deny that many of the leftist political ideologies, “degenerate” social movements, and political and financial scandals that the socially far-right Nazis fought against in the troubled pre-WWII Weimar Republic had at least some distinct Jewish influence.

Pre-WWII hostility by the Jewish population:
Just after Hitler came to power, and long before any events like Kristallnacht or the Holocaust, parts of the international Jewish community had called for boycotts of German goods. Newspapers from 1933
contained headlines such as “JUDEA DECLARES WAR ON GERMANY: Jews of All the World Unite in Action” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_boycott_of_German_goods; https://www.google.com/search?q=judea+declares+war+on+germany+1933 &espv=2&biw=1920&bih=989&source=lnms&sa=X&ei=gkm7VKr8AYmTyATP44H4D0A&ved=0CAcQ_AUoAA&dpr=1). Even when the Nazis’ pre-WWII answers to the “Jewish question” were relatively benign, this hostility continued all the way up until the outbreak of war. For example, the Haavara Agreement (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haavara_Agreement) involved the Nazis openly working with Zionists and supporting the economic growth of Jews in what would become Israel, and Nazi leaders such as Leopold von Mildenstein (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leopold_von_Mildenstein) were open supporters of Zionism. Certain militant Zionist groups such as Lehi (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lehi_%28group%29) were openly supportive of the Nazis.

The catalyst that ultimately started Kristallnacht in the first place was the assassination of a German politician by a Jewish teenager (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ernst_vom_Rath).

Theodore Kaufman was an American Jewish writer who actively called for the destruction and even sterilization of the German people, well before WWII ultimately broke out (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Germany_Must_Perish).
Counterargument to Rebuttal 1:

So maybe there was some distinct Jewish influence in many of the problems that plagued the pre-WWII Weimar Republic. But stereotyping the Jews of today through what happened before WWII is racist/anti-Semitic. Jews don’t do those kinds of things today.

Rebuttal:
They absolutely do.

Reasoning:
First, it is important to note that Jews only make up 2% of the population of the US ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_population_by_country](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_population_by_country)). Yet, as in the Weimar Republic before the Nazis came to power, their influence reaches far wider than their representation in the country would suggest.

Media:
Ownership of the American mass media is highly overrepresented by Jews or open supporters of Jewish causes. This is the same mass media that enforces political correctness with an iron fist, supports foreign wars and American-catalyzed regime changes that have nothing to do with American national security, hides and ignores politicians and facts inconvenient to their agenda ([https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pF04cqn1bzo; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gAyncf3DBUQ](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pF04cqn1bzo; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gAyncf3DBUQ)), etc.

Examples:
- Brian Roberts, CEO of Comcast, and chairman and former treasurer of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brian_L._Roberts; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Cable_%26_Telecommunications_Association](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brian_L._Roberts; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Cable_%26_Telecommunications_Association)). According to Comcast’s investor relations website, Brian Roberts controls 33.3% of the company’s voting power ([http://cmcsk.com/secfiling.cfm?fil宁ID=950159-04-860](http://cmcsk.com/secfiling.cfm?fil宁ID=950159-04-860); “Brian L. Roberts has significant control over our operations through his control of BRCC Holdings LLC, which as a result of its ownership of outstanding shares of our Class B common stock holds a nondilutable 33 1/3% of the combined voting power of our common stock and also has separate approval rights over certain material transactions involving us. In addition, Brian L. Roberts is our President, CEO and Chairman of the Board.”).
- Mark Zuckerberg, founder and chief executive of Facebook ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mark_Zuckerberg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mark_Zuckerberg))
- David Zaslav, president and CEO of Discovery Communications, former executive of NBC Universal
- Jeff Zucker, president of CNN, and former president and CEO of NBC Universal
- Wolf Blitzer, CNN's lead anchor
- James Schamus, CEO of Universal Studios
- Michael Eisner, CEO of Disney 1984-2005
- Bob Iger – Chairman and CEO of Disney
- Joseph Pulitzer, an early pioneer in early journalism techniques, and the first along with William Hearst to use "yellow journalism" to grab readers' attention
- Katharine Weymouth, CEO of Washington Post
- Sumner Redstone (born Sumner Rothstein) - Chairman and majority owner of CBS and Viacom (Viacom is the parent company of MTV, BET, Nickelodeon, Comedy Central, etc)
- Austin Beutner - CEO of the Los Angeles Times
- William Fox - founder of Fox
- Andrew Rashbass - CEO of Thomson Reuters, which owns Reuters
- Nick Denton - founder of Gawker, which controls a group of influential liberal blogs including Kotaku, Jezebel, and others; Gawker has been at the center of the Gamergate controversy (a recent industry pushback against overly liberal critiques and control of video games)
- The four founders of Warner Brothers
- Barry Meyer – former chairman of Warner Brothers
- Steven Spielberg
- William Paley - founder of CBS
- Leslie Moonves – President and CEO of CBS
- Larry Page - Co-founder and CEO of Google
- Sergey Brin - Co-founder of Google
- Jonah Peretti - Founder of BuzzFeed and Huffington Post (two highly liberal blogs with a heavy emphasis on pointless clickbait)
- Brad Grey – chairman and CEO of Paramount Pictures
- Michael Lynton – CEO of Sony Pictures
- Haim Saban – Owner of Univision and former owner of ABC Family

(From article: “Saban says his greatest concern is to protect Israel. At a conference in Israel, Saban described his formula. His three ways to influence American politics were: make donations to political parties, establish think tanks, and control media outlets.”)

Also related:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media_cross-ownership_in_the_United_States
Finances:
The Federal Reserve is a great subject of controversy. To cover it briefly, the Federal Reserve is a non-government institution that works above the rule and scrutiny of the government or the public; it is dubiously constitutional because the Constitution only grants Congress/the government the ability to produce currency (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iFDe5kULyT0); and it has been involved in numerous financial transactions/scandals that benefit big banks over American interests (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/robert-auerbach/the-federal-reserves-33-t_b_794155.html; http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-11-28/secret-fed-loans-undisclosed-to-congress-gave-banks-13-billion-in-income.html; etc.). A huge portion of our taxes are being used to pay just the interest for our national debt slavery to the Fed, as the debt continues to grow ever higher, and bankers profit every step of the way.

Bernie Madoff’s Ponzi scheme that collapsed in 2008 cost over $50 billion, and he was the head of the NASDAQ stock market; he is Jewish (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bernard_Madoff). Sonja Kohn, a prominent accomplice in Madoff’s scheme, was Jewish (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sonja_Kohn). Sam Israel III was another Jew arrested and sentenced to federal prison during the 2008 financial crisis (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samuel_Israel_III) - he ran a hedge fund that defrauded investors out of millions of dollars before collapsing.


The originator of sub-prime mortgage lending that ultimately led to the financial crisis of 2008, the biggest recession in the US since the Great Depression, was the Jewish Roland Arnall, whose lending firm Ameriquest played a key role in causing the recession (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roland_Arnall; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ameriquest_Mortgage). Jordan Belfort, the banker and stock broker on which the book/film The Wolf of Wall Street was about, was Jewish (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jordan_Belfort).

The chairman and CEO of Goldman Sachs, Lloyd Blankfein, is Jewish (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lloyd_Blankfein).

The Rothschilds are a Jewish banking dynasty that has dominated (if not virtually monopolized) world finance for centuries (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rothschild_family; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rothschild_bankers_family_of_England). Their members have produced mantras such as the following:

"I care not what puppet is placed upon the throne of England to rule the Empire on which the sun never sets. The man who controls the British money supply controls the British Empire, and I control the British money supply."

“Let us control the money of a nation, and we care not who makes its laws.”
- A “maxim” of the House of Rothschild (http://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Money#Misattributed)

A political cartoon from 1894 demonstrated the power that the Rothschilds held over world finance, especially through national debts (http://vulgararmy.com/post/386748134/the-english-octopus-it-feeds-on-nothing-but):

The Warburg family is another Jewish banking dynasty. Max Warburg was one of the most notable members, active in Germany before WWII (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Warburg_family; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Max_Warburg).


Moses Montefiore (1784-1885) was an influential Jewish banker active in Britain (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moses_Montefiore).

Court Jews were highly active in banking and finance during medieval times, and exploited interest and usury through loans for profit (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_banking#Court_Jew).
**Education:**
Jews are highly overrepresented in Ivy League universities, as white and Asian-American admissions are limited despite better academic performance ([http://www.theamericanconservative.com/articles/the-myth-of-american-meritocracy/](http://www.theamericanconservative.com/articles/the-myth-of-american-meritocracy/)).


**Government:**
A significant portion of our government is made up of Israeli dual-citizens, and any enforcement against dual-nation participation has been extremely lax for Jews ([http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/US-Israel/jewcong113.html; http://american3rdposition.com/?p=12767; http://www.viewzone.com/dualcitizen.html](http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/US-Israel/jewcong113.html; http://american3rdposition.com/?p=12767; http://www.viewzone.com/dualcitizen.html)). Dual-citizenship is a controversial subject for any nation, since the true loyalties of a dual-citizen are always in question, and it remains illegal in many countries around the world.

AIPAC, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee, is one of the largest, if not THE largest, lobby group in America ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Israel_Public_Affairs_Committee](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Israel_Public_Affairs_Committee)), and has had its share of scandals and questionable political motivations ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lawrence_Franklin_espionage_scandal; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jane_Harman#2009_wiretap.2FAIPAC_allegations](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lawrence_Franklin_espionage_scandal; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jane_Harman#2009_wiretap.2FAIPAC_allegations)); highly recommended reading: [http://www.amazon.com/Israel-Lobby-U-S-Foreign-Policy/dp/0374531501](http://www.amazon.com/Israel-Lobby-U-S-Foreign-Policy/dp/0374531501). Every foreign lobby in the US is required to register under the Foreign Agents Registration Act to signify that they are working primarily under the interests of their own country; AIPAC is the sole exception to this law ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foreign_Agents_Registration_Act#Selective_enforcement](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foreign_Agents_Registration_Act#Selective_enforcement)).


One-third of the current US Supreme Court is Jewish: Ruth Bader Ginsburg, Stephen Breyer, and Elena Kagan ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States#Jewish_justices](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States#Jewish_justices)).

The U.S. policy document *A Clean Break* written in 1996 by the Israeli government outlines expanding Israel’s influence in the Middle East using American military power; it included policies that eventually came true including destroying Saddam Hussein’s Iraq and isolating Syria through proxy warfare ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/A_Clean_Break:_A_New_Strategy_for_Securing_the_Realm](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/A_Clean_Break:_A_New_Strategy_for_Securing_the_Realm)).

New York City mayor Bill de Blasio at closed AIPAC conference: “Part of my job is to defend Israel” (http://www.haaretz.com/news/world/1.570442).

Pushing far-left politics (except on themselves):

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jewish_left

Israel has nuclear weapons. They have been secretly developing nuclear weapons for decades. Despite this, they refuse to sign the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (http://www.reuters.com/article/2010/05/29/us-israel-nuclear-treaty-idUSTRE64S1ZN20100529). As the US grinds down on Iran for trying to obtain a single nuclear weapon at all, Israel continues producing the weapons without any scrutiny, all while continuing to receive billions of dollars in aid and support from the US (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_weapons_and_Israel; http://www.breitbart.com/national-security/2015/03/25/administration-declassifies-top-secret-doc-that-reveals-israels-nuclear-secrecy/). Whistleblowers for Israel’s nuclear program are drugged, kidnapped, and tortured in captivity in Israel (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mordechai_Vanunu). Israel also follows a “Samson option” stance on nuclear war, arguing that if Israel goes down it will take the whole world with it (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samson_Option; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Samson_Option:_Israel%27s_Nuclear_Arsenal_and_American_Foreign_Policy).

The entire history of the formation of Israel is centered on seedy and secretive Zionists collaborating with governments behind closed doors, using the military might of Britain after WWI to take over and displace the natives on the land of what is now Israel (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balfour_Declaration). Leftists have no problem pushing guilt for the American takeover of Native American land, or British colonialism overseas, but somehow Israel is always left off the hook.

A significant proportion of major proponents of feminism in the US have been Jewish.

The author of The Feminine Mystique, Betty Friedan, is Jewish.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Feminine_Mystique
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Betty_Friedan

Israeli prime minister pushes for Israel to be a Jewish nation, with only Jews ([http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/may/04/binyamin-netanyahu-israel-jewish-state; http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/israel/10551955/John-Kerry-frustrated-by-Palestinians-refusal-to-recognise-Jewish-Israel.html]). Again, Israel thrives in double standards; imagine the backlash and seething hatred one would receive in America for suggesting the creation of a white Christian country, for example.

Open racism in Israel
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dPxy4Aff3lA
Immigrants from Russia to Israel could need DNA tests to prove Jewishness

Israel forcibly injected African immigrants with birth control
http://www.haaretz.com/news/national/israel-admits-ethiopian-women-were-given-birth-control-shots.premium-1.496519
http://www.salon.com/2013/01/28/israel admits ethiopian jewish immigrants were given birth control shots/

Jewish and liberal Michael Bloomberg, former mayor of New York City, reveals racist beliefs about minorities and crime in secret; even though through his liberal platforms he is always fighting for the opposite?

Jews in America overwhelmingly for immigration reform...
...but not for Israel
http://conservative-headlines.com/2013/05/staunch-promoter-of-multiculturalism-for-australia-says-multiculturalism-is-bad-for-israel/

Israel segregates their highways
http://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2010/may/17/israel-palestine-highway-443-segregation
http://visualizingpalestine.org/visuals/segregated-roads-west-bank

Jewish attorney and lecturer: “White Nationalism: A Scourge That Won’t Go Away”
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Menachem_Z._Rosensaft
http://www.huffingtonpost.com/menachem-rosensaft/peter-brimelow_b_1338565.html
...who also wrote: “Israeli’s Jewish Essence is Non-Negotiable”
http://www.huffingtonpost.com/menachem-rosensaft/israels-jewish-essence-is_b_995736.html

Israel is #1 in ignoring United Nations resolutions

Jews were active in anti-apartheid activism in South Africa:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helen_Suzman
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruth_First
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_Schwarz
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albie_Sachs


Sheldon Adelson, Jewish billionaire, 8th richest person in the world, and a huge source of funding for the Republican party, says “I’m basically a social liberal” and is pro-illegal immigration and pro-abortion; Republicans falling over themselves to appeal to him
Barbara Spectre is the head of the European Institute for Jewish Studies in Sweden, and calls for a multicultural Europe with Jews at the lead (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barbara_Lerner_Spectre; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=INOYIdx7o2A).

Tim Wise is an anti-racism activist, speaker, and writer, and has trained teachers, corporate employees, and law enforcement officers in “methods for addressing and dismantling White racism in their institutions” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tim_Wise).
Rebuttal 2:
The Allies were guilty of their own war atrocities.

Reasoning:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allied_war_crimes_during_World_War_II
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_war_crimes#World_War_II
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mass_killings_under_Communist_regimes

First of all, it goes without saying that the outcome of World War I was extremely unjust with the Treaty of Versailles that was forced upon Germany (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6YJFOZkriyk&list=PLh_EHn0yU3dNBDu2FS8PhqW6i6XLnxCX1). Germany, despite being not at all responsible for starting the war, was deemed as ENTIRELY responsible by the Allied powers and stripped of virtually all military and economic power. The monarchy of Germany was completely done away with and the country was turned into a social democracy, and was plunged into poverty and misery from its debts and concessions to the victorious Allies. (The reparations were so severe, that Germany only recently finished paying them off in 2010 (http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1315869/Germany-end-World-War-One-reparations-92-years-59m-final-payment.html).) Woodrow Wilson’s League of Nations was the first step in trying to create a one-world international governing body. The Allies played with millions of lives as if they were toys, as borders were wantonly redrawn across all of Europe.

As an example, Czechoslovakia as a nation was formed by the Allied powers after WWI. The formation of this country had no basis in ethnic or cultural borders, as Czechs, Germans, Slovaks, Hungarians, and Ukrainians all made up significant portions of the population (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Czechoslovakia_%281918%E2%80%931938%29). About 20-25% of the population was German, and suffered persecution in the newly-created country (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Germans_in_Czechoslovakia_%281918%E2%80%931938%29). Forcing all of these ethnic groups together into a single country on the whim of the Allied powers fragmented the country and it was cut to pieces by surrounding nations in the interwar years.

The Holodomor in 1932-1933 was the genocide through starvation of an estimated 2.5-7.5 million people in Soviet-controlled Ukraine (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holodomor). The Soviets systematically confiscated food and agricultural equipment in their fervor to remilitarize, control the populace through collectivization (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collectivization_in_the_Soviet_Union), and eliminate the Ukrainian independence movement. The famine also caused millions of deaths in regions such as Kazakhstan, North Caucasus, and Siberia; the deaths were covered up by the government for decades, ultimately only coming to light when the Soviet Union collapsed in 1991 (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soviet_famine_of_1932%E2%80%9333).

The 1929-1932 Soviet policy of mass murder and deportation of relatively well-off peasants known as “kulaks” resulted in deaths conservatively numbering 5 million (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dekulakization). Later
towards the war’s end, in May 1944, Stalin would exile the Tartars from their native land in Crimea, in which over 100,000 died from starvation and disease (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deportation_of_the_Crimean_Tatars).

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Forced_settlements_in_the_Soviet_Union
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Population_transfer_in_the_Soviet_Union

Along with Germany and the Soviet Union’s invasion of Poland in 1939 which ultimately kicked off WWII, plenty of other nations in Europe (including Poland itself) were involved in border disputes and forced annexations in the interwar years (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polish%E2%80%93Czechoslovak_border_conflicts; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slovak%E2%80%93Hungarian_War).

Hitler’s invasion of Poland was spurred on by the persecution of Germans in the Polish land taken from Germany after WWI (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polish_Corridor#Exodus_of_the_German_population), and the breakdown of diplomatic negotiations. After WWI, Germany was split in two, with Poland separating the west and east land masses of Germany via what was formerly German land. In the middle, Danzig became a city-state under Polish economic control, another border change enforced on the whims of the WWI victors (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polish_Corridor; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Free_City_of_Danzig), with the vast majority of the population being Germans. Before the invasion, Hitler was mired in diplomatic difficulties with the non-supportive Polish government. Calls from Hitler for Poland to cease persecution of Germans went unheeded. Hitler’s proposed negotiations for roadways and transportation through the Polish Corridor while still allowing Polish control of the land were shut down. Hitler’s invitation to Poland to join the Anti-Comintern Pact against Communist powers (which ultimately formed the Axis powers) (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-Comintern_Pact#Origins) was ignored. Poland then snubbed all German requests for diplomacy entirely after France and Britain entered a military alliance with Poland, promising declarations of war should Germany attack (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anglo-Polish_military_alliance).

Certainly Hitler was confident after his taking of the Rhineland, Austria, and the Sudetenland, all formerly German land forcefully taken away by the Allies after WWI. At times, Hitler expressly went against the advice of his military generals when he took that land (especially the Rhineland), since Germany was still ill-equipped for a full war. The liberal governments of France and Britain allowed these land grabs to take place because their public was pacified and fiercely against war after WWI. If the other European powers had fought back at those early times, they would most likely have squashed Germany. The Polish Corridor was simply more formerly German land taken by an outside nation after WWI, where the Germans living there suffered persecution as second-class citizens. The staunchly anti-German Polish government refused to cooperate with any negotiations. However, the Polish invasion was the straw that broke the camel’s back and provoked countermeasures from the rest of Europe. Again, this is despite all of that land formerly belonging to Germany until the Treaty of Versailles. German occupation of Poland was also advantageous for the invasion of the Soviet Union a few years later – had Poland remained independent after 1939, Soviet invasion of eastern Europe would have been imminent and Germany could not have gone on the offensive. In my personal opinion, whether or not the invasion of Poland was a good idea for Nazi Germany is still up for debate.

What most people forget, though, is that the Soviet Union invaded Poland at the same time as Germany (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Invasion_of_Poland; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soviet_invasion_of_Poland). There is a lot of disgust towards Germany for invading Poland to start WWII, but hardly any for the Soviet Union which did the same. What could have ended as an isolated European border war ended up forcing millions of men from the US, Britain, France, and more to fight and die against the Axis nations. And ultimately, Poland

Winston Churchill, after being appointed Prime Minister, rallied viciously for war against Germany with no chances of peace, after Germany had occupied France and forced the British invasion troops to flee back to Britain from Dunkirk (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/May_1940_War_Cabinet_Crisis). In the 1940 Battle of Dunkirk, the British and French forces had been fought back to the coast and surrounded by the Germans. The German advance was halted by Hitler and German commanders, allowing the Allied forces to escape through the English Channel; this saved the lives of over 330,000 men (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Dunkirk; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dunkirk_evacuation). Afterward, Germany offered peace to Britain and France, which included pulling troops out of France and western Europe – however, Britain and France declined these offers (http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2433733/How-Nazis-offered-peace-treaty-World-War-II-meant-selling-Russians.html; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi_propaganda_and_the_United_Kingdom#Change_in_attitude). At the time, Hitler was much more focused on the east, including the secretive Soviet Union invasion plan; even in Mein Kampf, Hitler wrote that he had no particular qualms with the British and even admired their Empire (which Britain was to lose nearly all of as a consequence of getting so involved in the war) (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi_propaganda_and_the_United_Kingdom#Pre-war).

Throughout this time, Britain pushed for the US to enter the war, and Roosevelt was eager to oblige; however, he was hampered by the US Neutrality Acts passed since WWI. Ultimately, he circumvented the Neutrality Acts with the Lend-Lease Act, which allowed for massive military arms donations and sales to Britain and later to the Soviet Union (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lend-Lease#Historical_background; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/NeutralityActs_of_1930s#End_of_neutrality_policy). The US lent billions of dollars’ worth of military support (in 1945 dollars); the British only recently finished paying off the debts in 2006 (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lend-Lease#Repayment). Roosevelt also initiated the first-ever peacetime draft in American history and increased the defense budget fivefold. At the time, the majority of the American public (especially Republicans) did not support involvement in the war; Roosevelt however was eager to get involved, just as Churchill was eager to push war over peace.

“In making our decision, this point should be clear: these wars in Europe are not wars in which our civilization is defending itself against some Asiatic intruder. There is no Genghis Khan or Xerxes marching against our Western nations. This is not a question of banding together to defend the White race against foreign invasion. This is simply one more of those age old quarrels within our own family of nations – a quarrel arising from the errors of the last war – from the failure of the victors of that war to follow a consistent policy either of fairness or of force.

Arbitrary boundaries can only be maintained by strength of arms. The Treaty of Versailles either had to be revised as time passed, or England and France, to be successful, had to keep Germany weak by force. Neither policy was followed; Europe wavered back and forth between the two. As a result, another war has begun, a war which is likely to be far more prostrating than the last, a war which will again kill off the best youth of Europe, a war which may even lead to the end of our Western civilization.”
In 1942-1946, people with Japanese ancestry living in America were forcefully relocated to concentration camps by the American government, through an executive order by FDR (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internment_of_Japanese_Americans). Those incarcerated lost their homes, wealth, and belongings.

April-May 1940: Katyn Massacre. The Soviets carry out a systematic massacre of Polish officers, workers, doctors, engineers, etc, anyone perceived to be bourgeoisie. The number of victims is estimated at 22,000. The massacre is blamed on Hitler and the Nazis, even though Germany was the first to report on the graves of the victims at the time. The truth behind the massacre was covered up by governments for decades; only in 1990 was the truth formally released (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Katyn_massacre).

A few months after Germany’s invasion of the Soviet Union was underway, in August 1941, Stalin issued Order No. 270, which ordered that anyone who surrendered or deserted their military post be executed on the spot, and that their families be arrested and imprisoned. Of the order, Stalin claimed, “There are no Soviet prisoners of war; only traitors” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Order_No._270). This was further enforced through Order No. 227 in July 1942 (“Not one step back!”) (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Order_No._227). Stalin utilized “barrier troops”, who were situated behind the front lines and indiscriminately shot and killed any of their own soldiers who tried to retreat (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Order_No._227; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barrier_troops#Barrier_troops_in_the_Red_Army).


It is still up for debate which country ordered the deliberate bombing of civilian targets first (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategic_bombing_during_World_War_II#The_Western_Front.2C_1939_to_June_1940; http://forum.axishistory.com/viewtopic.php?t=199639); at the onset of the war and even later on, German military strategy explicitly targeted only military targets.

Winston Churchill pushed for the use of poison gas and anthrax strikes on German cities, although the plans were never carried out (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chemical_weapons_and_the_United_Kingdom#Later_plans).
Towards the war’s end, with the Allied powers closing in and eventually completely occupying Germany, German refugees were systematically murdered, raped, and forcibly relocated.

In the town of Swinemunde in 1945, a passenger ship full of German refugees trying to escape the Red Army’s advance on the Baltic Sea was bombed by the Allies as it docked. In a similar incident, the German passenger ship *Gustloff* which was overfilled with refugees and escapees from the Eastern front was sunk by Soviet submarines. Over 5000 children alone died from this incident.

The Nemmersdorf Massacre in October 1944 was just one example of the mass rape and murder of Germans by the advancing Red Army. In Nemmersdorf, even the Allied POWs staying there were murdered.

After American troops took over the Dachau concentration camp, they systematically slaughtered German guards, POWs and civilians by, among other means, lining them up against the wall and machine-gunning them down.

*Hellstorm: The Death of Nazi Germany* by Thomas Goodrich is a recommended read/watch, and presents documented viewpoints from Germans at the war’s end as they are bombed, murdered, raped, and expelled from their homes by the Allied powers.

Operation Keelhaul is the name given to the Allied efforts to forcibly send Axis POWs and anti-Communist fighters east to the Soviet Union, where certain death or work slavery in the Gulags awaited them. This policy was agreed to unilaterally by the Allied powers at the Yalta Conference, and kept secret from the public for decades. Millions of refugees and soldiers died as a result of these policies.

The Bleiberg Massacre occurred in May 1945, when hundreds of thousands of Axis troops and civilians in Croatia and Yugoslavia marched westward to surrender to the British before opposing militias or Communist troops got to them. After they arrived in the Austrian border town of Bleiburg, the Allies would instead forcibly repatriate them to the east, where massacres and death marches to prison camps awaited them.

The Betrayal of the Cossacks is when Cossacks, Russians, and Ukrainians who fought for Nazi Germany were assured by the Allies that they would be taken under their protection after surrender; however, they were instead forcibly handed over to the Soviet Union.

"The first to commit suicide, by hanging, was the Cossack editor Evgenij Tarruski. The second was General Silkin, who shot himself... The Cossacks refused to board the trucks. British soldiers [armed]
with pistols and clubs began using their clubs, aiming at the heads of the prisoners. They first dragged the men out of the crowd, and threw them into the trucks. The men jumped out. They beat them again, and threw them onto the floor of the trucks. Again, they jumped out. The British then hit them with rifle butts until they lay unconscious, and threw them, like sacks of potatoes, in the trucks.”

- from *Operation Keelhaul* by Julius Epstein

(Remind you of anything else?)

Anton Webern, an Austrian composer and contemporary of composers like Arnold Schoenberg, was shot and killed while visiting his grandchildren by an American G.I. in September 1945 – five months after the war had ended.

In order to circumvent the limitations on the treatment of German POWs dictated by the Geneva Conventions, General Eisenhower classified German POWs with the new title of “Disarmed Enemy Forces” (DEFs) instead. Thus, any legal responsibility for the Allies to treat German POWs humanely was thrown out the window. The Red Cross was barred from inspecting the status of the POWs. Eisenhower held the German POWs in crude concentration camps.

After the war, a group of roving Jews called Nakam traveled around Europe killing Germans.

The Nuremberg Trials were unlike any other event in history – when the victors of a war imposed their will on the defeated nations through a series of demeaning show trials with obviously pre-determined judgements and sentences, using the supposed precedent of “international law”. The concept of “international law” is flimsy in itself, as it implies that nations like Germany or the Soviet Union ever agreed to them in the first place, that the US and the Allies were somehow the rightful creators of such laws, or that a one-world judicial system having jurisdiction over individual nations should even exist. The “defendants” were subject to torture and trickery in order to obtain confessions. So fervent were the Allies during the Trials that they had to send an expert executioner from Britain, Albert Pierrepoint, to help with the massive number of hangings.
Rebuttal 3:
There are reasons to be doubtful of the current Holocaust narrative.

Reasoning:
This is admittedly a very touchy subject. The Holocaust is covered in extremely extensive detail in school. Regardless of how much a grade school or high school student in the US knows about math, science, or anything else, it’s pounded into their heads that six million Jews were tortured, murdered, gassed, etc in Nazi concentration camps, trumping all other historical massacres as the most sinister and evil. Speaking from personal experience, this was a huge component of WWII coverage in my high school history classes.

The three aspects discussed below about the Holocaust make me believe further research is needed on the subject, and that every excruciating detail about it may not be 100% true. There’s no denying that it happened in some form; even many historians who the media has crucified for their beliefs on Holocaust don’t deny some that some systematic murder and plundering of Jews occurred during wartime ([http://www.amazon.com/Hitlers-War-David-Irving/dp/0380758067](http://www.amazon.com/Hitlers-War-David-Irving/dp/0380758067)). Does that forgive the fact that the atrocities occurred? Certainly not. Does it make Hitler the “good guy” in the war just because there are some doubts? Certainly not. But these doubts have contributed to my beliefs that Hitler’s legacy is highly exaggerated. More than anything, I’m still looking for answers to these questions I find myself asking upon scrutiny of the Holocaust’s details.

Illegality of criticism:
Holocaust denial is illegal in a number of countries. Those accused are only allowed to plead insanity or guilt. This goes blatantly against free speech rights (even though governing committees have deemed that it doesn’t). Many people, including politicians and historians, have faced fines and jail time under this charge ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laws_against_Holocaust_denial](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laws_against_Holocaust_denial)).

For example, David Irving is a British WWII historian and writer, who is known for his thorough translations, analysis, and authentication of war records. In 2006, he was arrested and sentenced to prison for three years in Austria for the charge of “trivializing the Holocaust”. He has also been fined in Germany for the charge of denying the Holocaust ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Irving; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irving_trial; http://www.theguardian.com/world/2006/feb/20/austria.thefaright](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Irving; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irving_trial; http://www.theguardian.com/world/2006/feb/20/austria.thefaright)). In even professional interviews about his writing and opinions, he is completely unacceptably harassed and interrupted, and questions are loaded to avoid actual discussion, despite his calm and neutral behavior and his desire to explain himself. Rather than focus on research, or details, or anything worthwhile, interviewers smugly force the man to defend himself against being deemed a racist, or waste their time on similar demoralizing claims against his character ([Hardtalk - David Irving (BBC 2000) -](http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b00341h9).
This treatment for a historian of any kind is absolutely unacceptable.

If the Holocaust was a tried and true fact, there should be no reason to censor the research. Research and debate would enhance the impact of the event, rather than detract from it. If the narrative and details are foolproof, Holocaust revisionists would be welcomed in open debate so that they could be proven wrong. (Similar ridiculousness is seen in the banning of Mein Kampf across many European countries, or the only releases of the book needing to be saturated with footnotes trying to denounce every claim the book makes.)

People who promote the earth being flat, for example, are not censored and silenced; laws are not written in countries to make flat earth criticism illegal; they even have their own groups to discuss their theories. The same is true with people who believe in UFOs. The Holocaust is the only event in history where acceptance is enforced by law. Why?

And if all this wasn’t enough, Jews and Israeli interests are currently pushing to have anti-Semitism made illegal as an international crime as well. The constant revisions of Holocaust details over time:

Atrocity propaganda is a very real phenomenon. The details of the Holocaust and the specifics of the atrocities committed are constantly changing over time. Many atrocities that were regarded as (and legally enforced as) objective fact were found out to be exaggerations and non-truths, arising from duress during the Nuremberg Trials, popularized during Denazification after the war, or claimed in imaginative Holocaust survivor stories. Who is to say what other details about the Holocaust are not actually true?

Two examples of this include production of soap from prisoners’ body fat, and the production of lamp shades from prisoners’ skin, both of which have been conclusively debunked by historians.

Another example is the death toll numbers themselves. The death toll at Auschwitz was regarded as objective fact for decades to be 4 million. In the 90s, that number was revised by Holocaust researchers and scholars. The
official death toll is now between 1.1 and 1.5 million victims (http://articles.chicagotribune.com/1992-05-07/news/9202100662_1_death-wall-auschwitz-memorials; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Auschwitz_concentration_camp#Death_toll). Despite this revision, the 6 million Jewish total death toll still stands seemingly unchanged. How does that make sense?

This “6 million” number had also been used for decades before WWII when describing the number of Jews in their communities. For example, searching “6,000,000 Jews” in the Library of Congress newspaper archive for the years 1836-1922 brings up 126 results. Searching “six million Jews” in the same search yields another 58 results (http://chroniclingamerica.loc.gov/search/pages/results/?state=&date1=1867&date2=1920&proxtext=%226%2C000%2C000+jews%22&x=0&y=0&dateFilterType=yearRange&rows=20&searchType=basic; http://chroniclingamerica.loc.gov/search/pages/results/?state=&date1=1836&date2=1922&proxtext=%22six+million+jews%22&x=24&y=19&dateFilterType=yearRange&rows=20&searchType=basic). Most of the entries involve trying to try emphasizing the plight of Jewish communities around the world (with many promoting donations and aid to said communities). Why is this six million number brought up so frequently in such a throwaway manner well before WWII even began? Did Jews constantly thrive in communities numbering exactly six million? If so, why? Were Jews who died from natural causes, disease, Allied attacks, or during the pre-war 1930s counted towards the six million? If so, isn’t the 6 million rightly a massive exaggeration?

It is also important to be highly skeptical of Soviet documentation regarding the Holocaust. All six of the Nazi concentration camps classified as “extermination camps” or “death camps” (Treblinka, Sobibor, Belzec, Chelmno, Auschwitz, and Majdanek) were liberated and inspected by the Soviets, and not the Allies (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi_concentration_camps#Liberation). Out of the 20+ Nazi camps said to exist, it is an interesting coincidence. The Soviets were bitter enemies with the Nazis and eager to defile them (numerous examples of Soviet atrocities against the Germans have already been described). Has proper skepticism of Soviet claims been taken into account when certain Holocaust details are taken as fact?

One wartime issue regarding life in the concentration camps that is often unspoken of was the prevalence of typhus (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Typhus; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epidemic_typhus). Typhus ran rampant during almost all wars in history, and WWII was no different, especially as Germany was flooded with refugees and logistical confusion by the war’s end. On the Wikipedia page for Typhus, an image of a pile of dead bodies from the Bergen-Belsen concentration camp during WWII is included with a caption that the corpses were typhus victims; the image would have looked equally appropriate elsewhere as an example of Holocaust victims:
In all the pictures of dead Holocaust victims that we have seen, it’s strange that typhus is rarely mentioned as a potential cause, especially since it affected all sides in the war. Containing typhus in concentration camps would have required measures such as replacing inmates’ clothes with clean outfits, shaving hair (to prevent lice), and delousing, which the chemical Zyklon-B was originally manufactured for (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zyklon_B).

Finally, two interesting incidents happened in 1985 and 1988: writer Ernst Zundel fought back legally when he was arrested in Canada for his Holocaust revisionism. What ensued were two legal trials where beliefs about the Holocaust itself were cross-examined and discussed in a court room, surprising many about how much (or how little) Holocaust scholars actually knew (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ernst_Z%C3%BCndel#Trials_in_the_1980s; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MlyPNufuto; http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0970378467/ref=oh_aui_detailpage_o00_s00?ie=UTF8&psc=1). For example, Raul Hilberg, one of the first and most influential Holocaust scholars (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Raul_Hilberg: “He was widely considered to be the world's preeminent[1][2][3] scholar of the Holocaust, and his three-volume, 1,273-page magnum opus, The Destruction of the European Jews, is regarded as a seminal study of the Nazi Final Solution.”), was brought to the stand as an expert witness.

“Mr. Christie [the defense lawyer] then asked for one single scientific report indicating that there were gas chambers. Dr. Hilberg said that he didn’t know of one.”

Newscaster: “A recognized expert on the Holocaust today admitted there is no single report that shows the existence of gas chambers in Nazi concentration camps” (starting at 26:31 of https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MlyPNufuto)

Raul Hilberg chose not to re-appear for the 1988 trial after this cross-examination in 1985. Ernst Zundel was ultimately acquitted when the law that he was prosecuted under was deemed unconstitutional by Canada’s Supreme Court.

The exploitation of the Holocaust for profit:
There are numerous instances of exploitation by Jews in order to profit from the Holocaust. Is this really the prevailing attitude of a humble group of people who suffered an injustice, and who only seek understanding and the truth? (Books like An Eye for an Eye: The Story of Jews Who Sought Revenge for the Holocaust (http://www.amazon.com/dp/0967569109/ref=wl_it_dp_o_pC_nS_ttl?_encoding=UTF8) or militant Jews who
murdered Germans after the war (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nakam) demonstrate that many Jews have been anything but humble over the issue.)

Herman Rosenblat is a Holocaust survivor who faked a Holocaust love story. He received a book deal (“Angel at the Fence”), several TV appearances (including with Oprah), and even a film deal. When his story was found out to be fake, he acted unrepentant, said he would do it again, and gave excuses like: “It was real in my mind.” In his story, he was supposedly scheduled to be gassed at the Theresienstadt concentration camp; Theresienstadt did not have gas chambers, and prisoners at the camp were not told that they would be gassed ahead of time (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Herman_Rosenblat; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angel_at_the_Fence; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j30sWIOmLak; http://www.theguardian.com/books/2009/feb/15/herman-rosenblat-oprah-winfrey-hoax).

Another example is Bernard Holstein’s Stolen Soul; Holstein had in fact never left his home country of Australia. He offered similar non-repentant excuses upon being found out. He branded himself with a fake tattoo in order to convince people, and among his imagined experiences were Nazi masturbation machines that killed their victims (http://www.amazon.com/Stolen-Soul-Story-Courage-Survival/dp/0646434462; http://www.fpp.co.uk/Auschwitz/docs/fake/WA_SundayTimes_301004.html; http://judicial-inc-archive.blogspot.com/2010/08/nazi-masturbation-machines.html).

Yet another imaginative author, Misha Defonseca, described the fake tale of escaping from concentration camps by living and traveling with a pack of wolves; her bestselling tale was made into a film and translated into 18 languages (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Misha_Defonseca; http://www.theguardian.com/books/2008/mar/03/news.film; http://www.foxnews.com/us/2014/05/12/massachusetts-woman-who-wrote-fraudulent-holocaust-memoir-ordered-to-pay-225m/).

Binjamin Wilkomirski’s bestselling Holocaust memoir, Fragments, even translated into nine languages by publishers, was also fake (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binjamin_Wilkomirski).

In one book compiling Holocaust survivor stories, one survivor talks about a Holocaust roller coaster (Holocoaster?) that flung its riders into ovens (http://new2.fjcdn.com/comments/Its+true+though+have+you+actualy+read+any+of+those+._d07e5dc584d2a126e05bf6d929b4421c.jpg; http://books.google.com/books/about/Inside_the_Concentration_Camps.html?id=hNrqjiyIOhYC).

Rosemarie Pence had a biography written about her tale as a Holocaust survivor, Hannah: From Dachau to the Olympics and Beyond. She had paid speaking engagements over her story. Her story was entirely fake. Comically, her husband who she claimed was shot down during the war, was actually living in another state and was searching for their son whom she had taken from him years ago (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rosemarie_Pence; http://www.amazon.com/Hannah-From-Dachau-Olympics-Beyond/dp/0615128661).

During an interview, former Israeli minister Shulamit Aloni said that calling detractors of Israel anti-Semitic is “a trick, we always use it”, as is bringing up the Holocaust. She also says the Holocaust “justifies everything Israel does to the Palestinians”

In 2013, an administrator who distributes German reparations for the Holocaust (they even get reparations in 2013!), Semen Domnitser, was arrested for running a $57 million fraud that used Russian immigrants to pose a Holocaust victims.

In 2014, the state of Maryland threatened to block a rail company’s US project unless the company paid reparations to Holocaust survivors.

Jewish interests try to undermine other genocides so that the Holocaust gets more emphasis

Schindler’s List is a big, high-grossing Hollywood film about the Holocaust and is even shown in school classes (it was for me), despite it being a work of fiction.
Second counterargument:

National Socialism was a racist, hateful, and evil ideology that wanted to take over the world.

Rebuttal 1:
At its core, National Socialism was a natural political doctrine for Germans at the time, and most of its principles are concepts most reasonable (and especially white) Americans would probably support today if given the choice.

Reasoning:
Before making judgments about National Socialism, it’s important to understand what the movement stood for, how it came about, and how it differed from Communism and social democracy (the political affiliations of the Allies).

Nazi policies were squarely against the core ideology of Europe and America at the time: liberalism. Liberalism is an ambitious ideal, as we all know, aiming to spread “liberty, equality, and brotherhood” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Libert%C3%A9,_%C3%A9galit%C3%A9,_fraternit%C3%A9) to mankind.

Liberalism was crucial for humanity’s transition out of feudalism and into the modern age. Feudal society was ruled by royalty, with a narrow layer of nobles and clergy supporting them. The common people had little, if any, opportunity for advancement in society; for example, only those who joined the church received an education. Feudal kingdoms provided a basis for modern central governments but contributed little else to progress.

The Renaissance and Enlightenment could be seen as intellectual and cultural rebellions against “absolute monarchy”. Defying religious dogma, logical reason was placed above all else.
The French Revolution and Napoleon grew from liberalism. One of the major reasons Napoleon’s armies were so effective (and how other nations eventually came to resist them) was through a more liberal military structure. Field marshals and lesser commanders were given more leniency and responsibility on the battlefield instead of needing to wait for commands from higher-ups, as had always been done in Europe before. Soldiers and commanders were recruited based on ability, rather than nepotism, royalty, or other criteria.

But liberalism and democracy are not perfect political systems when left unchecked. It is important to note that both Hitler and Mussolini were democratically elected in their governments before securing total power. After WWI, when the victorious Allies had established democratic governments throughout Europe, democracy became practically extinct within a few decades; Germany, Italy, Russia, Hungary, Poland, Lithuania, Austria, Greece, Spain, and Slovakia all adopted authoritarian regimes in that time.

Whether a government is liberal/democratic or not has had minimal impact on science, technology, and standard of living in history. Many Enlightenment-era scientists including Newton and Euler were devoutly religious. Carl Friedrich Gauss supported the monarchy (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl_Friedrich_Gauss#Personality). Antoine Lavoisier was branded a traitor and beheaded during the French Revolution (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antoine_Lavoisier#:Final_days_and_execution). The Nazis were well-known for their scientific advancements, to the point that the US secretly had Nazi scientists brought overseas to work for them after WWII (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Paperclip). Hitler’s Germany in the 1930s wiped out unemployment and had some of the highest standards of living in the world at the time, as the rest of the West was reeling from the Great Depression.

Liberalism and democracy have often been forced upon nations artificially through war and violence. The Reign of Terror after the French Revolution ensured that dissent was quickly and brutally suppressed. Despite nations in Europe (including Nazi Germany) choosing to forge their own path in terms of government during WWII, the liberal democratic nations of the UK, America, and others, forced their style of government onto them through the most brutal war in human history, as Soviet Russia did the same in Eastern Europe based on communism. American rhetoric regarding the violent and unsuccessful wars in the Middle East is based on bringing “freedom” and “democracy” to the victim nations. Democracy hardly seems like a natural goal for a modern-day government when such violence and force is required to maintain it.

And America today, despite being a liberal democracy, seems more like an oligarchical (http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/apr/21/americas-oligarchy-not-democracy-or-republic-unive/; http://www.princeton.edu/~mgilens/Gilens%20homepage%20materials/Gilens%20and%20Page/Gilens%20and%20Page%202014-Testing%20Theories%203-7-14.pdf; http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/16/upshot/big-money-from-super-pacs-is-eroding-the-power-of-parties.html?_r=0) and authoritative nanny state. Despite having the best economy in the world, huge proportions of our population are poor, hungry, and jobless. We can’t walk safely in many of our own cities and neighborhoods at night or trust our own countrymen anymore. Unchecked capitalism has allowed multinational corporations to outsource jobs overseas and exploit workers, placing profit at a higher priority than the welfare of their workers or the nations they operate in. Wall Street, the Federal Reserve, and our banking system have twisted our currency into one based on speculation, usury, and debt slavery, allowing profiteers to grow rich without any meaningful contributions or work. In one of the only times in American history, children are now expected to lead less successful and comfortable lives than their parents. We discuss government corruption without batting an eye, as if it is a completely normal phenomenon in our supposedly great nation. Politicians have never cared less about
their constituents, as their entire existence is more to perpetuate their own careers and sculpt a popular image than to actually get any work done. The only time when politicians might make an attempt to care about the populace is during elections, and the majority of the populace hardly knows anything about politics, finances, or guiding a nation. The NSA collects and monitors every iota of personal data from every American. The media is corrupt, and lies to us and distracts us from real issues. Our country operates in a state of perpetual war against countries that have nothing to do with American national security. Mass third-world immigration is permanently warping our country’s demographics, as welfare, affirmative action, and forced multiculturalism hinder native American citizens from succeeding. Political correctness stifles free speech and vilifies people based on the whim of hyperactive social justice warriors. This political correctness even results in our cities (such as Ferguson and Baltimore) being burned, looted and turned into ghettos before our very eyes, as the media and public encourage it.

And worst of all; these are the policies that our countrymen and our family members fought for and died for during WWII.

In our supposedly great democratic nation, why do problems like these persist? Are democratic governments, and liberal politics based on “liberty, equality, and brotherhood” truly the ideal conditions for a country? To an increasing number of people, it certainly doesn’t seem that way. The pre-WWII authoritarian governments knew full well that, on principle, a democracy was easily corruptible, and that liberalism would eventually lead to universal mediocrity. If our government is going to be authoritarian and restrict basic liberties, wouldn’t it at least be nice to have a government whose primary goal was a strong and proud nation, instead of what we’re getting now?

National Socialism’s policies were also vehemently against those of Communism. During the Industrial Revolution, Gilded Age, and beyond, workers often lived and worked in horrid conditions, for long hours, with low pay. As a result, communism arose as a popular movement throughout Europe at the time (and as mentioned before, was strongly supported by influential Jews, many of whom (including Karl Marx) were very well-off financially and never had to work a day in their life). Both National Socialism and Communism believed in workers’ rights and achieved much of their popularity from workers.

However, there were significant differences. Communism’s core focus on a proletariat vs. bourgeoisie mentality was unconstructive for a nation’s well-being, according to the Nazis. Both the Nazis and Communists believed in a classless society, but to the Nazis, all the people of one nation should work together for the good of the whole nation, not destroy entire classes and professions of people to achieve that.

To Hitler, Marxism was an unwanted by-product from the Industrial Revolution, which came about as a result of neglect of the working class by the government and by society. Society’s failure to nurture and accept the working class divided a nation, but according to the Nazis, the violent destruction of the bourgeoisie was not the solution. It’s also important to note that bourgeoisie in Soviet Russia (mostly anyone with any kind of intelligence, imagination or talent) were treated more harshly than common workers in the Industrial Revolution ever were; systematic mass starvation, imprisonment, and execution in Soviet territory took the lives of millions upon millions of people. According to the Nazis, those most intelligent, productive, and talented individuals were immensely valuable to a nation.

Two examples of quotes from Hitler regarding differences in philosophy from Communism:
“We don’t say to the rich ‘Give to the poor’, we say ‘German people, help each other’. Rich or poor, each one must help thinking, there’s someone even poorer than I am, and I want to help them as a fellow countryman.”  
(https://m.imdb.com/name/nm0386944/quotes)

“While now in Soviet Russia the millions are ruined and are dying, Chicherin - and with him a staff of over 200 Soviet Jews - travels by express train through Europe, visits the cabarets, watches naked dancers perform for his pleasure, lives in the finest hotels, and does himself better than the millions whom once you thought you must fight as ‘bourgeois.’ The 400 Soviet Commissars of Jewish nationality - they do not suffer; the thousands upon thousands of sub-Commissars - they do not suffer. No! All the treasures which the ‘proletarian’ in his madness took from the ‘bourgeoisie’ in order to fight so-called capitalism - they have all gone into their hands. Once the worker appropriated the purse of the landed proprietor who gave him work, he took the rings, the diamonds and rejoiced that he had now got the treasures which before only the ‘bourgeoisie’ possessed. But in his hands they are dead things - they are veritable death-gold. They are no profit to him. He is banished into his wilderness and one cannot feed oneself on diamonds. For a morsel of bread he gives millions in objects of value. But the bread is in the hands of the State Central Organization and this is in the hands of the Jews: so everything, everything that the common man thought that he was winning for himself, flows back again to his seducers.”  

National Socialism was also a nationalistic and racially-charged ideology. Communism had no such beliefs; Communism promoted a world revolution of the proletariat, such that workers of the world would rise up in revolution regardless of national borders or regional groups. Concepts like the preservation of culture and traditional ways of life had no importance in Communism; Russia and China among other Communist nations did everything in their power to destroy old tradition and culture.
Communism was also staunchly against private ownership. The mantra of the brain surgeon and the peasant getting the same pay and the same benefits in a Communist society, stifling personal improvement and motivation, was well understood by the Nazis. “You pretend to pay me, and I will pretend to work” became a Soviet joke about the Communist system.

Economically, what kind of policies did National Socialism utilize in terms of running a country? What allowed Nazi Germany to make its miracle economic turnaround, despite ruthless exploitation from the WWI victor countries, and the economic effects of the Great Depression?

Hitler himself had little interest in economics; he believed that work and the people were the lifeblood of a country, not money. Planning and strategy for the Nazi economy fell largely in the hands of Fritz Reinhardt, the state secretary for the German Finance Ministry. Obviously, it is important to note that National Socialism is still a form of socialism; it is highly left-leaning financially and allows for powerful control of the national economy, business, and finances by the government. Money is paid out to certain groups of people based on national policies and social engineering goals. In that sense, it is similar to Communism or even the policies of the US government today.

An overarching premise of the Nazi economic policies was that it was better to pay people to work than to pay them for unemployment benefits. Initially, the Nazi government widely funded public works and construction projects to put the populace to work and improve infrastructure. The Autobahn was one of the results of these efforts. Grants and loans were also given to homeowners and business owners for renovations. Work weeks were capped at 40 hours. The laws stipulated that German construction materials be used for these projects.

Unions were made illegal; the government and businesses themselves were to be the sole entities for enforcing workers’ rights. To some extent, Hitler believed that many unions were headed by Communists who could promote strikes to undermine an entire nation’s industry. The German Labor Front, the government organization that replaced unions, promoted a way of thinking that avoided strict employer/employee relations, and instead promoted cooperation among all German workers as providers for the nation. Hitler advocated raising the status of the lower classes without dragging down the upper classes. The German Labor Front improved working conditions and provided benefits for German workers across the country, including paid vacation (including government-paid cruises overseas), a minimum wage, and more safe, spacious, and hygienic working environments. Factories became legally required to provide workers with restrooms, cafeterias, and other amenities.

The German farmer was an especially important centerpiece of Nazi financial programs. Autarky or self-sufficiency was a major goal of the Nazis, especially since British naval blockades prevented food imports during WWI and because Germany could not expect to strongly rely on any other country. Most of Germany’s farmers were poor and in debt after WWI, and the families of farmers often left their rural homes to seek opportunities in cities. The Nazi government fixed prices on foodstuffs and increased it gradually over time; this measure was not popular with the public but greatly assisted food producers.
Property taxes were reduced or even wiped out for farms. The government financed temporary employment for young people to live and work on farms, and provided interest-free loans and grants for the purchase of farm equipment.

Newlywed couples were given loans at low interest in order to buy furniture, appliances, clothing, etc. To be eligible for these loans the bride had to agree to leave the workforce (which also opened job positions in industry). For every child born to the couple, the loan was reduced by 25%; if the couple had four children then the loan was forgiven. The debts could also be forgiven if the family owned and worked on a farm for ten years. No property tax was imposed on couples purchasing homes. Single men and women had additional taxes imposed on them. These marriage laws increased demand for home construction and other German products.

Hitler was a strong proponent of nature conservation and animal rights. The current animal welfare laws in Germany are modified versions of the laws introduced by the Nazis (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Animal_welfare_in_Nazi_Germany).

Nazi Germany was the first to identify links between smoking and lung cancer, and implemented the first anti-tobacco movement in world history (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-tobacco_movement_in_Nazi_Germany).

The government helped to make up for the costs of its programs by wrangling in the influence of banks and speculative lending practices (http://www.nber.org/chapters/c9477.pdf; http://www.webofdebpt.com/articles/bankrupt-germany.php), more efficient tax collection, increased tax revenue from the stronger economy, and reducing unemployment payments. Hitler broke out of the gold standard, since there was no gold left in Germany after WWI. The currency that was printed by the Nazi government and not by banks, was backed by the value of labor instead of gold. For foreign trade, Germany used direct bartering, which cut out the banks and relied solely on German quality instead. According to Reinhardt, these policies managed to balance out financially as early as 1933 (http://www.amazon.com/Hitlers-revolution-Richard-Tedor/dp/0988368226 pg. 43, source pg. 271)

The economics of National Socialism were also accompanied by a cultural shift spearheaded by the Nazis. Cultural Marxism (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frankfurt_School#Conspiracy_theory) gave way to cultural Fascism (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fascism). Elevating mediocrity was replaced with elevating greatness; tolerance was replaced with high standards; enforced equality was replaced with a recognition of differences; hatred of the elite was replaced with noblesse oblige; “modern art” was replaced with aesthetic beauty and detail; ethnic diversity whether you like it or not was replaced with ethnic solidarity whether they like it or not; and ego gratification was replaced with putting higher ideals above ego. Family, self-sufficiency, and honor were considered the lifeblood of a country, rather than money.

Obviously not all of these measures would find widespread support today, and Hitler’s National Socialist government operated on different overarching principles than the modern American government (which is (or at least is supposed to be) limited by the Constitution). But the results of the programs are undeniable; while the rest of the world was suffering from the Great Depression and exploitative banking, Germans were generally thriving and united (except for Jews, hardline Communists and those who profited from the old government), the country was largely self-sufficient, there was a resurgence of pride in German culture and art, families were having more children, and Germany’s economic position in Europe was rising dramatically.
However, George Lincoln Rockwell, founder of the American Nazi Party in 1959 (and an interesting character in general), shows that there is no reason the beliefs of National Socialism can’t be adapted into American politics, and without having to resort to anything radical like changing the structure of the American government or the Constitution (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Lincoln_Rockwell).

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FaCHBmGWcBc (without the music and graphics, the original speech is contained in https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x1tUFx_StSo)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rli3a3OMJEU

National Socialism is strictly centered on improving the nation and its race. One of Hitler’s most-used descriptions is to imagine the nation as a human body – in order to keep it healthy, all of its parts must be kept healthy and strong in order to function to its fullest.

“Germany’s economic recovery, which was complete by 1936, did not rest on rearmament; it was caused mainly by lavish expenditure on public works, particularly on motor roads, and this public spending stimulated private spending also, as [John Maynard] Keynes had said it would. ...while nearly everyone else in Europe expected a great war, Hitler was the one man who neither expected nor planned for it.”

“After visiting these places, you can easily understand how that within a few years Hitler will emerge from the hatred that surrounds him now as one of the most significant figures who ever lived. He had boundless ambition for his country which rendered him a menace to the peace of the world, but he had a mystery about him in the way that he lived and in the manner of his death that will live and grow after him. He had in him the stuff of which legends are made.”

“[Hitler is] the greatest living German.”
Rebuttal 2:
National Socialism’s focus on race supported all races propping themselves up in a similar way to the Germans; it did not support total world domination of the German/Aryan race.

Reasoning:
A quote from one of Hitler’s most loyal men, Leon Degrelle (who claimed that Hitler told him “If I had a son, I would want him to be like you.”) (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/L%C3%A9on_Degrelle), puts it best:

“German racialism has been deliberately distorted. It never was an anti-"other race" racialism. It was a pro-German racialism. It was concerned with making the German race strong and healthy in every way. Hitler was not interested in having millions of degenerates, if it was in his power not to have them. Today one finds rampant alcohol and drug addiction everywhere. Hitler cared that the German families be healthy, cared that they raise healthy children for the renewal of a healthy nation. German racialism meant re-discovering the creative values of their own race, re-discovering their culture. It was a search for excellence, a noble idea. National Socialist racialism was not against the other races, it was for its own race. It aimed at defending and improving its race, and wished that all other races did the same for themselves.” (http://archive.org/stream/DocumentEuropens/LonDegrelle-HistoryOfTheWaffenSs-Clan9_djvu.txt; http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v03/v03p441_Degrelle.html; http://www.thephora.net/forum/showthread.php?t=53515)

The oft-told story of when during the 1936 Olympics in Berlin, Hitler refused to shake black athlete Jesse Owens’s hand, has been discredited as a myth. In Germany, there was no racial segregation for the Olympic athletes; but this was pre-Civil Rights era in the US, where Owens was still segregated upon his return home, and where racial laws were still in full enforcement. Of the Berlin Olympics, Owens said:

“Hitler had a certain time to come to the stadium and a certain time to leave. It happened he had to leave before the victory ceremony after the 100 meters. But before he left I was on my way to a
broadcast and passed near his box. He waved at me and I waved back. I think it was bad taste to criticize the ‘man of the hour’ in another country.”

“Hitler didn’t snub me – it was our president who snubbed me. The president didn’t even send me a telegram.” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesse_Owens#Berlin_Olympics; http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1205901/Forget-Hitler-America-snubbed-black-Olympian-Jesse-Owens.html)

Speaking about East Asia, Hitler said:
“Pride in one's own race – and that does not imply contempt for other races – is also a normal and healthy sentiment. I have never regarded the Chinese or the Japanese as being inferior to ourselves. They belong to ancient civilizations, and I admit freely that their past history is superior to our own. They have the right to be proud of their past, just as we have the right to be proud of the civilization to which we belong. Indeed, I believe the more steadfast the Chinese and the Japanese remain in their pride of race, the easier I shall find it to get on with them.”

- (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Germany%E2%80%93Japan_relations#Consolidation_of_cooperation)

The Wehrmacht SS had one of the most, if not the most, diverse groups of servicemen in history:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ostlegionen
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wehrmacht_foreign_volunteers_and_conscripts
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Poles_in_the_Wehrmacht
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Indian_Legion
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Free_Arabian_Legion


The 14th Waffen SS Grenadier Division consisted largely of Slavs from across Eastern Europe (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/14th_Waffen_Grenadier_Division_of_the_SS_(1st_Galician)).

The 13th Waffen Mountain Division was the first non-Germanic Waffen-SS division, and was made up primarily of Bosnian Muslims and Croat Catholics, who fought Communist forces in the Baltic (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/13th_Waffen_Mountain_Division_of_the_SS_Handschar_%281st_Croatian%29).

The 30th Waffen Grenadier Division was made of up of Belarusians, Russians, and Ukrainians (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/30th_Waffen_Grenadier_Division_of_the_SS).

Defectors from the Soviet Union and former White Russians were integrated into the Wehrmacht (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russian_Corps).

The supreme commander of Cossack troops in the Wehrmacht, Helmuth von Pannwitz, declared that any executions or rapes of civilians by troops under his command were punishable by death. Although he had the opportunity to make a legal escape after the war, he stayed with his men as they were forcibly sent east during the Betrayal of the Cossacks, where he would be executed (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helmuth_von_Pannwitz; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/XV_SS_Cossack_Cavalry_Corps).

The Jewish Emil Maurice was one of the first members of the Nazi party, a close associate of Hitler's, and one of the founders of the SS.

An SS commander blamed for having a leading role in the Holocaust, Odilo Globocnik, is Slavic.

Hitler's chauffer, who consistently worked with Hitler until his final suicide, was Polish.

“More than thirty years have passed since 1914 when I made my modest contribution as a volunteer in the First World War, which was forced upon the Reich. In these three decades love and loyalty to my people have guided all my thoughts, actions and my life. They gave me the strength to make the most difficult decisions ever to confront mortal man. In these three decades I have spent my strength and my health. It is untrue that I or anyone else in Germany wanted war in 1939. It was wanted and provoked solely by international statesmen either of Jewish origin or working for Jewish interests. I have made too many offers for the limitation and control of armaments, which posterity will not be cowardly enough always to disregard, for responsibility for the outbreak of this war to be placed on me. Nor have I ever wished that, after the appalling First World War, there would ever be a second against either England or America. Centuries will go by, but from the ruins of our towns and monuments the hatred of those ultimately responsible will always grow anew against the people whom we have to thank for all this: international Jewry and its henchmen.”

- Hitler in his Political Testament, April 1945

A sample of further recommended reading and viewing:

**Documentary:** *Adolf Hitler: The Greatest Story Never Told*
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vnu5uW9No8g
(If you watch this and consider it to be just some neo-Nazi propaganda piece, stop and think: what about it makes you think that? What specific techniques did the documentary utilize to make you feel that way? Can you think of any times when documentaries espousing other perspectives of WWII use those same techniques? Can you do your own research and verify or deny the claims made in the documentary?)

*Hitler’s Revolution* by Richard Tedor
http://www.amazon.com/Hitlers-revolution-Richard-Tedor/dp/0988368226/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8

*Hellstorm: The Death of Nazi Germany* by Thomas Goodrich
http://www.amazon.com/Hellstorm-Death-Nazi-Germany-1944-1947/dp/097138522X/ref=tmm_hrd_swatch_0?_encoding=UTF8

*Hitler’s War* by David Irving
http://www.amazon.com/Hitlers-War-David-Irving/dp/0380758067

Speech: *George Lincoln Rockwell: American National Socialism* (graphics and music included)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FaCHBmGWcBc

More related to modern times:

*The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy* by John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt
http://www.amazon.com/Israel-Lobby-U-S-Foreign-Policy/dp/0374531501/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8

The Culture of Critique by Kevin MacDonald
http://www.amazon.com/The-Culture-Critique-Evolutionary-Twentieth-Century/dp/0759672229

Intellectuals and Society by Thomas Sowell

Video: Yuri Bezmenov: Deception was my Job
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y3qkf3bajd4

Blog: Return of Kings
http://www.returnofkings.com/about
http://www.returnofkings.com/52295/top-35-most-important-articles-on-rok
(A blog for men, with a focus on personal improvement and the modern world of dating and sex. Good reading for older generations who are unfamiliar with the frustrations of otherwise sensible and hard-working young men today, in a society reeling from decades of feminism and political correctness.)

Humorous video: Anti-Racist Hitler
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lKDeyuM0-Og

Rant: William Pierce: Middle class deserves what they get
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xef1GjjivpM